

(1) Number of Pages (18)(2) Date of repair 23. 8. 66(3) Initials of the Referencer [Signature]**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE****GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS**[Signature] DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

Press

Mis.

Dated

721.

B.P. No. 107-108.

12. 6. 1874 196.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 107. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th May 1874, No. 1,052, Forest No. 61:—

Read the following letter from A. MACGREGOR, Esq., Collector of Malabar, J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cochin, 10th March 1874, No. 71.

MR. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended from the 9th December 1872, and final orders in his case were passed by the Board in their Proceedings of the 15th March following, copy of which was communicated to the Deputy-Conservator in charge of the above forests on the 20th idem.

2. Mr. Lloyd has now applied through that officer to be allowed subsistence allowance claimable under the Board's Standing Order, No. 394-2 (Dalyell's Edition), and under the rules he appears to be clearly entitled to it for the period intervening between the date of his suspension and that on which the Board's orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas.

3. The charge,* viz., Rupees 64-8-3, can be met from the savings under "Forest Conservancy Establishment," and does not involve increased expense as the Acting Overseer drew half pay.

4. Mr. Lloyd claims also subsistence allowance until re-employed, and this application must be dealt with by the Board. He no longer belongs to this district, and the whole salary is required.

MR. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended for intemperance on the 9th December 1872, and the Board, in their Proceedings of the 15th March 1873, Miscellaneous No. 55-1,543, ordered that he should remain under suspension until a vacancy occurred in some other range. Mr. Lloyd lost his situation as Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests in pursuance of this order; but he is entitled to subsistence allowance for the period during which he held the situation, though under suspension, i.e., from the 9th December 1872 to the 15th March 1873.

2. The Board do not think he is entitled to any allowance on this account between the date when their order which virtually dismissed him from the post of Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests was passed and the date when the Deputy-Conservator received those Proceedings.

3. As regards Mr. Lloyd's claim to subsistence allowance between the 15th March and the day when he may be reinstated the Board are in doubt. Mr. Lloyd is *nominally* under suspension, and in this respect is entitled to an allowance; on the other hand, he is *really* in the position of a dismissed man waiting for a new appointment, and has no right to any subsistence allowance.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th June 1874.*

4. Under all the circumstances of the case, the Board think Mr. Lloyd has received a heavier punishment than he deserved. The Deputy-Conservator, in stating that he did not think it expedient to put back Mr. Lloyd into the appointment he held when he was suspended, spoke of his intemperance as a habit that might be broken, and said that he had borne a good character, and done good service for eight years, and suffered much from constant exposure in a feverish locality. Subsequently, it came to the notice of Government that Mr. Lloyd had been careless in measuring teak destined for Bombay, but the Deputy-Conservator said: "I venture to take this opportunity of expressing my belief in Mr. Lloyd's amendment. He is a married man with a family; he has been reduced to great straits by his suspension, is in bad health (he has been for about seven years in charge of Walliar), and, on the whole, I think he has met with sufficient punishment." On this Government decided that they would not object to the reinstatement of Mr. Lloyd in another range in the event of any other Collector being willing to give him a trial.

5. This decision was duly communicated to all Collectors, but hitherto no appointment has been given to Mr. Lloyd, and it is not too much to say that the orders which have been issued have, in fact, dismissed Mr. Lloyd from the service altogether, though it was intended only to suspend him for a limited period. The policy which has taken the appointment of Overseers from the Inspector of Forests and given it to Collectors has made Mr. Lloyd's position hopeless, unless some new instructions are issued.

6. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case, the Board resolve to recommend Government to issue an order that the arrangements made consequent on the first vacancy which occurs amongst Forest Overseers shall provide Mr. Lloyd with an appointment, and to grant him an allowance of Rupees 25 a-month from the 15th March 1873 until he is re-appointed.

7. The Board have been in communication with the Acting Inspector of Forests, and find that he thinks Mr. Lloyd has been extremely unfortunate, and should be restored to office.

No. 108.

ORDER THEREON, 12th June 1874, No. 721.

Forests, No. 5.

THE Collector of Malabar does not appear to have been aware that Mr. Lloyd applied direct to Government in

October last for subsistence allowance until re-employed, and that his application was rejected.

2. This order the Government decline to re-consider, the suspension having been practically adjudged as a penalty; but as they are of opinion that the Overseer has been sufficiently punished by his loss of allowances, they resolve to direct his immediate reinstatement in his former post, as none other appears open. If the Board can arrange for his transfer elsewhere, it would be the better course.

3. The payment of the usual allowance for the period of suspension that elapsed prior to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th March 1873, Miscellaneous No. 55-1,543,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th June 1874.*

does not appear to require the sanction of Government. There seems no objection to the date on which the Board's orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas being taken as the limit for calculating this allowance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

might be broken, and said that he had borne a good character and done good service for eight years and suffered much from constant exposure in a feverish locality. Subsequently it came to the notice of Government that Mr. Lloyd had been careless in measuring teak destined for Bombay, but the Deputy Conservator said: "I venture to take this opportunity of expressing my belief in Mr. Lloyd's amendment. He is a married man with a family: he has been reduced to great straits by his suspension, is in bad health (he has been for about seven years in charge of Walliar), and, on the whole, I think he has met with sufficient punishment." On this Government decided that they would not object to the reinstatement of Mr. Lloyd in another range in the event of any other Collector being willing to give him a trial.

5. This decision was duly communicated to all Collectors, but hitherto no appointment has been given to Mr. Lloyd, and it is not too much to say that the orders which have been issued have, in fact, dismissed Mr. Lloyd from the service altogether though it was intended only to suspend him for a limited period. The policy which has taken the appointment of Overseers from the Inspector of Forests and given it to Collectors has made Mr. Lloyd's position hopeless unless some new instructions are issued.

6. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case the Board resolve to recommend Government to issue an order that the arrangements made consequent on the first vacancy which occurs amongst Forest Overseers shall provide Mr. Lloyd with an appointment, and to grant him an allowance of Rupees 25 a-month from the 15th March 1873 until he is reappointed.

7. The Board have been in communication with the Acting Inspector of Forests and find that he thinks Mr. Lloyd has been extremely unfortunate and

Mr. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended for intemperance on the 9th December 1872, and the Board, in their Proceedings of the 15th March 1873, Miscellaneous No. 55-1,543, ordered that he should remain under suspension until a vacancy occurred in some other range. Mr. Lloyd lost his situation as Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests in pursuance of this order; but he is entitled to subsistence allowance for the period during which he held the situation, though under suspension, i. e., from the 9th December 1872 to the 15th March 1873.

2. The Board do not think he is entitled to any allowance on this account between the date when their order which virtually dismissed him from the post of Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests was passed and the date when the Deputy Conservator received those Proceedings.

3. As regards Mr. Lloyd's claim to subsistence allowance between the 15th March and the day when he may be reinstated the Board are in doubt. Mr. Lloyd is nominally under suspension and in this respect is entitled to an allowance; on the other hand, he is really in the position of a dismissed man waiting for a new appointment and has no right to any subsistence allowance.

4. Under all the circumstances of the case the Board think Mr. Lloyd has

received a heavier punishment than he deserved. The Deputy Conservator, in G. O., dated 28th May 1873, No. 546, stating that he did not think it expedient to put back Mr. Lloyd into the appointment he held when he was suspended, spoke of his intemperance as a habit that

Revenue Department
Forest Miscellaneous No. 55
1543

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 15th March 1873.

Read the following:-
No. 1640.

From
Major R. H. Beddome
Inspector of Forests

FOREST No. 61.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 6th May 1874, No. 1,052.

Read the following letter from A. MACGREGOR, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cochin, 10th March 1874, No. 71:—

MR. LLOYD, late Head Overseer of the Chenat Nair Forests, was suspended from the 9th December 1872, and final orders in his case were passed by the

Board in their Proceedings of the 15th March following, copy of which was communicated to the Deputy Conservator in charge of the above forests on the 20th idem.

2. Mr. Lloyd has now applied through that officer to be allowed subsistence allowance claimable under the Board's Standing Order, No. 394-2 (Dalyell's Edition), and under the rules he appears to be clearly entitled to it for the period intervening between the date of his suspension and that on which the Board's orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas.

3. The charge,* viz., Rupees 64-8-3, can be met from the savings under "Forest Conservancy Establishment" and does not involve increased expense as the Acting Overseer drew half pay.

4. Mr. Lloyd claims also subsistence allowance until re-employed, and this application must be dealt with by the Board. He no longer belongs to this district, and the whole salary is required.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
,, the Collector of Malabar.

Copy to the Inspector of Forests.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

Secretary.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 6th May 1874, No. 1,052.

Depd to Mr. Lloyd.

Received

MAY.

Forest No. 61.

Lloyd, Mr., late Head Overseer in Chenat Nair Forests, in Malabar District, who was suspended for inebriation on 9th December 1872 and ordered in Board's Proceedings, dated 15th March 1873, to remain under suspension until a vacancy occurred in some other range.—Collector informed that usual subsistence allowance should be granted to — from former to latter date. Government recommended to issue an order declaring that Mr. Lloyd shall be provided with an appointment when next vacancy occurs among Forest Overseers, and to grant him an allowance of Rupees 25 a-month from 15th March 1873 until he is reappointed.

Obdt. of 1874

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Do., Do., Do.

Dated June 12th.

Recd.

G. O., 12th June 1874, No. 721.

JUNE.

Nos. 107-108.

Mr. Lloyd, late Head Overseer, Chenat Nair Forests.—Declines to re-consider order rejecting application for subsistence allowance to — until re-employed; directs his immediate reinstatement, with remarks; considers Government sanction unnecessary for payment of subsistence allowance during period of suspension prior to 15th March 1873.



V Bds Pro 17 Aug/74 N 2293

V A 908/76

50 12 June 74 No 721

earlier part of his career, was very attentive
to his duties and the Collector appears to
think that he may be allowed another
chance, otherwise I should have recom-
mended that his services should be dis-
-pensed with.

2. I would now, however, suggest
that he remain under suspension until
a vacancy occurs in some other party, when

9
721

11
721

Revenue Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the *May* 1874.

READ the following *Proceedings of the*
Board of Revenue

Resolving that an order be issued declaring
that Mr. Lloyd (late Mr. Treasurer, Chinnai Nair Funds) who
was suspended for intemperance, and ordered by B.D. to remain under
suspension until occurrence of a vacancy in some other range,
shall be provided with an appt. when next vacancy occurs
among First Treasurers, and to grant him Rs. 25 monthly,
from 15th March/73, until re-appointment.

H. E. 6: May 1874 No. 1052

The Collector of
Malabar does not appear to have
been aware that Mr. Lloyd
applied direct to Govt. in October
last, for subsistence allowance
until re-employed, and had his
application rejected.

2. This order the Govt.
decline to re-consider, and
they are also not prepared to
issue

Copy of Board's Resolution
dated 15th March 1873 No. 1052
concerning with the
suspension of the Collector,
direct to a new Mr. Lloyd
Revenue under suspension
for until a vacancy occurs

721
Sent in Q. 2027
12th June 1874 No. 721

objection to the date on which
the bonds were issued, or the
to Mr Douglas being taken here
limit for calculation of the period
of the same.

For consideration and
the man's case seems
a hard one. He has
been fourteen months
without any allow-
ance. If he could
be restored at
once this seems
a sufficient pen-
sion.

I do not understand
 the mind of this case -
 If Mr. I was not
 needed to be
 sent to his boat -
 and I would do
 nothing - Mr. D.
 cannot ask
 for the

I
 as heart was clear
 to make it
 took months of
 his hands - if
 he did not
 return

with Mrs. Y. to
to pay and be
should be made
an exact copy of
it one more
1891

4-0.
Mr Lloyd - late H^d Overseer Chinn
Kair Forest - Malabar Dist.

721

1st Standing Order
18 and 46 of 1874

C 26/5/74 R^d 1st June/74

Revenue Department.

15
721 12/7/21.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 12th June 1874

Read the following Pro-
ceedings of the Board of
Revenue

Recommending that an
order be issued declaring that
Mr Lloyd (late H^d Overseer Chinn
Kair Forest who was suspended for im-
temperance, and ordered by P^o to
remain under suspension until
occurrence of a vacancy in some
other range) shall be provided
with an a/ppt when next vacancy
occurs among Forest Overseers,
and to grant him Rs 25 monthly
from 15th March/73 until re-apt.

No. 6th May 1874 No. 1052.

The Collector of
Malabar does not appear
to have been aware that
Mr Lloyd applied direct
to Government, in October
last, for subsistence allow-
ance until re-employed,
and

1873

$$\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ \hline 721 \end{array}$$

1873 Mis: No. $\frac{55}{1543}$ does not appear to require the sanction of Government. There seems no objection to the date on which the Board's Orders were communicated to Mr. Douglas being taken as the limit for calculating this allowance.

gmc

213 B of Green
2 Impro of Formbi } 3/23 June
6 Amstt Green.