

(1) Number of Pages..... 16
(2) Date of repair..... 10-11-66
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... [Signature]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

864

Press
Mis.

Dated 11-7-1874 -196-.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 86. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th June 1874, No. 1,477:—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 29th April 1874, No. 113:—

My attention was drawn to the severity of the provision in the new Water-tax Rules sanctioned by Government, in regard to the charge for water on Sugar-cane and other garden produce paying Rupees 8 an acre. The Rules provide that where a field is very large or where a portion is not irrigable, a sub-division may be made, but that in other cases the whole field being irrigable, the whole should be paid on. The cultivation of Sugar-cane is likely to be affected in a great measure if this rule were adopted. There is no question that several of the fields in which Sugar-cane is cultivated, are irrigable, and there is no question generally that the area of those fields is not very large, but for the cultivation of the peculiarly expensive crop of Sugar-cane, so that sub-dividing fields for the Sugar-cane crop is not within the rule. This is one of the special crops whose cultivation we are to encourage, rather than discourage or curtail, and we cannot help allowing it to suffer if the whole field is charged, unless formally sub-divided. The same piece of land is not cultivated every year with this special product, the land becoming poor, and the extent generally cultivated is an acre or two by a well-to-do ryot. Every field, therefore, has to be sub-divided into pieces of 2 or 3 acres, thereby virtually doing away with the usefulness of the original survey, and the number of fields is so large, that it is almost impossible to carry out this measure. I would, therefore, propose that a rule be passed, allowing the Revenue Officers to charge the cultivation of Sugar-cane and similar special crops paying 8 Rupees an acre in lots of 2 acres, counting the fractions below 2 acres as 2 full acres. On this subject, I beg to refer the Board to their Proceedings of the 3rd August 1868, No. 5,626, and the correspondence therewith connected.

2. Mr. Horsfall, the late Sub-Collector, also suggests the course I have now recommended, as can be seen from the accompanying copy of his letter of the 30th March 1874, No. 88.

3. I should have proposed this rule when I had sent my remarks on the Draft Water-tax Rules, but I had then proposed that the actually irrigated land should be charged for water whatever the crop might be: in this case, the difficulty now complained of could not have appeared.

4. I would propose the rule as follows:—If portions of fields are cultivated with Sugar-cane or other crops which pay 8 Rupees per acre for water, water-rate will be charged at the rate of 8 Rupees per acre on the extent cultivated with such crop, and 4 Rupees an acre on the rest of the field.

In calculating the extent cultivated with Sugar-cane &c., every piece of land less than 2 acres will be charged as 2 acres.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th July 1874.*

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. G. HORSFALL, Esq., Sub-Collector, to W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, dated Rajahmundry, 30th March 1874, No. 83.

I beg to draw your attention to the following subject :—

Section 4, Rule I. of the new Water-tax Rules, contemplates a charge of Rupees 8 an acre for Sugar-cane.

2. Section 6 of the same rule directs that ryots taking water for a portion of a field should be charged on the whole unless the sub-division and irrigation of a particular portion has been previously sanctioned by the Collector.

3. This section either renders the sub-division of several survey fields necessary, or curtails the cultivation of Sugar-cane, if sub-division is refused.

4. You are aware that a ryot of ordinary circumstances cannot afford to cultivate more than 1 or 2 acres with Sugar-cane, and cannot afford to pay water-tax at Rupees 8 an acre on full fields.

5. The same plot which is cultivated with Sugar-cane in one year cannot be cultivated with the same crop for at least two succeeding seasons, consequently ryots vary the place of sugar-cane every year and apply for sub-division.

6. On a reference made by me in 1868, the Board authorized the charge of water-tax for Sugar-cane on a scale of 2 acres (counting fractions of 2 acres as 2 acres), and I believe that this rule, if re-introduced, will render sub-division of fields unnecessary, and will not obstruct Sugar-cane cultivation as the present rule must, as it stands, necessarily do. I would apply the

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. FOSTER,
Acting Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board proposed that ryots taking water for a portion of a field should be charged for that portion only, but Government disapproved and the

G. O., dated 16th June 1873, No. 617. rule now stands thus: "Ryots taking water for a portion of a field will be charged on the whole unless the sub-division and irrigation of a particular portion has been previously sanctioned by the Collector."

3. Mr. Foster points out that this rule is so harsh with regard to Sugar-cane cultivation which pays Rupees 8 an acre and usually covers only a small portion of a field that it must discourage such cultivation.

4. He proposes that there should be a special rule in favor of Sugar-cane cultivation providing that when portions of fields are irrigated for this crop water-rate shall be charged only on the extent cultivated, provided that portions less than 2 acres in extent shall be charged as 2 acres.

5. The Board are strongly of opinion that liberality in this matter is expedient both for the people and the State. They would like to see the rule now proposed adopted now, but if this cannot be done, Mr. Foster's rule should certainly be allowed, the 2 acre minimum being reduced to 1 acre. Under the general Water-rate Rule which have been approved by Government the minimum is half an acre.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th July 1874.*

No. 87.

ORDER THEREON, 11th July 1874, No. 864.

Miscellaneous.

On a reconsideration of the question referred to in paragraph 5, G. O., dated 16th June 1873, No. 617, the Governor

in Council approves of a modification of Clause 6, Rule I. of the Rules for levying water-rate in the Kistna and Godavery Deltas by the following addition thereto:—

"If portions of fields are cultivated with sugar-cane or other crops which pay Rs. 8 per acre for water, water-rate at Rs. 8 per acre will be charged on the extent cultivated with such crops, half an acre being the minimum extent recognized for the purpose of this Rule."

2. With respect to the rule as originally proposed by the Board and negatived in paragraph 5, G. O., dated 16th June 1873, No. 617, the Governor in Council desires that if the Board are still in favor of a further relaxation they will report in full their reasons after weighing the several disadvantages which such a course would involve.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Public Works Department.

„ the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Ezd. G. A. Turton.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 17th June 1874.

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 29th April 1874, No. 113:—

My attention was drawn to the severity of the provision in the new Water-tax Rules sanctioned by Government, in regard to the charge for water on Sugar-cane and other garden produce paying Rupees 8 an acre. The Rules provide that where a field is very large or where a portion is not irrigable, a sub-division may be made, but that in other cases the whole field being irrigable, the whole should be paid on. The cultivation of Sugar-cane is likely to be affected in a great measure if this rule were adopted. There is no question that several of the fields in which Sugar-cane is cultivated, are irrigable, and there is no question generally that the area of those fields is not very large, but for the cultivation of the peculiarly expensive crop of Sugar-cane, so that sub-dividing fields for the Sugar-cane crop is not within the rule. This is one of the special crops whose cultivation we are to encourage, rather than discourage or curtail, and we cannot help allowing it to suffer if the whole field is charged, unless formally sub-divided. The same piece of land is not cultivated every year with this special product, the land becoming poor, and the extent generally cultivated is an acre or two by a well-to-do ryot. Every field, therefore, has to be sub-divided into pieces of 2 or 3 acres, thereby virtually doing away with the usefulness of the original survey, and the number of fields is so large, that it is almost impossible to carry out this measure. I would, therefore, propose that a rule be passed, allowing the Revenue Officers to charge the cultivation of Sugar-cane and similar special crops paying 8 Rupees an acre in lots of 2 acres, counting the fractions below 2 acres as 2 full acres. On this subject, I beg to refer the Board to their Proceedings of the 3rd August 1868, No. 5,626, and the correspondence therewith connected.

2. Mr. Horsfall, the late Sub-Collector, also suggests the course I have now recommended, as can be seen from the accompanying copy of his letter of the 30th March 1874, No. 88.

3. I should have proposed this rule when I had sent my remarks on the Draft Water-tax Rules, but I had then proposed that the actually irrigated land should be charged for water whatever the crop might be: in this case, the difficulty now complained of could not have appeared.

4. I would propose the rule as follows:--If portions of fields are cultivated with Sugar-cane or other crops which pay 8 Rupees per acre for water, water-rate will be charged at the rate of 8 Rupees per acre on the extent cultivated with such crop, and 4 Rupees an acre on the rest of the field.

In calculating the extent cultivated with Sugar-cane, etc., every piece of land less than 2 acres will be charged as 2 acres.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. G. HORSFALL, Esq., Sub-Collector, to W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, dated Rajahmundry, 30th March 1874, No. 88.

I beg to draw your attention to the following subject:--

Section 4, Rule I of the new Water-tax Rules, contemplates a charge of Rupees 8 an acre for Sugar-cane.

2. Section 6 of the same rule directs that ryots taking water for a portion of a field should be charged on the whole unless the sub-division and irrigation of a particular portion has been previously sanctioned by the Collector.

3. This section either renders the sub-division of several survey fields necessary, or curtails the cultivation of Sugar-cane, if sub-division is refused.

4. You are aware that a ryot of ordinary circumstances cannot afford to cultivate more than one or two acres with Sugar-cane, and cannot afford to pay water-tax at Rupees 8 an acre on full fields.

5. The same plot which is cultivated with Sugar-cane in one year cannot be cultivated with the same crop for at least two succeeding seasons, consequently ryots vary the place of sugar-cane every year and apply for sub-division.

6. On a reference made by me in 1868, the Board authorized the charge of water-tax for Sugar-cane on a scale of 2 acres (counting fractions of 2 acres as 2 acres), and I believe that this rule, if re-introduced, will render sub-division of fields unnecessary, and will not obstruct Sugar-cane cultivation as the present rule must, as it stands, necessarily do. I would apply the rule to all crops upon which a charge of Rupees 8 per acre is made for water.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. FOSTER,
Acting Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board proposed that ryots taking water for a portion of a field should be charged for that portion only, but Government disapproved and the rule now stands thus: "Ryots taking water for a portion of a field will be charged on the whole unless the sub-division and irrigation of a particular portion has been previously sanctioned by the Collector."

3. Mr. Foster points out that this rule is so harsh with regard to Sugar-cane cultivation which pays Rupees 8 an acre and usually covers only a small portion of a field that it must discourage such cultivation.

4. He proposes that there should be a special rule in favour of Sugar-cane cultivation providing that when portions of fields are irrigated for this crop water-rate shall be charged only on the extent cultivated, provided that portions less than 2 acres in extent shall be charged as 2 acres.

5. The Board are strongly of opinion that liberality in this matter is expedient both for the people and the State. They would like to see the rule they proposed adopted now, but if this cannot be done, Mr. Foster's rule should certainly be allowed, the 2 acre minimum being reduced to 1 acre. Under the general Water-rate rules which have been approved by Government the minimum is half an acre.

(True Copies and Extract.)

J. G. Horsfall

Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Acting Collector of the Godavery District.
.. to the Director of Revenue Settlement.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Revenue Department.

No. 864

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 2114

From Secy. B^d of Revenue
Dated 17th June 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

I would have been the work
- this time has been left to the
in favour of the for cane.

Secy B^d taking
into the sugar cane on
the crop - which pay 8 Rs an acre
shall be charged - in event of the
cane being a portion of the field
be charged for that portion of the field

modification of clause 6 Rule
1 of the Rules for levy-
ing Water-rate in the
Krishna and Godavari
Districts

1016 June 19 1874.

Revenue 1874. Department
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 17TH JUNE 1874, No. 1,477.

Dec 12 1874.

Encl.

Received 24 June 1874.

JUNE.
No. 1,477.

Sugar-cane and other garden produce -
paying Rupees 8 an acre on account of Water-
rate. - Proposal of Collector of Godavery District
that when portions of fields are irrigated for
water rate should be charged only on extent
cultivated, provided that portions less than 2
acres in extent shall be charged as 2 acres.
submitted for orders of Government with
remarks in favour of Collector's proposal.

For orders

14 July 1874

860
C 14 July 1874
For orders
G.L.C.

cases in event shall be charged as 2 acres, submitted for orders of Government with remarks in favour of Collector's proposal.

864
I agree ^{and} I am not sure that
the ^{resolution} ~~proposal~~ of the Rule previously
agreed not be altered. We
decided ^{the question} against the advice of the
Asst. Secretary & the interest of the Dept.

7/7

No. 864

Revenue Department.

864
7

6

T.
1874.

the B.

4th 1477.

consideration
referred
to 5 G.O.
1/2 6/7 the
Council.

approves of a modifi-
cation of clause 6 Rule
1 of the Rules for levy-
ing Water-rate in the
Kistna and Godavary
Deltas

FOR ORDERS.

C 1st July/74 R 7th

Revenue Department.

No. 864

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 11th July 1874.

Read the following Pro: of the B:
of Rev:

Subj. with remarks pro-
posal of Coll^r of Godavery
Dist: that when portions of fields
are irrigated for sugar-cane
and other garden produce water
rate sh^d be charged only on extent
cultivated

On a reconsideration
of the question referred
to in paragraph 5 G.O.
16th June 1873 N^o 677 the
Governor in Council
approves of a modifi-
cation of clause 6 Rule
1 of the Rules for levy-
ing Water-rate in the
Kistna and Godavery
Deltas

86
For orders
Call
C 145 014 114 P 1

For orders
Bill

8/24/8

L. R. Munn
Mr. Capt.
Chief Eng. for Immigration } 8/24/7. C. L.

the Board; requests to be admitted
into the full and regular membership of the
Society may be made at any time, and the
Society may have the honor to receive as members
the friends of the cause, who are desirous of
the same, and who are willing to contribute
to the support of the cause, and who are
willing to be subject to the discipline of the
Society.

86-87