

- (1) Number of Pages..... 6
(2) Date of repair..... 10.8.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

539

Press
Mis.

Dated

4-5-

1874
196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

57

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1874.*

No. 41. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th April 1874, No. 853:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1870, No. 5,897.

Read also the following Official Memorandum from the Inam Commissioner, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th February 1874, No. 56:—

In his Official Memorandum addressed to the Collector, with reference to the Board's Proceedings above read, Mr. Robinson stated, "The Inam Commissioner cannot concur in the views expressed by the Acting Collector in his letter to the Board, dated 9th August 1870, No. 2,041, that the Shrotriendard's claim to the amount collected in excess of the jodi during the period of resumption is inadmissible; for the Board in their Proceedings, dated 6th July 1867, No. 4,233, condemn the resumption as unjustifiable, and recommend the restoration of the village." In this opinion, the undersigned fully concurs, and recommends that the collections over and above the jodi be refunded to the Inamdars, with a deduction of $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum on the collection on account of management from fasli 1273 to 1277.

2. As regards the reduction of the jodi on account of moturpha, the undersigned observes that no such reduction has been allowed in the case of any Inam village in this or any other district. There is also nothing to show that, when the Inam was originally granted, the Inamdars were authorized to collect moturpha, and that its collections were taken into account in fixing the jodi. The claim may, therefore, be rejected.

3. The village has not been yet enfranchised, but the Inam Register has been recently received from the Collector, and a title-deed will be issued shortly.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Inam Commissioner.

The Manangadinne shrotriend village was resumed at the end of fasli 1269. The correct amount was subsequently ascertained to be Rupees 1,006. (1859-60) for arrears, amounting to Rupees 814*. It was afterwards discovered that Rupees 785 of this sum had been previously remitted. The Board accordingly pronounced the resumption "unjustifiable," and Government ordered the shrotriend to be restored.

G. O., 12th September 1867, No. 2,152.

2. The Shrotriendard now ask (amongst other things) for Rupees 1,089, being profits (i.e., excess of collections over jodi), and Rupees 50-4-0, being realizations by selling palmyra trees, which were obtained in the village by Government during the unjustifiable resumption.

3. The officer, who officiated as Collector of Nellore in 1870, pronounced against this claim, on the ground that the village was restored by Government on certain terms; but these terms bore no reference to any claim of this kind, and the fact that such a claim was in existence was not before Government when their order was passed.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1874.*

4. The Inam Commissioner is of opinion that the claim should be allowed, but thinks 1½ per cent per annum on the collections made during the resumption should be charged by Government as the cost of their management. This charge would amount to about Rupees 131.

5. Under the circumstances, the Board think that the whole sum claimed as profits during the resumption, viz., Rupees 1,145-4-0, should be paid, and they resolve to recommend Government to sanction the refund of that amount.

No. 42. ORDER THEREON, 4th May 1874, No. 539.

Miscellaneous

The Board's proposal in paragraph 5 is sanctioned, subject to deduction on account of any arrears now due.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

Ed. P. P. Bannerman.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1870, No. 5,397.

Read also the following Official Memorandum from the Inam Commissioner, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th February 1874, No. 56 :—

In his Official Memorandum addressed to the Collector, with reference to the Board's Proceedings above read, Mr. Robinson stated, "The Inam Commissioner cannot concur in the views expressed by the Acting Collector in his letter to the Board, dated 9th August 1870, No. 2,041, that the Shrotriendhar's claim to the amount collected in excess of the jodi during the period of resumption is inadmissible; for the Board in their Proceedings, dated 6th July 1867, No. 4,233, condemn the resumption as unjustifiable, and recommend the restoration of the village." In this opinion, the undersigned fully concurs, and recommends that the collections over and above the jodi be refunded to the Inamdars, with a deduction of $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum on the collection on account of management from fasli 1273 to 1277.

2. As regards the reduction of the jodi on account of moturpha, the undersigned observes that no such reduction has been allowed in the case of any Inam village in this or any other district. There is also nothing to show that, when the Inam was originally granted, the Inamdars were authorized to collect moturpha, and that its collections were taken into account in fixing the jodi. The claim may, therefore, be rejected.

3. The village has not been yet enfranchised, but the Inam Register has been recently received from the Collector, and a title-deed will be issued shortly.

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Inam Commissioner.

The Manangadinne shrotriam village was resumed at the end of fish 1209 (1859-60) for arrears amounting to Rupees 814*. It was afterwards discovered that Rupees 785 of this sum had been previously remitted. The Board accordingly pronounced the resumption "unjustifiable," and Government ordered the shrotriam to be restored.

G. O., 12th September 1867, No. 2,152.

2. The Sarothmendars now ask (amongst other things) for Rupees 1,085 being profit (i.e. excess of collections over jodi), and Rupees 50-1-0, being rentals by selling palmyra trees, which were obtained in the village by Government during the unjustifiable resumption.

3. The officer, who officiated as Collector of Nellore in 1870, pronounced against this claim, on the ground that the village was restored by Government on certain terms; but these terms bore no reference to any claim of this kind, and the fact that such a claim was in existence was not before Government when their order was passed.

Meinungsdiebstahl (theft of opinion). Before Bonazzi was properly rebuffed by Generalissimo Pavia in 1939 and subsequently released—Santhronia refused to show respect of his 1745-49 being rather a quiet period of renunciation of—philosophical and political ideas, he was not a victim of any particular new law.

1000

[illegible]

1923

100

[illegible]

三
 二
 一
 四
 五
 六
 七
 八
 九
 十

1850
 1851
 1852
 1853
 1854
 1855
 1856
 1857
 1858
 1859
 1860
 1861
 1862
 1863
 1864
 1865
 1866
 1867
 1868
 1869
 1870
 1871
 1872
 1873
 1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900

4. The Inam Commissioner is of opinion that the claim should be allowed, but thinks 1 1/2 per cent. per annum on the collections made during the resumption should be charged by Government as the cost of their management. This charge would amount to about Rupees 181.

5. Under the circumstances, the Board think that the whole sum claimed as profits during the resumption, viz., Rupees 1,145-4-0, should be paid, and they resolve to recommend Government to sanction the refund of that amount.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. G. Meade
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Inam Commissioner.
and the Collector of Nellore.

Ed. R. B. Baskin

539
The Board's proposal in paras 4 & 5 is sustained, subject to deletion of account from accounts now due.
E. R. B.

11/11/74 to 20-11-74

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS 14TH APRIL 1874, No. 808.

1874. 1874.