

- (1) Number of Pages..... 6
(2) Date of repair..... 10.8.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

539

Press
Mis.

Dated

4-5-

1874
196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

57

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1874.*

No. 41. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th April 1874, No. 853:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1870, No. 5,897.

Read also the following Official Memorandum from the Inam Commissioner, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th February 1874, No. 56:—

In his Official Memorandum addressed to the Collector, with reference to the Board's Proceedings above read, Mr. Robinson stated, "The Inam Commissioner cannot concur in the views expressed by the Acting Collector in his letter to the Board, dated 9th August 1870, No. 2,041, that the Shrotriendard's claim to the amount collected in excess of the jodi during the period of resumption is inadmissible; for the Board in their Proceedings, dated 6th July 1867, No. 4,233, condemn the resumption as unjustifiable, and recommend the restoration of the village." In this opinion, the undersigned fully concurs, and recommends that the collections over and above the jodi be refunded to the Inamdars, with a deduction of $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum on the collection on account of management from fasli 1273 to 1277.

2. As regards the reduction of the jodi on account of moturpha, the undersigned observes that no such reduction has been allowed in the case of any Inam village in this or any other district. There is also nothing to show that, when the Inam was originally granted, the Inamdars were authorized to collect moturpha, and that its collections were taken into account in fixing the jodi. The claim may, therefore, be rejected.

3. The village has not been yet enfranchised, but the Inam Register has been recently received from the Collector, and a title-deed will be issued shortly.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Inam Commissioner.

The Manangadinne shrotriend village was resumed at the end of fasli 1269. The correct amount was subsequently ascertained to be Rupees 1,006. (1859-60) for arrears, amounting to Rupees 814*. It was afterwards discovered that Rupees 785 of this sum had been previously remitted. The Board accordingly pronounced the resumption "unjustifiable," and Government ordered the shrotriend to be restored.

G. O., 12th September 1867, No. 2,152.

2. The Shrotriendard now ask (amongst other things) for Rupees 1,089, being profits (i.e., excess of collections over jodi), and Rupees 50-4-0, being realizations by selling palmyra trees, which were obtained in the village by Government during the unjustifiable resumption.

3. The officer, who officiated as Collector of Nellore in 1870, pronounced against this claim, on the ground that the village was restored by Government on certain terms; but these terms bore no reference to any claim of this kind, and the fact that such a claim was in existence was not before Government when their order was passed.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1874.*

4. The Inam Commissioner is of opinion that the claim should be allowed, but thinks 1½ per cent per annum on the collections made during the resumption should be charged by Government as the cost of their management. This charge would amount to about Rupees 131.

5. Under the circumstances, the Board think that the whole sum claimed as profits during the resumption, viz., Rupees 1,145-4-0, should be paid, and they resolve to recommend Government to sanction the refund of that amount.

No. 42. ORDER THEREON, 4th May 1874, No. 539.

Miscellaneous

The Board's proposal in paragraph 5 is sanctioned, subject to deduction on account of any arrears now due.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

Ed. P. P. Bannerman.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1870, No. 5,397.

Read also the following Official Memorandum from the Inam Commissioner, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th February 1874, No. 56 :—

In his Official Memorandum addressed to the Collector, with reference to the Board's Proceedings above read, Mr. Robinson stated, "The Inam Commissioner cannot concur in the views expressed by the Acting Collector in his letter to the Board, dated 9th August 1870, No. 2,041, that the Shrotriendhar's claim to the amount collected in excess of the jodi during the period of resumption is inadmissible; for the Board in their Proceedings, dated 6th July 1867, No. 4,233, condemn the resumption as unjustifiable, and recommend the restoration of the village." In this opinion, the undersigned fully concurs, and recommends that the collections over and above the jodi be refunded to the Inamdars, with a deduction of $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum on the collection on account of management from fasli 1273 to 1277.

2. As regards the reduction of the jodi on account of moturpha, the undersigned observes that no such reduction has been allowed in the case of any Inam village in this or any other district. There is also nothing to show that, when the Inam was originally granted, the Inamdars were authorized to collect moturpha, and that its collections were taken into account in fixing the jodi. The claim may, therefore, be rejected.

3. The village has not been yet enfranchised, but the Inam Register has been recently received from the Collector, and a title-deed will be issued shortly.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Inam Commissioner.

The Manangadinne shrotriam village was resumed at the end of fish 1209 (1853-60) for arrears amounting to Rupees 814*. It was afterwards discovered that Rupees 785 of this sum had been previously remitted. The Board accordingly pronounced the resumption "unjustifiable," and Government ordered the shrotriam to be restored.

G. O., 12th September 1867, No. 2,152.

2. The Sarothmendars now ask (amongst other things) for Rupees 1,085 being profit (i.e. excess of collections over jodi), and Rupees 50-1-0, being rentals by selling palmyra trees, which were obtained in the village by Government during the unjustifiable resumption.

3. The officer, who officiated as Collector of Nellore in 1870, pronounced against this claim, on the ground that the village was restored by Government on certain terms; but these terms bore no reference to any claim of this kind, and the fact that such a claim was in existence was not before Government when their order was passed.

Microgadomus *Substream*. Before their occurrence was reported by Townsend in 1926 and subsequently released, Seneca's refers to *Microgadomus* of its 146-149 being perfect having a pair of ventrals of 10-12 and no indication of any greater nor due

1000

[illegible][illegible]

100

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999

1850
 1851
 1852
 1853
 1854
 1855
 1856
 1857
 1858
 1859
 1860
 1861
 1862
 1863
 1864
 1865
 1866
 1867
 1868
 1869
 1870
 1871
 1872
 1873
 1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900

4. The Inam Commissioner is of opinion that the claim should be allowed, but thinks 1 1/2 per cent. per annum on the collections made during the resumption should be charged by Government as the cost of their management. This charge would amount to about Rupees 181.

5. Under the circumstances, the Board think that the whole sum claimed as profits during the resumption, viz., Rupees 1,145-4-0, should be paid, and they resolve to recommend Government to sanction the refund of that amount.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. G. Meade
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Inam Commissioner.
and the Collector of Nellore.

Ed. R. B. Baskin

539
The Board's proposal in paras 4 & 5 is sustained, subject to correction on account of an error now done.
E. R. B.

11/11/74 to 20-11-74

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 14TH APRIL 1874, No. 808.

1874. 1874.