

(1) Number of Pages.....16
(2) Date of repair.....11.8.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....D.S.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

538

Press
Mis.

Dated

4.5.1874 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government Revenue Department,
4th May 1874.*

No. 39. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th April 1874, No. 814:—

Read again Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings No. 8,060, dated 25th Nov. 1873.
Do. do. do. " 630, " 3rd Jan. 1874.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Suttianyanagaram, 28th February 1874, No. 105:—

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 30th ultimo, Miscellaneous No. 630, I have the honour to state that the money in question was withdrawn from the Savings Bank and actually carried to the credit of Government on the 30th June 1873. The amount was adjusted by a debit to the head "Government Savings Bank Deposits" to the credit of Government and shown under "Unclaimed Deposits" Major Head XVII., Miscellaneous, in the Treasury Account for General Services for June 1873.

2. The money is not therefore in the Savings Bank, and is at the disposal of Government.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G. O., dated 2nd July 1873, No. 679.

2. The sum of Rupees 912-8-0, which is in question, is stated to form part of Rupees 1,614-15-3 shown opposite to "unfranchised five Makhassa villages" in paragraph 21 of the Collector's letter, printed with G. O., dated 20th January 1864, No. 30.

3. It appears to have been originally deposited in the Savings Bank in the names of the defendants in the suit; and was not transferred to the credit of Government till the 30th June 1873. This, it is presumed, was done under competent sanction.

4. The interest which accrued on it, while in deposit in the Savings Bank, amounted to Rupees 307-9-3, and the Collector proposes that this amount shall be paid with the principal to the decree-holder. The whole sum is now at the disposal of Government, and the Board see no objection to the Collector's proposal being acceded to.

5. Had the Collector reported accurately and fully on the matter in the first instance, much correspondence and delay would have been saved. No less than four letters have come from his office on the subject, and even now it is not stated whether proper authority has been obtained for its transfer to Government, or the grounds—which must have been curious—on which the order was obtained. The procedure of the District Officers throughout has been extraordinary. The Sub-Collector's original notification was beyond his power to execute, Government having at the time no control over the money, which was deposited in the defendants' names. Mr. Whiteside should not have rejected the injunction of the Court, seeing, at the date he received it, no specific orders had been passed, and nothing final had been done; nor should

Paragraph 6 of Mr. Newell's letter, dated 17th April 1873, No. 232.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1874.*

He has stated in his endorsement, dated 20th November 1872, that orders had been issued for crediting the money to Government, when very slight inquiry must have shown that the fact was not so. Mr. Sewall's statement on 17th April 1873, that the money had been credited to Government, is also inaccurate. Finally, it takes these references before the real state of the case can be ascertained.

No. 40.

ORDER INQUIRY, 4th May 1874, No. 538.

Miscellaneous.

For payment to the Zemindar of
Kannoody of both principal and in-
terest, amounting to Rs. 1,349-14-11, is
sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. C. MASTERS
Deputy Secretary to Government

To the Hon. Secy. to Govt.
of the Madras Presidency

Edw. J. O'Connor

No. 814.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th April 1874.

Read again Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings No. 8,060, dated 25th Nov. 1873.

Do. do. do. " 630, " 3rd Jan. 1874.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated

Sathanyasagaram, 28th February 1874, No. 105:—

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 30th ultimo, Miscellaneous No. 630, I have the honour to state that the money in question was withdrawn from the Savings Bank and actually carried to the credit of Government on the 30th June 1873. The amount was adjusted by a debit to the head "Government Savings Bank Deposits" to the credit of Government and shown under "Unclaimed Deposits" Major Head XVII., Miscellaneous, in the Treasury Account for General Services for June 1873.

2. The money is not therefore in the Savings Bank, and is at the disposal of Government.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G. O., dated 2nd July 1873, No. 679.

2. The sum of Rupees 942-8-0, which is in question, is stated to form part of Rupees 1,644-13-8 shown opposite to "unenfranchised five Mokhassa villages" in paragraph 21 of the Collector's letter, printed with G. O., dated 30th January 1864, No. 30.

3. It appears to have been originally deposited in the Savings Bank in the names of the defendants in the suit; and was not transferred to the credit of Government till the 30th June 1873. This, it is presumed, was done under competent sanction.

4. The interest which accrued on it, while in deposit in the Savings Bank, amounted to Rupees 307-9-3, and the Collector proposes that this amount shall be paid with the principal to the decree-holder. The whole sum is now at the disposal of Government, and the Board see no objection to the Collector's proposal being acceded to.

5. Had the Collector reported accurately and fully on the matter at the first instance, much correspondence and delay would have been saved. No less than four letters have come from his office on the subject, and even now it is not stated whether proper authority has been obtained for its transfer to Government, or the grounds—which must have been curious—on which the order was obtained. The procedure of the District Officers throughout has been extraordinary. The Sub-Collector's original notification was beyond his power to execute, Government having at the time no control over the money, which was deposited in the defendants' names. Mr. Whiteside should not have rejected the injunction of the

Paragraph 6 of Mr. Sewell's letter, dated 17th April 1873, No. 232.

Court, seeing, at the date he received it, no specific orders had been passed, and nothing final had been done; nor should

he have stated in his endorsement, dated 29th November 1872, that orders had been

found for crediting the money to Government, when very slight inquiry must have shown that the fact was not so. Mr. Sawell's statement on 17th April 1873, that the money had been credited to Government, is also inaccurate. Finally, in these three references before the real state of the case can be ascertained.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

C. C. Ram
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Board's Proceedings, dated 25th November 1873,
3rd January 1874, and Petition No. 496 of 1873.
The Collector of North Arcot.

Ed. M. V. Ramiah.

11/1/74

Handwritten note: I have seen the original and it is correct.

Handwritten note: 2/1/74

Handwritten note: The original is in the hands of the Collector of North Arcot. It is a copy of the original and is correct.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.
2/1/74

PROCEEDINGS, 10th APRIL 1874, No. 514.

Handwritten note: 2/1/74

Handwritten note: 2/1/74

Handwritten note: 2/1/74

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
Dated 25th November 1873.

Re: the following

No. 482

From, J. J. White and others,
Collectors of
North Arcot.

To, J. J. White and others,
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

2/1/74

According to the report of the
Collector of North Arcot, dated
25th November 1873, the sum
of Rs. 925.00 is included
in the petition of the
undermentioned villages.

Villages

...the last
...of
...the material
...of the
...for

...in question
...of the Village of Chatham
...and the
...the Village of Chatham
...in the
...which
...the Village of Chatham

...to
...a
...the Village of Chatham

...the
...the Village of Chatham
...the Village of Chatham
...the Village of Chatham

...Court in O.S. No. 65
of 1872, they are entered in
the injunction issued by the
Court in connection with the
suit.

The enclosures received
with the G. Order reply
are herewith returned.

I have no
North. Am. Light W. S. Whitaker
Collector
Chatham
Chatham 10/20

The Collector does not
state as required by the
Government Order how it is
proposed to dispose of the
interest which has accrued.
He will furnish the information
without delay and
he is also requested to explain
how if the money was credited
to Government it remained

the various banks. It
appears to have been no
parallel for the benefit
of the parties concerned.

Under the stated in-
struction, the bank has
been made for the
purpose of the bank.

The bank has been
made for the purpose
of the bank.

The bank has been
made for the purpose
of the bank.

The bank has been
made for the purpose
of the bank.

The bank has been
made for the purpose
of the bank.

The bank has been
made for the purpose
of the bank.

The bank has been
made for the purpose
of the bank.

Proceedings of the Board of Prisoners
 1874

It is all answered to 630.

Proceedings of the Board of Prisoners
 Dated 30th January 1874

Read the following:-

No. 102

Trans. G. L. White side, Esquire
 Collector of
 North Street

Proceedings of the Board
 of Prisoners
 in a draft

Sir

Referring to the Board
 of Prisoners, I have the
 honor to state that the
 interest should be given
 to the party entitled to
 receive the principal

Enclosed in 1000
 Proceeding dated 1874
 1000 to be on 4
 daily standing 4
 hundred and 1000

No. 1002/74
 1000 to be on 4
 daily standing 4
 hundred and 1000

The latter document was incorporated in the Savings Bank apparently for the benefit of the parties. The savings in carrying out the same is about \$1000.00 and the Government is justified in making a corresponding deduction within their business account. The latter office is

I have been thinking
 of you very much lately
 and wondering how you
 are getting on. I hope
 you are well and happy.
 I have been very busy
 lately but I shall write
 to you again soon.
 I am your affectionate
 friend,
 M. J.

but which gave rise to the
present claim.

Shorey
Northwest
Collector's office / High / N. & White side
Chickadee
20 December
1893 } Collected

It appears that the money has never been credited to Government. The Collector will state in what bank the money is now held, in the Savings Bank or in that of Government, they have no control over it.

over it
1 Fine leafy & fruit
Right / 1 lb. 10 lbs
1 lb. 10 lbs
To the collector of the fruit
1 Fine leafy /

[Signature]

Raymond S. Smith

Collegium
by Sub. Secretary

Handwritten text on a piece of paper, possibly a label or note, with some illegible markings and a small cross-like symbol.