

(1) Number of Pages..... 20
(2) Date of repair..... 2-11-66
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... W 26/12

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	845	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.

Dated 8-7-1974 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

845
1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th July 1874.*

No. 50. Read the following letter from J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Cuddalore, 23rd June 1874, No. 35:—

I have the honor, with reference to paragraph 3 of G. O. of the 19th February 1873, No. 197, and the marginally noted Revenue Board's Proceedings, 12th December 1873, No. 2,589. Revenue Board's Proceedings, 17th April 1874, No. 910. Sub-Collector's letter, 4th May 1874, No. 247, with my endorsement to the Board of the 6th idem, No. 211. Revenue Board's Proceedings, 3rd June 1874, No. 3,679. enclosures (which are requested to be returned), to request to be informed if an appeal may be made to Government by a Collector against a decision of the Revenue Board refusing to punish a Tahsildar, when he believes that refusal to be based on a misconception of facts and a misinterpretation of the law, and when the Board have refused to re-consider their order.

2. Mr. Sullivan, when acting as Collector, considered that the conduct of Mr. Dique required severe notice to be taken of it, and that enough had been adduced to show that he was quite unfit to remain in the public service; and the Board, in passing orders on Mr. Sullivan's letter in their Proceedings of the 17th April, while admitting that Mr. Dique is proved responsible for many serious irregularities which gave the Collector and Sub-Collector reasonable grounds for suspecting that they were prompted by improper motives on his part, and that if this suspicion had been corroborated by the facts of the case, it would have been necessary to dismiss him, came to the conclusion that "none of these aggravations of the irregularity has been made out." Their reasons are given at length in the Proceedings; and as I respectfully submit are founded on a misconception of the facts, while in asserting that, though the distress was excessive, it did not violate the law, it is only necessary to quote Section 14 of Madras Act II. of 1864, which says distinctly "The distress levied shall not be excessive," to show how the Board have misinterpreted the law on this point.

3. On forwarding Mr. Lister's letter of the 4th ultimo, No. 247, requesting a re-consideration of the decision, with an endorsement expressing my concurrence in the arguments of that officer, the Board, in their Proceedings of the 3rd instant, curtly decline to allow the case to be re-opened.

4. I request, therefore, to be informed whether I may be permitted to appeal to Government to review the action of the Board in the matter.

No. 51. ORDER THEREON, 8th July 1874, No. 845.

Miscellaneous.

The Collector is at liberty to appeal to Government, through the Board of Revenue, in such a case as that brought to notice in this letter if he sees fit.

2. With reference, however, to the correspondence which he encloses, the Collector will be informed that the Government are not prepared to say that a reversal of the Board's decision would follow, though they concur in the opinion that the conduct of Mr. Dique is open to serious reflection, and that the irregularities brought to notice, if at all general, will require the most anxious consideration. Their attention has been

8415 -
2

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.,
8th July 1874.

especially drawn to the subject of coercive measures for the realization of the revenue,
and they will visit with severe displeasure any irregularity or illegality which enhances
the severity of the law.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of South Arcot, with Enclosures returned.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

word of fact + established a case for
set - Iolo is inclined + tell him

see
3

but that he
has been on
the dear
at that by
Dey is
at the

My dear Master

I should say him if at
a case is at liberty and asking
to a few brief Opt. though I should
the board. in such a case I
a case - if he does
fit - but he should
have a long strong case

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED



must fail + establish a case for
use - Iolo inclined + tell him

845

but that the
 papers on
 of the dear
 but that the
 Dyer is
 but the
 mine of at
 or an
 add let
 en the
 color the
 down with
 learn by
 of the that
 can
 can

Will you send
the packet on
Friday or
later what he
says.
John
J. D. S. C.

29.0

St. Peter and Paul Church, St. Louis.
 After the service (which had been
 delayed by the storm) the choir
 and congregation sang the hymns.

SOUTH ARCOT.

No. 35

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

23rd June 1874

From

J. G. Gaistier Esquire
Collector of South Arcot.

To

C. G. Master Esquire
Secretary to Government
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor with re-
ference to para 3 of Government
Order of the 19th February 1873

N^o 197 and the marginally
noted enclosures (which
are requested to be re-
turned) to request to
be informed if an appeal
may be made to Govern-
ment by a collector
against a decision of

the Revenue Board refusing
to furnish a Tahsildar when
he believes that refusal to be
based on a misconception of
facts and a misinterpretation
of

Revenue Board's Proceedings
12th December 1873 N^o 2589
Do — Do — 17th April
1874 N^o 910.
Sub Collector's letter 4th May
1874 N^o 247 with my endorse-
ment to the Board of the 6th
idem N^o 214.
Revenue Board's Proceedings
3rd June 1874 N^o 3679.

in both kinds of payment
an official decision is made
by the Board of the Revenue
Board. The Board of the Revenue
Board is a body of men who
are appointed by the Government
to decide on all matters relating
to the Revenue. They are not
to be confused with the Board
of the Revenue which is a
body of men who are appointed
by the Government to decide
on all matters relating to the
Revenue. They are not to be
confused with the Board of the
Revenue which is a body of men
who are appointed by the Govern-
ment to decide on all matters
relating to the Revenue.



My dear Sir,
The Board of the Revenue
is a body of men who are appointed
by the Government to decide
on all matters relating to the
Revenue. They are not to be
confused with the Board of the
Revenue which is a body of men
who are appointed by the Govern-
ment to decide on all matters
relating to the Revenue.

SOUTH ARCOT.

No. 35

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

COLLECTIONS OFFICE.
 Croddalme
 23rd June 1874.

From

J. H. Garstin Esquire
Collector of South Arcot.

Collector of South Arcot.

Te

To C. G. Master Esquire
 Secy. to Government
 Madras

Sir,

Sir, I have the honor with re-
ference to para 3 of Government
Order of the 19th February 1873
N^o 197 and the marginally
noted enclosures (which
are requested to be re-
turned) to request to
be informed if an appeal
may be made to Govern-
ment by a collector
against a decision of
the Revenue Board refusing
to furnish a Tahsildar when
he believes that refusal to be
based on a misconception of
facts and a misinterpretation
of

His amce Board's Proceedings
 12th December 1873 N^o 2589
 Do—Do—Do 17th April
 1874 N^o 910.
 Sub Collector's letter 4th May
 1874 N^o 247 with Bonyendorse
 ment to the Board of the 6th
 idem N^o 214.
 Revenue Board's Proceedings
 3rd June 1874 N^o 3679.

[illegible]

My dear Mother
Mr. Call. asked
if I could be acquainted -
Mr. Good being the friend
most ready to assist in the
aiding in the conduct.
I have completely given
the ladies and with them and
perhaps not the other de-
a several kind - either of
good or bad - but in the
small antipathetic - ac-
cording to the spirit of the
good - then in the
and soon to influence

of the law and when the Board have refused to reconsider their order.

2. "Mr. Sullivan when acting as Collector considered that the conduct of Mr. Digue required severe notice to be taken of it and that enough had been adduced to shew that he was quite unfit to remain in the public service, and the Board in passing orders on Mr. Sullivan's letter in their Proceedings of the 17th April while admitting that Mr. Digue is proved responsible for many serious irregularities which gave the Collector and Sub Collector reasonable grounds for suspecting that they were prompted by improper motives on his part and that if this suspicion had been corroborated by the facts of the case it would have been necessary to dismiss him, come to

to the conclusion ⁵⁴⁵ that "neither of these aggravations of the irregularity has been made out". Their reasons are given at length in the Proceedings and as I respectfully submit are founded on a misconception of the facts, while in asserting that though the distress was excessive it did not violate the law, it is only necessary to quote section 14 of Madras Act II of 1861 which says distinctly "The distress levied shall not be excessive to show how the Board have misinterpreted the law on this point.

3. On forwarding Mr. Lister's letter of the 4th ultimo. 1877 requesting a reconsideration of the decision, with an endorsement expressing my concurrence in the arguments of that

of the law and when the Board have refused to reconsider their order.

2. "Mr Sullivan when acting as collector considered that the conduct of Mr Digue required severe notice to be taken of it and that enough had been adduced to shew that he was quite unfit to remain in the public service, and the Board in passing orders on Mr Sullivan's letter in their Proceedings of the 17th April while admitting that Mr Digue is proved responsible for many serious irregularities which gave the collector and sub collector reasonable grounds for suspecting that they were prompted by improper motives on his part and that if this suspicion had been corroborated by the facts of the case it would have been necessary to dismiss him, come to

to the conclusion that ⁵⁴⁵neither of these aggravations of the irregularity has been made out. Their reasons are given at length in the Proceedings and as I respectfully submit are founded on a misapprehension of the facts, while in asserting that though the distress was excessive it did not violate the law, it is only necessary to quote section 14 of Madras Act II of 1861 which says distinctly "The distress levied shall not be excessive to show how the Board have misinterpreted the law on this point.

3. On forwarding Mr Lister's letter of the 4th ultimo. I request a reconsideration of the decision, with an endorsement expressing my concurrence in the arguments of that

that officer the Board in their
Proceedings of the 3rd Instant
curtly decline to allow the case
to be reopened.

4. I request therefore to be
informed whether I may be
permitted to appeal to Govern-
ment to review the action of
the Board in the matter.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours most obed^t. Servant

W^m G. Austin
Collector

No. 845

Under date the 24th April 1874

READ the following

Coll. of G. Acad.

Requesting permission to
appeal to Govt. to review the action
of the Board in the case against
Mr. Dique late Tahsildar of
Chedambrem in connection
with sale of produce of certain
land for Arrears of Revenue.

D. E. 23rd June 1874 No. 35.

The Collector is at liberty to appeal to Govt through the Board of Revenue, in such a case as that brought to notice in this letter if he sees fit.

2. With reference however to the correspondence which he encloses, the Collector will be informed

W^o 2157.
Newman 1874. September 22.
SOUTH ARCOT.

From

The Collector of

South Arcot.

To the Secretary

George A. B. B.

Dated 23

1874

Received 

ENCLOSURE

No. 5.

Subjekt

Requesting to see

reformed collection
the money be permitted

Capital to be contributed

To receive the letters

of which I have the use

Lat. 34. 30. 00. N.

Ch. Lawrence m.
H. m. with field

of products of 48 Spain

Account for aircraft of
D. 103. 1000000

(continued from p. 10)

SA 8-2 July 1945

severity of the law.

C/LL

To The Colls of S. Arcot.
with enclosures re-
turned. - 7/20-7
R of Revenue 7/20-7

informed that the Govt
are not prepared to say
that a reversal of the
Board's decision would
follow though they con-
cur in the opinion that
the conduct of Mr. Digue
is open to serious re-
flection and that the
irregularities brought
to notice if at all
general will require.

The most anxious con-
sideration. Their atten-
tion has been specially
drawn to the subject
of coercive measures for
the realization of the
Revenue and they will
visit with severe dis-
pleasure any irregu-
larity or illegality
which enhances the
severity.

19-29

19-29

19-29

60-51

C27/14 Ryd