

(1) Number of Pages 12
(2) Date of repair 12.10.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer S. S. S.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	815	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29 th June	196 1874

Not to be folded or roughly handled

815

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th June 1874.*

No. 296. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th June 1874, No. 1,441 :—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 8th April 1874, No. 782.

Read also the following letter from W. H. COMYN, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd May 1874, No. 237 :—

In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 782, dated 8th April, I have the honour to state that the fees payable to Vakeel in the second and third cases referred to in the Proceedings are shown in the margin, and the inclusion of the value of stamps was an oversight.

Original Suit, No. 2 of 1871, on the file of the Civil Court	Rs. A. P.	194	10	0
Do., No. 36 of 1870, on the file of do.		457	6	0

2. From Mr. Puckle's letter, No. 296, dated 12th July 1872, reporting the result of the suit in the fourth case, (Original Suit, No. 39 of 1870), I presume that he meant that the plaintiff was to bear his own costs, as is clear from the decree. The Government Vakeel explains, in reference to this case, that his assent was not obtained to the terms of the Razinama filed by other parties, and that, therefore, he was unable to secure the Sub-Collector's costs.

3. I have directed the Government Vakeel to move the Court, on its re-opening, for an order as regards payment of Government costs, and shall then submit the result to Board.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the undermentioned suits in which the Collector was made a defendant *pro forma* to obtain registration of putta, it was decreed that his costs should be paid by the plaintiff in the first three cases, and by the second defendant in the last case. As these parties are paupers, and nothing can be recovered from them, the Collector applies for sanction to pay the Government Vakeel his fees. To avoid further reference, the Board recommend that payment of the entire costs (Rupees 1,138-12-4) incurred by Government be sanctioned.

3. Orders will be passed in regard to the costs in Original Suit No. 39 of 1870, on receipt of the report promised in paragraph 3 of the letter above recorded.

No. of Suit.	Vakeel's Fees.	Total Costs.	Board's Proceedings, reporting the result of the Suit.	Government Order thereon.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
O. S. 6 of 1868	460 3 2	470 11 2	6th May 1871, No. 1,895	23rd May 1871, No. 106
" 2 of 1871	194 10 0	196 2 0	12th Sep. 1872, ..	1,814 24th Sep. 1872, .. 101
" 36 of 1870	457 6 0	458 11 0	3th Aug. ..	1,223 19th Aug. .. 1,223
" 133 of 1868	11 7 2	13 1 2	13th Dec. 1869, ..	3,419 13th Dec. 1869, .. 3,161
Total ...	1,123 10 4	1,138 12 4		

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th June 1874.*

No. 297. ORDER THEREON, 29th June 1874, No. 815.

Miscellaneous.

THERE is no information in the papers before Government, to show what steps have been taken to ascertain that the parties liable to pay the costs of Government in the suits alluded to, are absolute paupers, and a report should be submitted on this subject. The Vakeel's fees must, however, be paid, and sanction is granted for Rs. 1,123-10-4.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

3
815

No. 782.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 8th April 1874.

Read the following letters:—

From R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Trichendur, 24th December 1873, No. 724.

I have the honour to forward herewith a statement showing the fees due to the late Government Vakil Ramiengar, and request sanction for their payment.

2. Application for payment was not made at the time, and the Vakil has been unable to attend to his own affairs, hence the delay in making this application.

Enclosure No. 1.—Statement showing Amount of Fees due to the Vakil.

From the same officer, dated Tinnevely, 10th February 1874, No. 84.

With reference to Board's Memorandum, No. 31, dated 23rd ultimo, I have the honour to return the statement duly amended. The number of the first suit should be 1,895 and not 4,895.

2. As the amount of costs in the fourth suit is adjudged to be borne by the parties concerned, the Rupees 125 are due by Government.

The Collector is requested to explain why in the second and third cases the sums entered in column 5 as fees payable to the Vakil include the value of stamps used in the suit.

2. In the letter* reporting the result of the suit in the fourth case it is stated that "the costs are to be borne by the plaintiff," but in his last letter† the Collector says the costs, Rupees 125, are due by Government. On reference to the decree passed on a razinamah it is observed that no provision was made for payment of the Sub-Collector's costs, though he was clearly entitled to them; for he was made a defendant *pro forma* to obtain transfer of patta, and the interests of Government were in no way involved. The Board desire to know whether the Government Vakil assented to the terms of the razinamah, and what explanation he has to offer in regard to his failure to secure the Sub-Collector's costs. The Collector will also report what portion of the sum of Rupees 125 is payable to the Vakil as fees.

(True Copies and Extract)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Tinnevely.

Ed. F. L. R. Noman.

Handwritten notes:
The No. 1 is the first copy
of the statement of fees due to the Vakil.

302

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 8th April 1874, No. 782.

In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 782, dated 8th April, I have the honour to state that the fees payable to Vakool

	RE.	A.	F.	
Original Suit, No. 2 of 1871, on the file of the Civil Court	194	10	0	in the second and third cases referred to in the Proceedings are shown in the margin, and the inclusion of the value of stamps was an oversight.
Do. No. 36 of 1870, on the file of do.	457	6	0	

3. I have directed the Government Vakeel to move the Court, on its re-opening, for an order as regards payment of Government costs, and shall then submit the result to Board.

2. In the undermentioned suits in which the Collector was made a defendant *pro forma* to obtain registration of putta, it was decreed that his costs should be paid by the plaintiff in the first three cases, and by the second defendant in the last case. As these parties are paupers, and nothing can be recovered from them, the Collector applies for sanction to pay the Government Vakeel his fees. To avoid further reference, the Board recommend that payment of the entire costs (Rupees 1,138-12-4) incurred by Government be sanctioned.

8. Orders will be passed in regard to the costs in Original Suit, No. 39 of 1970, on receipt of the report promised in paragraph 3 of the letter above recorded.

No. of Suit.	Value of Fees.	Total Costs.	Board's Proceedings, reporting the result of the Suit.	Government Order thereon.
O. S. G. of 1868	Rs. 450 3 2	Rs. 470 11 2	6th May 1871, No. 1,805	23rd May 1871, No. 106
" 2 of 1871	194 10 0	195 2 0	12th Sep. 1872, . . .	18th Sep. 1872, . . .
" 3 of 1870	457 6 0	458 14 0	8th Aug.	1st Aug.
" 1 of 1863	11 7 2	12 1 2	14th Nov. 1864, . . .	3rd Dec. 1869, . . .
Total . . .	1,122 16 4	1,138 12 4		

1870

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Board's Proceedings, dated 8th April 1974, No. 742
The Acting Collector of Shimoga.

1874.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 3RD APRIL 1874, No. 782.

Encl.
[Signature]

Received
[Signature]

APRIL.
No. 782

Fees—due to Vakil Ramaswami of Puduk-
kottai District for appearing on behalf of Govt.
opponent in certain suits.—Further amount
not requested from Collector with reference to
his statement of—

No. 9996/1074
[Signature]

No. 131

Under date the 26th of December

137 45

Read the following *Account of the*
House of America.

Recommendations regarding
C. 1250. 12-6, not covered by Govt in
the 1940-41 budget for the land in
Minnesota¹²⁵⁰ and not covered by Govt
for the increased as they are for the

И. И. *Александров*

There is no
information on the papers
before me, & I don't think
steps have been taken to
ascertain that the post-
office is liable to pay the costs of
Post^{al} in its suit, & it is to
be absolutely perfect, and
a report should be submitted
on the subject. The

220

Board of Directors,
MADRAS.

Ms. 1874. 26

1875

RECEIVED, 13TH AUG 1974, N.C. 1041

Wm. W. W. W.

三、

1800

104

Stat. regarding public for certain items in
Minneapolis District. Reimbursement made to
Government for payment of Barges 1, 138-12-2.
Costs incurred by Government in — and not
reimbursed from parties concerned as they are
private.

5027 June 1945

Receipts from must, having
be paid, and sanction in
sanction for No 1123-10-6.

W. H. H.

To The House of Burgesses
at the General

To B. of Burg.
and Genl. 7/14/7

Handwritten text at the top of the left page, possibly a title or header, which is mostly illegible due to fading.

296-297

Handwritten text at the bottom left corner, possibly a date or reference number, which is mostly illegible.