

- (1) Number of Pages..... 8
- (2) Date of repair..... 8.11.66.
- (3) Initials of the Referencer..... W 26/12

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

885.

Press
Mis.

Dated

16. 7.

1874.
196.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

DEPARTMENT.

C.F. Old No. 74, New No. 24-2,35,792-22-3-61.

196

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press No.

Miscellaneous

Routine

FOR WHAT PURPOSE.

C. No.

Department.

Year.

Initials of clerk
taking the paper or
of attender.

Date
returned.

Initials of
attender.

Date
taken
out.

885

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th July 1874.*

No. 128. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st July 1874, No. 1,641:—

Read the following letter from A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1874, No. 132.

It would save some stereotyped correspondence if Collectors were empowered by the Government to defend, without further reference, suits to which they are parties brought for the transfer of registration in puttahs.

2. The usual course is for parties to agree to sell or part with land, and then, before operations are concluded, the registered pottahdar draws back; and as there is no common agreement before the Collector, the change in puttah registry cannot lawfully be made; hence the suit to which the Collector is made a party.

3. It is for no official act of his that he is included as a defendant, but merely as a legal form; and as he has merely to put in the stereotyped answer that he is ready to register the successful suitor, and prays for his costs, it seems hardly worth forwarding all this record for sanction.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board think it will save unnecessary correspondence if Collectors are empowered to sanction suits for transfers of puttah in cases of the kind described. Such cases are now submitted to the Board in a tabular form (Standing Order No. X. VI. of 1872), and the according of sanction is a mere matter of routine.

No. 129. ORDER THEREON, 16th July 1874, No. 885.

Miscellaneous.

THE Governor in Council sanctions the adoption of the course suggested by the Board.

2. Collectors should submit quarterly returns of case in which sanction to sue is accorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

10-4-05 ✓
31-7-08 ✓

Colt. of 1874
REVENUE.
THE BOARD OF REVENUE
Dated July 1874.
O. O. 16th July 1874, No. 534.
JULY
1874

2/5
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 2245

From Pro. B² of Rev. Dated 1st July 1874.
FOR ORDERS.

I think this suggestion
may be adopted with
advantage
L. O. S.

Col. St. James & Co. present
return of the cash in the order
of account 11/7

FOR ORDERS.

C 9th July 1874 R16th

No. 1,641.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st July 1874.

Read the following letter from A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1874, No. 132:—

It would save some stereotyped correspondence if Collectors were empowered by the Government to defend, without further reference, suits to which they are parties brought for the transfer of registration in puttahs.

2. The usual course is for parties to agree to sell or part with land, and then, before operations are concluded, the registered puttahdar draws back; and as there is no common agreement before the Collector, the change in puttah registry cannot lawfully be made; hence the suit to which the Collector is made a party.

3. It is for no official act of his that he is included as a defendant, but merely as a legal form; and as he has merely to put in the stereotyped answer that he is ready to register the successful suitor, and prays for his costs, it seems hardly worth forwarding all this record for sanction.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board think it will save unnecessary correspondence if Collectors are empowered to sanction suits for transfers of puttah in cases of the kind described by Mr. Wedderburn where there is no complication. Such cases are now submitted to the Board in a tabular form (Standing Order No. VI. of 1872), and the according of sanction is a mere matter of routine.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grose

Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
,, the Collector of Coimbatore.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

To B. M. 7/20/74
 No 885
 The S. in C. sanction the adoption of the
 same suggested by the Board.
 (Collector should submit guarantee &
 return of cess in which sanction &
 be in accordance
 with the order of 16/11/74. S. M.

No 695
 No 2245
 Dec: 1874. Dept
 Board of Revenue,
 MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 1ST JULY 1874, NO. 1,641.

20/7/74
 Encl.

Received
 6/7/74
 JULY.
 No. 1,641.

Note, ordinary—for transfer of registration
 in puthals.—Proposal of Collector of Coimbatore
 that Collectors should be empowered to sanction
 defence of —, submitted to Government with
 recommendation in favour of proposal.

With order
 For order
 C. M.

C. M. 7/20/74. 1874