

(1) Number of Pages 10  
(2) Date of repair 24-9-66  
(3) Initials of the Referencer SH

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

*Revenue*

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

711

B.P. No.

Press

Mis.

Dated

9-6-1874 -196-

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

711  
1

---

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*  
9th June 1874.

---

No. 87. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th May 1874, No. 1,263 :—

Read the following letter from J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Engineer, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 30th April 1874, No. 70.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue marginally noted, I have the honour to report that the appeal (R. A. No. 130 of 1873) therein referred to came on for hearing on the 18th ultimo before Mr. Justice Innes and Justice Kernan, and was dismissed with costs. Copies of decree and judgment of the High Court are herewith sent.

2. I return all the enclosures to the above Proceedings.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Copies of Decree and Judgment.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to their order, dated 8th August 1873, No. 818.

No. 88. ORDER THEREON, 9th June 1874, No. 711.

Miscellaneous.

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,  
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 1,263.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th May 1874.

Read the following letter from J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Pleader, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 30th April 1874, No. 70:—

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue marginally noted, I have the honour to report that the appeal (R. A., No. 130 of 1873) therein

referred to came on for hearing on the 18th ultimo before Mr. Justice Innes and Justice Kernan, and was dismissed with costs. Copies of decree and judgment of the High Court are herewith sent.

2. I return all the enclosures to the above Proceedings.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Copies of Decree and Judgment.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to their order, dated 8th August 1873, No. 818.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grose  
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with two original enclosures.

Copy to the Government Pleader.

.. to the Collector of Chingleput, with copies of Decree and Judgment.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Colt. of 1874

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated June 24th.

Recd.


Encl.

G. O. 514 June 1874, No. 711.

JUNE


No. 27-88

Appeal Suit No. 130 of 1873 (No. 5 of 1873, Chingleput District Court) — Records Board's Proceedings submitting Government Pleader's letter forwarding copies of judgment and decree passed in —



71/3  
The High Court of Judicature at Madras.  
Wednesday, the eighteenth day  
of March, One thousand eight  
hundred and seventy-four.

Present.  
The Honorable Mr Justice James,  
and  
The Honorable Mr Justice Hernan.  
Regular Appeal No. 130 of 1873.  
Lachmammal and another,



Appellant,  
(Plaintiffs)  
Tiruvengada Mudali and another,  
Respondents,  
(Defendants)

Regular Appeal against the Decree of the  
District Court of Chingleput in Original Suit No. 5 of  
1873.

Grounds of Appeal

- I. "The plaintiffs were entitled to have their case  
fully heard and determined.
  - II. "There was no estoppel in the case.
  - III. "The plaintiffs were clearly entitled to judgment."
- Decree. This Regular Appeal coming on for hearing,  
Upon perusing the grounds of Appeal, the judgment and  
Decree of the Lower Court and the material papers in  
the suit, and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. Johnstone,  
counsel for the Appellants, and of Pungiah Naidu,  
Tahil for the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent, and Mr. Government  
Plader for the 2<sup>d</sup> Respondent, this Court doth order  
and decree that the Decree of the Lower Court be,  
and the same hereby is confirmed, and this Appeal  
dismissed;

Jm.

Rs. 8.00/13.40.41

1874.

Recd. 1874. April  
Board of Revenue,  
MADRAS.

RECORDED, 26th May 1874, No. 1,263.

Deposited 17th  
Encl. 2

Received

MAY.  
No. 1,263.

Suit, Appeal—No. 130 of 1873, referred  
to High Court from decree in Suit No. 5 of  
1873 in District Court of Chingleput regarding  
registration of Therooy village. Government  
Pleader's letter, forwarding copy of judg-  
ment and decree passed in favor of Govern-  
ment in —, submitted to Government.

10th April 1874

87-88.

dismissed: and this court doth further order and decree  
that the Appellants do pay to the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent Rs 12—  
and to the 2<sup>d</sup> Respondent Rs 12—7— for their costs  
in opposing this Regular Appeal.

(Signed) L. G. Jones, }  
(Signed) James Hernan, } Judges.

Memorandum of costs.

1<sup>st</sup> Respondents (1<sup>st</sup> Defendant) costs.

Rs A P. Rs A P.

1 Stamp for Tatalutuaniala — 2 — — —  
Pladers fee — 10 — — —

12 — — —

2<sup>d</sup> Respondents (2<sup>d</sup> Defendant) costs.

Government Pladers fee — 1 — — —  
1 Printed copy purchased — 2 — 7 — —

12 — 7 — —


To be paid by the Appellants (Plaintiffs) — 24 — 7 — —

(Signed) J. Kerr,  
Acting Registrar,  
Appellate Side.  
(True copy)

2<sup>d</sup> and last sheet.

J. Morgan,  
Deputy Registrar,  
Appellate Side.

Ed. W. 2000



In the High Court of Judicature at Madras.  
 Wednesday, the eighteenth day of  
 March, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-four.

Present.  
 The Honorable Mr Justice Ames.

and  
 The Honorable Mr Justice Hernan.

Regular Appeal No 130 of 1873.

Latchmammal and another.  
 Appellants.  
 (Plaintiffs)

Siruvengada Mudali and another.  
 Respondents.  
 (Defendants)

Regular Appeal against the Decree of the District  
 Court of Volingalur in Original Suit No 5 of 1873.  
 This Regular Appeal coming on for hearing, the Court  
 delivered the following

judgment. The Plaintiffs are not entitled to the policy.  
 They seek, as they could only obtain that policy by shewing  
 that they had a superior title to 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant and this  
 they have failed to do and the suit is not so framed as to  
 warrant us in requiring that question to be determined.  
 Though we cannot agree with the Judge that the Plaintiffs  
 are estopped from claiming exclusive registry, we think  
 the decree substantially right and dismiss the appeal  
 with costs

(True copy)

(Signed) J. Siv.

Acting Registrar.

Appellate Side.

(True copy)

J. Morgan

Deputy Registrar.  
 Appellate Side.

Sec. 1874/74.

219 June/74  
 En. 13. 11. 57.

En. 13. 11. 57/74

En. 13. 11. 57/74

Dec. 1874

Dec. 29 1874

Dec. 13 1887

Dec. 1874

Dec. 1874