M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 8

(2) Date of repair 10-8-66

(3) Initials of the Referencer MCS

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	527	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	1 - 5 - 1874	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 1st May 1874.

Read the following :—

No. 16. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1874, No. 130.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 29th November 1873, No. 752.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for consideration and order, the Sub-Collector's letter, No. 1,025, dated 22nd instant, and copy of a list which accompanied it.

2. It will be seen that the five sons of one of the contractors, by name Rungasami Mudali, claim five-sixths of the property under attachment and five-sixths of the amount realized by sale of other property.

3. They allege that the property is ancestral, and that, therefore, their father's share of the property only can be held liable for the Abkári arrear.

4. The Tahsildar reports that the property is ancestral, and this was confirmed by former reports referred to in Board's Proceedings, dated 14th June 1873, No. 1,014.

5. The present claim is based upon the opinion of the Government Pleader contained in Board's Proceedings, dated 14th May 1873, of which a copy was sent to the Sub-Collector.

6. With the exception of Ayasami Mudali, the elder son, the other four sons of Rungasami Mudali are minors. No objection against the attachment or sale was made within the term allowed, and I therefore think that the claim for five-sixths of the sale-proceeds may be dismissed; but should the Board decide that five-sixths of the property still under attachment should be set free, then, the property sold being also ancestral, the sons of the defaulter would be fairly entitled to receive their share of the sale-proceeds.

7. I request the early orders of the Board.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From C. J. KNOX, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 22nd November 1873, No. 1,025.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your orders, Vellore Tahsildar's Arzee, No. 450 of 1873, with its enclosures.

2. The accompanying statement in English will show the extent of landed property (belonging to Rungasami Mudali, one of the Abkari defaulters) which is still under attachment.

3. One Ayasami Mudali, the eldest son of the said Rungasami Mudali, claims for himself and his four brothers, who are minors, five-sixth share in the above property and also five-sixths of Rupees 1,330, which is (as particularised in this office letter, No. 247, dated the 19th March last), the amount of the sale-proceeds of the said Rungasami Mudali's property.

4. The petitioners are the legitimate sons of the defaulter, and the property under attachment as well as that already sold are ancestral.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Statement of landed property.

THE Collector will report whether Rungasami Mudali is still alive, and, if not, when he died.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st May 1874.

No. 17. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th April 1874, No. 820.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 27th January 1874, No. 130.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sattavygeanngaram, 20th February 1874, No. 88.

Adverting to the Board's Proceedings, dated 27th ultimo, No. 130, I have the honour to state that the Sub-Collector reports* that Rungasami Mudali is still alive.

* Docket No. 131, dated 14th February 1874.

The Board have no doubt that what the ancestral property owned by Rungasami Mudali and his five sons is only answerable for his Abkári debt to the extent of his share or one-sixth of the property.

2. Five-sixths of the property under attachment must therefore be released from attachment, and the Board resolve to request Government to sanction a refund of Rupees 1,108-5-4 being five-sixths of Rupees 1,330 the price already realized by the sale on account of the same debt of ancestral property belonging to Rungasami Mudali.

No. 17.

Separate Revenue, No. 3.

Abstract.

The refund of Rs. 1,108-5-4 is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. C. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
" the Accountant-General.

Ex. W. R. Buchanan.

No. 130.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th January 1874.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 29th November 1873, No. 752 :—

I HAVE the honour to forward, for consideration and order, the Sub-Collector's letter, No. 1,025, dated 22nd instant, and copy of a list which accompanied it.

2. It will be seen that the five sons of one of the contractors, by name Rungasami Mudali, claim five-sixths of the property under attachment and five-sixths of the amount realized by sale of other property.

3. They allege that the property is ancestral, and that, therefore, their father's share of the property only can be held liable for the abkári arrear.

4. The Tahsildar reports that the property is ancestral, and this was confirmed by former reports referred to in Board's Proceedings, dated 14th June 1873, No. 1,014.

5. The present claim is based upon the opinion of the Government Pleader, contained in Board's Proceedings, dated 14th May 1873, No. ——, of which a copy was sent to the Sub-Collector.

6. With the exception of Ayasami Mudali, the elder son, the other four sons of Rungasami Mudali are minors. No objection against the attachment or sale was made within the time allowed, and I, therefore, think that the claim for five-sixths of the sale-proceeds may be dismissed; but, should the Board decide that five-sixths of the property still under attachment should be set free, then the property sold being also ancestral the sons of the defaulter would be fairly entitled to a proportionate share of the sale-proceeds.

7. I request the early return of the enclosures.

——

Enclosure No. 1.

From C. J. KNOX, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 22nd November 1873, No. 1,025.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your orders, Vellore Tahsildar's Arzee, No. 450 of 1873, with its enclosures.

2. The accompanying statement in English will show the extent of landed property belonging to Rungasami Mudali, one of the defaulter defendants, which is still under attachment.

3. One Ayasami Mudali, the eldest son of the said Rungasami Mudali, claims for himself and his four brothers, who are minors, five-sixth share in the above property and also five-sixths of Rupees 1,980, which is (as particularized in this office letter, No. 247, dated the 19th March last), the amount of the sale-proceeds of the said Rungasami Mudali's property.

4. The petitioners are the legitimate sons of the defaulter, and the property under attachment as well as that already sold are ancestral.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Collector.

——

Enclosure No. 2.—Statement of Landed Property.

——

THE Collector will report whether Rungasami Mudali is still alive, and, if not, when he died.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

To the Collector of North Arcot.

Esd. A. S. Rodgers.

No. 820.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th April 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 27th January 1874, No. 130.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sattavegeanagaram, 20th February 1874, No. 884:—

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 27th ultimo, No. 130, I have the honour to state that the Sub-Collector reports*, that Rungasami Mudali is still alive.

* Docket No. 131, dated 14th February 1874.

THE Board have no doubt but what the ancestral property owned by Rungasami Mudali and his five sons is only answerable for his Abkari debt to the extent of his share or one-sixth of the property.

[illegible] from attachment, and the Board resolve to request Government to sanction a refund of Rupees 1,108-5-4 being five-sixths of Rupees 1,330 the price already realized by the sale on account of the same debt of ancestral property belonging to Rungasami Mudali.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Board's Proceedings, dated 27th January 1874,
No. 130, with its one original enclosure.

The Collector of North Arcot.

Ed. G. A. Turton.

Rec. 1874.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 11th April 1874, No. 329.

Endt.

Received,

APRIL,

No. 329.

Abkari—of Sub-division of North Arcot—
Collector informed that ancestral property owned
by Ruggasami Mudali, one of defaulting
Abkari renters, is liable for the Abkari debt
only to extent of his share in it, viz., one-ninth,
and directed to release remaining five-ninths
from attachment. Government reminded for
sanction refund of five-ninths of sale proceeds
of property already sold on this account.