

(1) Number of Pages 18.
(2) Date of repair 12/10/66
(3) Initials of the Referencer W. S. S.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	816	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29-6-1966	

1/816

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th June 1874.*

No. 298. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 4th June 1874, No. 1,354 :—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Cocanada, 22nd May 1874, No. 149.

I HAVE the honor to report the death of Sri Rajah Uppalapaty Janikayya Garu, Proprietrix of the Gopalapur Estate in the Amalapur Taluq, which took place on the 13th instant.

2. She left some real and personal property, and an adopted son, named Venkata Vizaya Gopalaraz Garu, a Minor of eleven years of age.

3. Before her death, she sent me two petitions, dated 8th and 11th instant, stating that after her death, the estate and all other real and personal property, together with her minor son, should be left in charge of one Datta Venkatapatinuz, now residing at Palepilly in Vizagapatam District. He is the husband of the Minor's sister by birth.

4. Two persons named, one Vuppalapaty Tirupatiraz, brother's grandson of the deceased's father-in-law, and another, Vuppalapaty Lakshmi Narayya, widow of the brother of the deceased's husband, deny the adoption of the Minor by the deceased; and have brought suits in Courts to set aside the adoption—*vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 10th April 1874, No. 816, and 13th instant. The suits are still pending.

5. The validity of the adoption of the Minor not having as yet been established by the competent Court, and there being other claimants for the estate, I have requested the Sub-Collector to secure the whole property left by the deceased, pending orders from the Board.

	RS.	A.	P.
Peishcush	18,540	3	2
Annual rent paid to the Proprietrix is	63,500	0	0

7. An early reply is requested.

THE widow Janikayya Garu, whose death is just reported, informed the Collector in November 1873, that she had adopted a son, and requested him to register the estate in his name—*vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 10th April 1874, No. 816.

2. The Collector issued a notice to the effect that registry would be made accordingly on the expiration of three months, unless prevented by an injunction of a competent Civil Court. In the meantime, on the application of one Vuppalapaty Lakshmi Narayya, an injunction to postpone registry was issued.

3. As the adoption was made and reported during the deceased widow's lifetime, the Board are of opinion that they must regard her adopted son as *putra* *facto* *hæc*, and accordingly resolve to request the permission of Government to take the estate under the management of the Court of Wards, pending the disposal of the suit or suits which may arise.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th June 1874*

No. 299.

ORDER THEREON, 29th June 1874, No. 816.

Miscellaneous.

His Excellency the Governor in Council approves of the action taken by the Acting Collector in this matter, but will

withhold his sanction to the actual management of the estate by the Court of Wards, pending the result of the application for legal advice on this point which they are now desired to make.

3. The Collector gives no opinion on the validity of the adoption. If the Court assumes charge on behalf of the Minor, they must take part in the suits; and should the adoption be set aside, the Court may be in an embarrassing position.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER.

Acting Secretary to Government.

Bill of exchange

with B. D. D. - date 18th June 1874 B. 1.832

Bill of 1874

REVENUE

THE COURT OF WARDS

DATE

THE COURT OF WARDS

Im 4/10

3/10
Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
dated 4th June 1874

No 149

Read the following

From,

W. L. Foster Esquire
Acting Collector

To,

J. Cross Esquire
Secretary to the Court of Wards
Madras

of

I have the honor to
report the death of Sri Raghupathi
Chetty Jankayya Gar Proprietor
of the Gopulapur Estate in the
Mandapam Taluk which took
place on the 13th Instant.
He left some real and personal

property and an adopted son
named Venkata Vizaya Gos-
palrao, a minor of 15 years
of age.

3. Before her death, she
sent me two petitions dated 8th & 11th
Instant relating that after her
death, the Estate and all other
real and personal property,
together with her minor son should
be left in charge of one Datta
Venkatapataray now residing
at Polapally in Vizagapatnam.

Instant. He is the husband
of the Minor's sister by birth.

4. Two persons named Vappa-
lapatyapataray, brother's
grandson of the deceased father-
in-law and another Vappalapaty
Lakshmi Narayya

Lakshmi Narayya widow
of the brother of the deceased;
husband during the adoption of the
Minor by the deceased; and have
brought suits in Court to set aside
the adoption vide Board's Proceedings
dated 10th April 1874 Nos 16 and 12th
Instant. The suits are still pending.

5. The validity of the adoption
of the minor not having yet
been established by the com-
petent Court, and there being
other claimants for the Estate,
I have requested the Sub Collector
to take steps to secure the whole property
left by the deceased pending
orders from the Court.

6. The Estate consists of

11 Villages Peiskush No 18540-3-2
Annual rent paid to
the Proprietress is Rs. 63,500-0-0

7. A reply is requested.
Godanag (B. B. Office) I have seen
for record. (C. M. L. Foster)
22nd May 1876. A. S. Collector

The widow Janikayya
Janu whose death is just re-
ported informed the collector
in November 1875 that she had
adopted a son and requested
him to register the estate in his
name vide Board's Proceedings
dated 10th April 1876 No 16.

2. The collector issued a
notice to the effect that registry
could be made accordingly
in the expiration of 3 months unless
prevented.

5/10
prevented by an injunction of
a competent Civil Court. In the
meantime, on the application of
one Uppalapati Latchari Narasiah
an injunction to postpone registry
was issued.

3. As the adoption was made
and reported during the deceased
widow's life time the Board are
of opinion that they must regard her
adopted son as primary heir and
accordingly resolve to request the
permission of Government to
take the Estate under the manage-
ment of the Court of Wards pending
the disposal of the suit or suit
which may arise.

(True copy & Extract)

C. M. Narasimha Chetty

Th. H. Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
A. S. Sub Secretary

2000

Iron

See Appendix page 10

1114

1900

10 0 11 0 12 0 13 14

I think the ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{the} should
take legal action now
there being no other it has
been already decided.
If they take charge now
the adopted son to help the money

must take part in
 the duties of the address
 he let us be, then 5
 long the end? The Col
 was no wonder in the
 probability of the address
 part in the meeting
 17/6 1876

8/8/76
 No. 816
 Revenue Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 24/7/76 1876.

7/8/76
 This was the 1st time
 that the address to the
 Government as for all medical
 purposes the Court's order has
 taken the Government of the
 State. The 1st of the 1st of the
 and other matters as suggested
 17/6 1876

proceedings of
 the
 1876
 may the
 Council
 action
 taking
 this matter
 should be
 the subject
 of the
 Council
 the
 the applica
 legal action

8/10
Revenue Department.

No. 216

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 24/June 1874.

Read the following Proceedings of
the Board of Directors

Requesting permission to
take an Estate left by
late Proprietor of Gopa
Lapur in actual possession
Godavari District pending
disposal of the suit in
suits which may arise

H. E. 4 June 1874

His Excellency the
Governor in Council
approves of the action
taken by the Chief
Collector in this matter
but will withhold his
sanction to the actual
management of the
Estate by the Board of
Directors pending the
result of the applica-
tion for legal advice

27/8

on this point which
they are now charged
to make

2. The folioes gives
no opinion on the vali-
dity of the adoption.
If the Court assumes
charge on behalf of
the minor they must
take part in the
facts and should
the adoption be set
aside ^{the Court may} ~~be in an embarrassing position~~
~~as to what to do~~
with it.

J. C. C.

To Chief Ward 7/15/70

3. The folioes has simply
taken possession of the
paper by gift by the
donor. He has done
nothing in the way of
collecting notes.

298-299

9/11/1911

298-299