

(1) Number of Pages.....

(18)

(2) Date of repair.....

23. 8. 66.

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

JH

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE**GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS***R. Deen* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

721.

B.P. 107-108.

Press

Mis. —

Dated

12. 6. 1874 196—.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 107. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th May 1874, No. 1,052, Forest No. 61:—

Read the following letter from A. MACGREGOR, Esq., Collector of Malabar, J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cochin, 10th March 1874, No. 71.

Mr. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended from the 9th December 1872, and final orders in his case were passed by the Board in their Proceedings of the 15th March following, copy of which was communicated to the Deputy-Conservator in charge of the above forests on the 20th idem.

2. Mr. Lloyd has now applied through that officer to be allowed subsistence allowance claimable under the Board's Standing Order, No. 394-2 (Dalyell's Edition), and under the rules he appears to be clearly entitled to it for the period intervening between the date of his suspension and that on which the Board's orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas.

3. The charge,* viz., Rupees 64-8-3, can be met from the savings under "Forest Conservancy Establishment," and does not involve increased expense as the Acting Overseer drew half pay.

4. Mr. Lloyd claims also subsistence allowance until re-employed, and this application must be dealt with by the Board. He no longer belongs to this district, and the whole salary is required.

Mr. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended for intemperance on the 9th December 1872, and the Board, in their Proceedings of the 15th March 1873, Miscellaneous No. 55-1,543, ordered that he should remain under suspension until a vacancy occurred in some other range. Mr. Lloyd lost his situation as Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests in pursuance of this order; but he is entitled to subsistence allowance for the period during which he held the situation, though under suspension, i.e., from the 9th December 1872 to the 15th March 1873.

2. The Board do not think he is entitled to any allowance on this account between the date when their order which virtually dismissed him from the post of Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests was passed and the date when the Deputy-Conservator received those Proceedings.

3. As regards Mr. Lloyd's claim to subsistence allowance between the 15th March and the day when he may be reinstated the Board are in doubt. Mr. Lloyd is *nominally* under suspension, and in this respect is entitled to an allowance; on the other hand, he is *really* in the position of a dismissed man waiting for a new appointment, and has no right to any subsistence allowance.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th June 1874.*

4. Under all the circumstances of the case, the Board think Mr. Lloyd has received a heavier punishment than he deserved. The Deputy-Conservator, in stating that he did not think it expedient to put back Mr. Lloyd into the appointment he held when he was suspended, spoke of his intemperance as a habit that might be broken, and said that he had borne a good character, and done good service for eight years, and suffered much from constant exposure in a feverish locality. Subsequently, it came to the notice of Government that Mr. Lloyd had been careless in measuring teak destined for Bombay, but the Deputy-Conservator said: "I venture to take this opportunity of expressing my belief in Mr. Lloyd's amendment. He is a married man with a family; he has been reduced to great straits by his suspension, is in bad health (he has been for about seven years in charge of Walliar), and, on the whole, I think he has met with sufficient punishment." On this Government decided that they would not object to the reinstatement of Mr. Lloyd in another range in the event of any other Collector being willing to give him a trial.

5. This decision was duly communicated to all Collectors, but hitherto no appointment has been given to Mr. Lloyd, and it is not too much to say that the orders which have been issued have, in fact, dismissed Mr. Lloyd from the service altogether, though it was intended only to suspend him for a limited period. The policy which has taken the appointment of Overseers from the Inspector of Forests and given it to Collectors has made Mr. Lloyd's position hopeless, unless some new instructions are issued.

6. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case, the Board resolve to recommend Government to issue an order that the arrangements made consequent on the first vacancy which occurs amongst Forest Overseers shall provide Mr. Lloyd with an appointment, and to grant him an allowance of Rupees 25 a-month from the 15th March 1873 until he is re-appointed.

7. The Board have been in communication with the Acting Inspector of Forests, and find that he thinks Mr. Lloyd has been extremely unfortunate, and should be restored to office.

No. 108. ORDER THEREON, 12th June 1874, No. 721.

Forests, No. 5.

THE Collector of Malabar does not appear to have been aware that Mr. Lloyd applied direct to Government in October last for subsistence allowance until re-employed, and that his application was rejected.

2. This order the Government decline to re-consider, the suspension having been practically adjudged as a penalty; but as they are of opinion that the Overseer has been sufficiently punished by his loss of allowances, they resolve to direct his immediate reinstatement in his former post, as none other appears open. If the Board can arrange for his transfer elsewhere, it would be the better course.

3. The payment of the usual allowance for the period of suspension that elapsed prior to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th March 1873, Miscellaneous No. 55-1,543,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th June 1874.*

does not appear to require the sanction of Government. There seems no objection to the date on which the Board's orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas being taken as the limit for calculating this allowance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

might be broken, and said that he had borne a good character and done good service for eight years and suffered much from constant exposure in a feverish locality. Subsequently it came to the notice of Government that Mr. Lloyd had been careless in measuring teak destined for Bombay, but the Deputy Conservator said: "I venture to take this opportunity of expressing my belief in Mr. Lloyd's amendment. He is a married man with a family: he has been reduced to great straits by his suspension, is in bad health (he has been for about seven years in charge of Walliar), and, on the whole, I think he has met with sufficient punishment." On this Government decided that they would not object to the reinstatement of Mr. Lloyd in another range in the event of any other Collector being willing to give him a trial.

5. This decision was duly communicated to all Collectors, but hitherto no appointment has been given to Mr. Lloyd, and it is not too much to say that the orders which have been issued have, in fact, dismissed Mr. Lloyd from the service altogether though it was intended only to suspend him for a limited period. The policy which has taken the appointment of Overseers from the Inspector of Forests and given it to Collectors has made Mr. Lloyd's position hopeless unless some new instructions are issued.

6. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case the Board resolve to recommend Government to issue an order that the arrangements made consequent on the first vacancy which occurs amongst Forest Overseers shall provide Mr. Lloyd with an appointment, and to grant him an allowance of Rupees 25 a-month from the 15th March 1873 until he is reappointed.

7. The Board have been in communication with the Acting Inspector of Forests and find that he thinks Mr. Lloyd has been extremely unfortunate and

Mr. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended for intemperance on the 9th December 1872, and the Board, in their Proceedings of the 15th March 1873, Miscellaneous No. 55-1,543, ordered that he should remain under suspension until a vacancy occurred in some other range. Mr. Lloyd lost his situation as Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests in pursuance of this order; but he is entitled to subsistence allowance for the period during which he held the situation, though under suspension, i. e., from the 9th December 1872 to the 15th March 1873.

2. The Board do not think he is entitled to any allowance on this account between the date when their order which virtually dismissed him from the post of Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests was passed and the date when the Deputy Conservator received those Proceedings.

3. As regards Mr. Lloyd's claim to subsistence allowance between the 15th March and the day when he may be reinstated the Board are in doubt. Mr. Lloyd is nominally under suspension and in this respect is entitled to an allowance; on the other hand, he is really in the position of a dismissed man waiting for a new appointment and has no right to any subsistence allowance.

4. Under all the circumstances of the case the Board think Mr. Lloyd has received a heavier punishment than he deserved. The Deputy Conservator, in stating that he did not think it expedient to put back Mr. Lloyd into the appointment he held when he was suspended, spoke of his intemperance as a habit that

721 Revenue Department
Forest Miscellaneous No. 55-1543

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 15th March 1873.

Read the following:-
No. 1670.

From
Major R. H. Beddome
Inspector of Forests

5/721
FOREST No. 61.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 6th May 1874, No. 1,052.

Read the following letter from A. MACGREGOR, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cochin, 10th March 1874, No. 71:—

MR. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended from the 9th December 1872, and final orders in his case were passed by the

Board in their Proceedings of the 15th March following, copy of which was communicated to the Deputy Conservator in charge of the above forests on the 20th idem.

2. Mr. Lloyd has now applied through that officer to be allowed subsistence allowance claimable under the Board's Standing Order, No. 394-2 (Dalyell's Edition), and under the rules he appears to be clearly entitled to it for the period intervening between the date of his suspension and that on which the Board's orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas.

3. The charge,* viz., Rupees 64-8-3, can be met from the savings under "Forest Conservancy Establishment" and does not involve increased expense as the Acting Overseer drew half pay.

4. Mr. Lloyd claims also subsistence allowance until re-employed, and this application must be dealt with by the Board. He no longer belongs to this district, and the whole salary is required.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
the Collector of Malabar.

Copy to the Inspector of Forests.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

16 June 1873
28 June 1873
216-216
Secretary.

16 June 1873
28 June 1873
216-216
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 6TH MAY 1874, NO. 1,052.

Received 15/5/74

Encl.

Lloyd, Mr., late Head Overseer in Chenat Nair Forests, in Malabar District, who was suspended for intemperance on 9th December 1872 and ordered in Board's Proceedings, dated 15th March 1873, to remain under suspension until a vacancy occurred in some other range.—Collector informed that usual subsistence allowance should be granted to — from former to latter date. Government recommended to issue an order declaring that Mr. Lloyd shall be provided with an appointment when next vacancy occurs among Forest Overseers, and to grant him an allowance of Rupees 25 a-month from 15th March 1873 until he is reappointed.

MAV.
Forest No. 61.

107
16 June 1873
28 June 1873
216-216
Secretary.

16 June 1873
28 June 1873
216-216
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

Obdt. of 1874

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

to

Dated 3 June 1874.

Recd.

G. O., 12th June 1874, No. 721.

JUNE.

Nos. 107-108.

Mr. Lloyd, late Head Overseer, Chenat Nair Forests.—Declines to re-consider, after rejecting application for subsistence allowance, until re-employed; directs his immediate reinstatement, with remarks; considers Government sanction unnecessary for payment of subsistence allowance during period of suspension prior to 15th March 1873.



16 June 1873
28 June 1873
216-216
Secretary.

16 June 1873
28 June 1873
216-216
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

earlier part of his career, was very attentive
to his duties and the Collector appears to
think that he may be allowed another
chance, otherwise I should have recom-
mended that his services should be dis-
continued.

2. I would now however suggest
that he remain under suspension until
a vacancy occurs in some other party, when

11/721 Revenue Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the May 1874.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Resolving that an order be issued declaring that Mr. Lloyd (late P. O. Revenue, Chinnai-Nair Funds) who was suspended for interference, and ordered by B. to remain under suspension until occurrence of a vacancy in some other range shall be provided with an office when next vacancy occurs among Forest Revenue, and to grant him Rs. 25 monthly, from 15. March/73, until re-appointment

H. E. 6: May 1874 No. 1052

The Collector of Malabar does not appear to have been aware that Mr. Lloyd applied direct to Govt. in October last, for subsistence allowance until re-employed, and had his application rejected.

2. This order the Govt. decline to re-consider, and they are also not prepared to issue

721

Ends in O. G. A. 7
12th June 74 No 721

Copy of Board's Resolution dated 15. March 1873 No. 1052, concerning with the suspension of the Chief Secretary direct to a law Council Mr. Lloyd's suspension under the provisions for until a vacancy occurs

issue positive orders for
Mr Lloyd's re-employment.

15th March 1873

13
221

3. The payment of the
usual allowance for the period
of suspension that elapsed prior
to the Board's Proceedings of the
15th March 1873 viz: £⁵⁵/₁₅₄₃, does
not appear to require the sanction
of Government.

Yours

There seems no objection to the date on which the
Board's order was made. The allowance
to Mr Lloyd is £⁵⁵/₁₅₄₃ per week. The
limit for calculation of the allowance
is the date of the Board's order.

For consideration of the
the main case seems
a hard one. He has
been fourteen months
without any allowa
ance. If he could
be restored at
once this seems
a sufficient pen
to him.

Is not under
stand this case.
If I was not
I should
be sure
that he
was not
a criminal
but a
man who
has been
suffering
from
ill health
and
is now
able to
work.

Ex parte
Criminal
but not
a criminal
man
who
has been
suffering
from
ill health
and
is now
able to
work.
I should
be sure
that he
was not
a criminal
but a
man who
has been
suffering
from
ill health
and
is now
able to
work.

I agree. Suspension over due
means dismissed. It appears that the
suspension was not a punishment but
a measure of necessity. The Board's
order seems to be a reasonable one.
I should be sure that the man
was not a criminal but a man who
has been suffering from ill health
and is now able to work.

4-0.
Mr Lloyd - late H^d Overseer Channar
Kair Forest: Malabar Dist

721

Bd Standing 6: 1000
18 and 24 of 1

C 26/5/74 R^d June/74

15 Revenue Department.
721 12/721

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the 12th June 1874
Read the following Pro-
ceedings of the Board of
Revenue

Recommending that an
order be issued declaring that
Mr Lloyd (late H^d Overseer Channar
Kair Forest) who was suspended for im-
temperance, and ordered by B^d to
remain under suspension until
occurrence of a vacancy in some
other range) shall be provided
with an a/ppt when next vacancy
occurs among Forest Overseers,
and to grant him Rs 25 monthly
from 15th March/73 until re-emp^d.

Resd 6th May 1874 No 1052

SAI
M
10/74

The Collector of
Malabar does not appear
to have been aware that
Mr Lloyd applied direct
to Government, in October
last, for subsistence allow-
ance until re-employed,
and

and that his application was rejected.

2. This order the Government decline to reconsider the suspension having been practically adjudged as a penalty but as they are of opinion that the Overseer has been sufficiently punished by his loss of allowances they resolve to direct his immediate reinstatement in his former position as none other appears open. If the Board can arrange for his transfer elsewhere it would be the better course.

3. The payment of the usual allowance for the period of suspension that elapsed prior to the Board's proceedings of the 15th March

1873

17
721

1873 Mis. No. $\frac{55}{1543}$ does not appear to require the sanction of Government. There seems no objection to the date on which the Board's Orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas being taken as the limit for calculating this allowance.

CM

B. E. R. Rev.
Supt. of F. & C.
Adm. Secy.

To 13 B. of F. & C.
2 Supt. of F. & C.
6 Adm. Secy. } 5/23 June