

(1) Number of Pages 10
 (2) Date of repair 24 9-66
 (3) Initials of the Referencer AB

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 717 Press
 B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 10-6-1874 196—.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

717

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th June 1874.

No. 100. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th April 1874, No. 978 :—

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 10th November 1873, No. 1,256, Revenue Department :—

ABSTRACT.—*Revision of Village Establishments.*—Desiring to know, with reference to the Board's remarks on the subject, whether any replies have been received from Collectors to Mr. Pelly's Circular, dated 18th June 1866, regarding the —.

THE only districts from which no replies have been received to Mr. Pelly's Circular of the 18th June 1866, calling for proposals as to the improvement of Village Establishments if the circumstances of any district were such as to make improvement necessary, were Ganjam, Kurnool (in which the establishment was revised previously), Chingleput, and Coimbatore. The other districts will be noticed in order.

2. *Vizagapatam.*—(Board's Proceedings, dated 6th July 1867, No. 4,231). The Collector approved of Mr. Fane's scheme, and was directed to consider Mr. Pelly's.

3. *Godavery.*—A revision was sanctioned in G. O., dated 29th June 1870, No. 963.

4. *Kistna.*—The revision is under consideration. A scheme was discussed in Board's Proceedings, dated 9th November 1871, No. 4,650, and further information is awaited (Board's Proceedings, dated 11th July 1873, No. 1,256, and 25th August 1873, No. 1,649, and 19th September 1873, No. 1,881).

5. *Nellore.*—The revision is under consideration. A scheme was discussed in Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd June 1873, No. 912, and further information is awaited (Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd September 1873, No. 1,731).

6. *Cuddapah.*—The Collector reported in 1867 that no change was required, Board's Proceedings, dated 20th September 1867, No. 6,049. He was subsequently directed to submit proposals for a revision of the Village Establishments to be introduced after the new settlement (Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd August 1872, No. 1,673). He will be requested to inform the Board what progress he has made in preparing a scheme.

7. *Bellary.*—In 1867,* the Collector reported that he was not then prepared to advocate any change in the existing practice (Board's Proceedings, dated 17th July 1867, No. 4,533).

8. *North Arcot.*—The Collector advocated certain improvements which were reviewed in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th June 1869, No. 4,029 (G. O., dated 6th January 1871, No. 21), but nothing has been done.

9. *South Arcot.*—In a letter, dated the 17th June 1867, No. 149, the Collector stated that a report on the grouping of villages would be submitted as soon as information was received from all the taluqs. The present Collector will be requested to report what has been done since.

2

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th June 1874.

10. *Tanjore*.—A revision was sanctioned in G. O., dated 8th October 1867, No. 2,359.

11. *Trichinopoly*.—The revised establishment was sanctioned in G. O., dated 29th June 1870, No. 964.

12. *Madura*.—In February 1869, the Collector Mr. Arbuthnott reported deprecating money-payments to village servants. He was directed in Board's Proceedings, dated 10th April 1869, No. 2,433, to prepare a scheme, as his objections to money-payments had been over-ruled. Nothing more passed until 1873 when the present Collector proposed a revision in the Pereakulam Taluq, and was told, in Board's Proceedings, dated 29th July 1873, No. 1,428, to submit proposals (if necessary) for a concurrent introduction of the Village Service Cess and revision of Village Establishments in that taluq.

13. *Tinnevely*.—The revision is in progress, *vide* G. O., dated 23rd March 1874, No. 375.

14. *Salem*.—The scheme, which has been fully worked out for a portion of the district, has been submitted to the Board, and is under consideration.

15. *South Canara*.—There has been a great deal of correspondence which ends with G. O., dated 18th December 1873, No. 1,453.

16. *Malabar*.—In G. O., dated 10th May 1869, No. 1,297, Government sanctioned an establishment proposed by the Collector, which was estimated to cost Rupees 1,47,552, on the supposition that the Government of India would make an annual grant in lieu of interest on the accumulated surplus of the 10 per cent. fund. The grant was refused by the Government of India, and the scheme became impracticable (G. O., dated 9th March 1870, No. 331). Subsequently, the Collector proposed to increase the pay of amshom peons only at a cost of Rupees 15,480. This, however, depended on the investment of a surplus of the Village Service Fund, which has since been found to have no real existence, so that this improvement also has been found to be impracticable. Incidentally, Government, concurring with the Collector, have decided against the imposition of the Village Service Cess in this district (G. O., dated 26th May 1871, No. 926, and 23rd April 1872, No. 663).

No. 101. ORDER THEREON, 10th June 1874, No. 717.

Miscellaneous.

HIS EXCELLENCY the Governor in Council resolves to call upon the Board to expedite the reports on the revision in Kistna and Nellore.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revision of Village Establishments in
Kistna and Nellore Districts.—Directs Revenue
Board to expedite submission of reports on the —.

JUNE.
Nos. 100-101.

G. O., 10th June 1874, No. 717.

Dated June 10th.

Recd.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

To

REVENUE.

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

No. 978.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th April 1874.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 10th November 1873, No. 1,256, Revenue Department:—

ABSTRACT.—*Revision of Village Establishments.*—Desiring to know, with reference to the Board's remarks on the subject, whether any replies have been received from Collectors to Mr. Pelly's Circular, dated 18th June 1866, regarding the —.

The only districts from which no replies have been received to Mr. Pelly's Circular of the 18th June 1866, calling for proposals as to the improvement of Village Establishments if the circumstances of any district were such as to make improvement necessary, were Ganjam, Kurnool (in which the establishment was revised previously), Chingleput, and Coimbatore. The other districts will be noticed in order.

2. *Vizagapatam.*—(Board's Proceedings, dated 6th July 1867, No. 4,231). The Collector approved of Mr. Fane's scheme, and was directed to consider Mr. Pelly's.

3. *Godavery.*—A revision was sanctioned in G. O., dated 29th June 1870, No. 963.

4. *Kistna.*—The revision is under consideration. A scheme was discussed in Board's Proceedings, dated 9th November 1871, No. 4,650, and further information is awaited (Board's Proceedings, dated 11th July 1873, No. 1,256, and 25th August 1873, No. 1,649, and 19th September 1873, No. 1,881).

5. *Nellore.*—The revision is under consideration. A scheme was discussed in Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd June 1873, No. 912, and further information is awaited (Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd September 1873, No. 1,731).

6. *Cuddapah.*—The Collector reported in 1867 that no change was required, Board's Proceedings, dated 20th September 1867, No. 6,049.

and was subsequently directed to submit proposals for a revision of the Village Establishments to be introduced after the new settlement (Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd August 1872, No. 1,673). He will be requested to inform the Board what progress he has made in preparing a scheme.

7. *Bellary.*—In 1867, the Collector reported that he was not then prepared to advocate any change in the existing practice (Board's Proceedings, dated 17th July 1867, No. 4,533).

8. *North Arcot.*—The Collector advocated certain improvements which were reviewed in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th June 1869, No. 4,029 (G. O., dated 6th January 1871, No. 21), but nothing has been done.

9. *South Arcot.*—In a letter, dated the 17th June 1867, No. 149, the Collector stated that a report on the grouping of villages would be submitted as soon as information was received from all the taluqs. The present Collector will be requested to report what has been done since.

10. *Tanjore.*—A revision was sanctioned in G. O., dated 8th October 1867, No. 2,359.

11. *Trichinopoly.*—The revised establishment was sanctioned in G. O., dated 29th June 1870, No. 964.

12. *Madura*.—In February 1869, the Collector Mr. Arbuthnott reported deprecating money-payments to village servants. He was directed in Board's Proceedings, dated 10th April 1869, No. 2,433, to prepare a scheme, as his objections to money-payments had been over-ruled. Nothing more passed until 1873 when the present Collector proposed a revision in the Pereakulam Taluq, and was told, in Board's Proceedings, dated 29th July 1873, No. 1,428, to submit proposals (if necessary) for a concurrent introduction of the Village Service Cess and revision of Village Establishments in that taluq.

13. *Tinnevely*.—The revision is in progress, vide G. O., dated 23rd March 1874, No. 375.

14. *Salem*.—The scheme, which has been fully worked out for a portion of the district, has been submitted to the Board, and is under consideration.

15. *South Canara*.—There has been a great deal of correspondence which ends with G. O., dated 18th December 1873, No. 1,453.

16. *Malabar*.—In G. O., dated 10th May 1869, No. 1,297, Government sanctioned an establishment proposed by the Collector, which was estimated to cost Rupees 1,47,552, on the supposition that the Government of India would make an annual grant in lieu of interest on the accumulated surplus of the 10 per cent. fund. The grant was refused by the Government of India, and the scheme became impracticable (G. O., dated 9th March 1870, No. 331). Subsequently, the Collector proposed to increase the pay of amshom peons only at a cost of Rupees 15,480. This, however, depended on the investment of a surplus of the Village Service Fund, which has since been found to have no real existence, so that this improvement also has been found to be impracticable. Incidentally, Government, concurring with the Collector, have decided against the imposition of the Village Service Cess in this district (G. O., dated 26th May 1871, No. 926, and 23rd April 1872, No. 663).

(A true Extract.)

J. Grose
Secretary.

10/7/74
H.E. the G. in C. resolves to call upon the Board to expedite the reports on the revision in Kitterna and Nellore
Q.U.
List from order 10 June 74

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

„ the Collector of South Arcot.

„ the Collector of Nellore.

„ the Collector of Cuddapah.

Copy to all other Collectors.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revision of Village Establishments.—
Replies of Collectors to Mr. Pelly's Circular of 18th June 1869, calling for proposals regarding revision; and Board's Proceedings submitted to Government.

APRIL
No. 978.

Received.
10. 11. 74
10. 11. 74

To B of Rev. 9/11/74
PROCEEDINGS, 27th April 1874, No. 978.
10. 11. 74
Encl.

RECEIVED
1874
BOARD OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 1704

From Board of Revenue
Dated 27th April 1874

FOR ORDERS.

*The Act may be referred to
for order to the Board on
the Minister of Revenue.*

10. 11. 74
10. 11. 74
10. 11. 74

FOR ORDERS.

717

C 30th May / 74 R 5th June