

257

(1) Number of Pages (80)
 (2) Date of repair 27.9.66
 (3) Initials of the Referencer JB

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	752.	Press
B.P. No.	182-183.	Mis.
Dated	22. 6. 1966.	196.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

107

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd June 1874.*

No. 182. Read the following despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India:—

Revenue,
No. 10.

INDIA OFFICE, LONDON,
14th May 1874.

My Lord,—The despatch of your Excellency in Council, dated 24th February, No. 4 of 1874, transmitting the quinquennial returns furnished by the Board of Revenue for fasli 1281 (A.D. 1871-72), has been considered by me in Council.

2. As these quinquennial returns represent periodical statements of the progress of the country in material prosperity, it is very necessary that they should be as exact as possible. They constitute the successive stand points from which surveys of the country can be taken, and they are of great value for purposes of comparison or contrast.

Paragraph 11 of the letter of the Board of Revenue. But their usefulness is greatly impaired if their accuracy is open to question.

Paragraph 13. The present returns contain statements which the Board cannot explain, and which have necessitated a reference to the Collector of Tinnevelly. It appears that

Paragraph 16. some Collectors have included tanks and wells used for drinking water among the works of irrigation, while, with strict reference to such works only, the number has

Paragraph 17. been given with no specification as to the amount of capital sunk on them, or the extent of the means provided for irrigation purposes. The Board proposes to arrange

Paragraph 22. for the provision of this information in future returns. A large increase in

Government and private tanks is shown in the district of Madura, but no explanation is afforded, and it is apprehended there

Paragraph 29. must be some error in the return. The Board will, therefore, call on the Collector

Paragraph 31. for a report. A decrease of 200 Government channels is shown in the district of Tinnevelly, which is not explained by the Collector, who is called on to account for

the diminished number. The Board suspects that many wells are entered as Government wells in the districts of Cuddapah, Bellary, Trichinopoly, and Salem, which are

not maintained by Government, and considers that their entry as Government works is not correct. It adds that the "whole statement requires revision." With respect

to Statement E, the Board "has reason to doubt its correctness." Statement F is mentioned as "not representing the actual state of things."

3. It appears to me that it would have been more satisfactory if the Board of Revenue had called for the necessary explanations before submitting the returns to your Lordship in Council. The returns would then have had great value as statements to which reference could have been made at any time without any feelings of

doubt.

4. Viewing these returns apart from the defects to which allusion has been made, the results presented are certainly satisfactory. They show an increase in occupied

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd June 1874.*

area in comparison with the former quinquennial returns of more than a million of acres, and an advance in assessment of upwards of eight lakhs of rupees. It is evident that greater attention is now paid to agricultural works, and that the general condition of the tanks is more satisfactory. The steady increase in tiled and terraced houses is an evidence of growing prosperity, and the improvement in the condition of the ryots is shown by the large addition to the number of ploughs and tilling cattle.

I have, etc.,

SALISBURY.

His Excellency the Right Honourable

The Governor in Council, Fort St. George.

No. 183.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd June 1874, No. 757.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Board of
Revenue, with reference to Proceedings
of Government, 11th February 1874,
No. 184.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Eastman.

India Office,
London, 14th May 1874.

Revenue,
No. 10.

To His Excellency The Right Honourable The Governor
in Council, Fort St. George.

My Lord,

Para. 1. The Despatch of your Excellency in Council, dated 24th February, No. 4 of 1874, transmitting the quinquennial returns furnished by the Board of Revenue for Easli 1281 (A.D. 1871-72) has been considered by me in Council.

2. As these quinquennial returns represent periodical statements of the progress of the country in material prosperity, it is very necessary that they should be as exact as possible. They constitute the successive stand points from which survey of the country can be taken, and they are of great value for purposes of comparison or contrast. But their usefulness is greatly impaired if their accuracy is open to question. The present returns contain statements which the Board cannot explain, and which have necessitated a reference to the Collector of Tinnevely. It appears that some Collectors have included tanks and wells used for drinking water among the works of irrigation, while with strict reference to such works only, the number has been given with no specification as to the amount of capital sunk on them, or the extent of the means provided for irrigation purposes. The Board proposes to arrange for the provision of this information in future returns. A large increase in Government and private tanks is shown in the District of Madurai, but no explanation is afforded, and it is apprehended there must be some error in the return. The Board will therefore call on the Collector for a report. A decrease of 200 Government channels is shown in the district of Tinnevely, which is not explained by the Collector, who is called on to account for the diminished number. The Board suspects that many wells are entered as Government wells in the Districts of Cuddapah, Bellary, Trichidopoly, and Salem which are not maintained by Government, and considers that their entry as Government works is not correct. It adds that the "whole statement requires revision." With respect to Statement E, the Board "has reason to doubt its correctness." Statement F is mentioned as "not representing the actual state of things."

3. It appears to me that it would have been more satisfactory if the Board of Revenue had called for the necessary explanations before submitting the returns to your Lordship in Council. The returns would then have had great value as statements to which reference could have been made at any time, without any feelings of doubt.

4. Viewing these returns apart from the defects to which allusion has been made, the results presented are certainly satisfactory. They show an increase in occupied area in comparison with the former quinquennial

Para. 11 of the
Despatch of the Board
of Revenue.

Para. 13.

Para. 16.

Para. 17.

Para. 22.

Para. 29.

Para. 31.

GOVT. OF 1874
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Despatch No. 24.

Para.

Para.

G.O. 20th June 1874, No. 10.

JUN 1874

No. 10-13.

Continued returns to June 1871 & 1872.
72. Commenced to Revenue Board Secretary
of State's despatch regarding —

No 15.

Revenue

14th May 1874

The
Letter to Fort St George
No 10.

Recd. 7 June 74

17/6/74

Reply to Revenue Despatch
forwarding the Surveyors
Returns furnished by the
Board of Revenue for
F.Y. 1287 (A.D. 1871-72)

No 75

Communicated to the
Commissioner of the
Land Revenue
14/6/74

22 June 74
C/LL

182-183

To Fort St George 7/8 July 74