M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-3-54

(1) Number of Pages 20

(2) Date of repair 27. 7. 1954

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	518	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29. 4. 1954	196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 29th April 1874.

No. 350. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th March 1874, No. 597:—

Read again Proceedings of Government, dated 17th April 1873, No. 419:—

Read also the following letters:—

From	Collector of	Ganjam,	dated	28th October 1873,	No. 2,583.
,,	,,	of Vizagapatam,	,,	17th July ,, ,,	1,059.
,,	,,	of the Godavery District,	,,	15th September ,, ,,	301.
,,	,,	of the Kistna District,	,,	19th November ,, ,,	1,758.
,,	,,	of Nellore,	,,	18th July ,, ,,	1,610.
,,	,,	of Cuddapah,	,,	25th ,, ,, ,,	120.
,,	,,	of Bellary,	,,	10th ,, ,, ,,	220.
,,	,,	of Kurnool,	,,	25th ,, ,, ,,	218.
,,	,,	of Chingleput,	,,	7th October ,, ,,	460.
,,	,,	of North Arcot,	,,	8th ,, ,, ,,	620.
,,	,,	of South Arcot,	,,	1st August ,, ,,	37.
,,	,,	of Tanjore,	,,	7th ,, ,, ,,	270.
,,	,,	of Trichinopoly,	,,	23rd October ,, ,,	241.
,,	,,	of Madura,	,,	20th July ,, ,,	239.
,,	,,	of Tinnevelly,	,,	31st May ,, ,,	295.
,,	,,	of Coimbatore,	,,	15th July ,, ,,	143.
,,	Commr.	of the Nilgiris,	,,	5th November ,, ,,	100.
,,	Collector	of Salem,	,,	4th August ,, ,,	221.
,,	,,	of South Canara,	,,	10th ,, ,, ,,	1,386.
,,	,,	of Malabar,	,,	15th September ,, ,,	201A.
,,	,,	of Sea Customs,	,,	21st August ,, ,,	928.

Government, in their order of 17th April 1873, called upon the Board for a report regarding the traffic in, and consumption of, Opium in the Godavery District, and as to whether the people in any other districts were similarly addicted to Opium-eating.

2. The foregoing letters are the reports on the subject submitted by Collectors.

3. It appears that the districts in which the consumption of Opium is excessive are Godavery, Kistna, Vizagapatam, and Ganjam.

4. The value of the amount annually consumed in the Godavery District is estimated at seven lakhs of Rupees, and the Deputy-Collector on general duties states that forty per cent. of the male and twenty-five per cent. of the female population are habitual Opium-eaters.

5. The Collector of Ganjam reports the consumption in his district as very considerable. The value is supposed to be from Rupees 70,000 to 90,000.

6. The estimates given in different portions of the Vizagapatam District vary so much, without any apparent reason, that little reliance can be placed on the correctness of the figures given.

Thus, in the Principal Assistant's Division, with a population of 556,556, the annual consumption is said to be nearly 64,000 seers; in the Senior Assistant's Division, with a population of 660,946, it is said to be 10,520 seers; and in the rest of the district (except Jeypore), with a population of 321,807, it is said to be

20,000 seers. In Jeypore it is said to be largely used. The Collector estimates the value of the Opium consumed in the lower portions of the district at Rs. 4,72,776, but it is probably much greater.

7. The Collector of the Kistna District states that very large quantities of Opium are imported through Jaggayyapet from Malwa and Hyderabad, but that the consumption in the district is not very great, and that he is unable to find what becomes of the large importations.

8. The letter from the Collector of Sea Customs gives an account of the illicit trade carried on in Opium, and suggests that to prevent this the Opium Acts of Bengal and Bombay should be extended to Madras.

9. From these reports, as well as from the replies to G. O., 18th October 1872, No. 1,138, which the Board slip about to submit to Government, it is evident that smuggling on a very large scale is carried on, and that the consequent loss of revenue is very great; and that the Board have already stated* their opinion that it is necessary for the safety of the special revenue that the transport, possession, or sale of Opium should be placed under restrictions similar to those of the Abkari Law; and they are of opinion that this is also expedient on sanitary grounds.

10. In a letter to the Government of India, dated the 18th July 1871, No. 1,950, Government expressed themselves strongly opposed to any restrictions as to the sale or possession of Opium, but this was because of the insignificant extent of the home consumption of the drug in this Presidency.

11. It appears, however, from the reports now obtained that in four districts at least the consumption of Opium is very considerable, and the Board are of opinion that the consumption in seven districts, viz., Bellary, Kurnool, and Cuddapah, is underestimated. These districts have great facilities for obtaining the drug from the Central Provinces and Hyderabad. From G. O., 16th February 1874, No. 910, it appears that Government have already determined to introduce a Bill prohibiting the retail sale of Bhang and other intoxicating drugs without a license from the Collector. Opium could be dealt within the same Bill.

From J. BUNTER GRIFFIN, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, the 3rd August 1873, No. 988.

Under the honour to submit, for the information of the Board of Revenue, the following report regarding the illicit traffic in Opium which has been carried on in this district.

2. I should have preferred to have worked out, I wished first to procure information from Malwa, and also to be able to refer to the account of several smuggling cases now under investigation.

3. Whether the following is a correct statement of the proceedings in one in which I have taken a great personal interest dates since March 1869, when I first discovered the extent to which it was carried on. In October 1870, I sent in a full report to the Board on the subject, stating the

Board forwarded to Government, supporting very strongly my recommendations to introduce penal laws for regulating the transport, possession, and sale of opium in this Presidency.

4. The Government of Madras was of opinion,* that the local production, and consumption was not such as to render any excise measure necessary, or advisable, and that a short enactment, prescribing certain rates of Customs duty on exports and imports by land or sea, would sufficiently regulate the trade in this Presidency.

5. In answer to the proposal of the Madras Government, the Government of India directed† that an export duty of Rupees 600 per chest should be imposed, and also remarked on several points referred to in my letter, and the Board's Proceedings.

6. On receipt of the Order of the Government of India an export duty of Rupees 600 per chest was imposed by the Madras Government, which further gave as its opinion, that in view of the insignificant extent of the home consumption of the drug, this Government is altogether opposed to any restrictions on the possession, or sale of opium, within the Presidency.

7. Here the matter dropped, as I had gone to England in June 1871, when I found the Board's Proceedings of May 16th 1873, calling for a fresh report on the subject of opium.

8. It seems to me that the object of my report of 18th October 1870, was misunderstood by the Government of Madras. I recommended that penal laws should be introduced for regulating the *transport, possession* and sale of opium within the Presidency. My recommendations were supported by the Board, but the Government, and advised to control only the consumption of opium and its import and export by sea or land, and recommended that restrictions should be put on the latter, but not on the former.

9. I will now proceed to consider the letter from the Government of India, which appears to me to show that the Government of India was of opinion‡ that opium was transported through the Madras Presidency, that such traffic should be controlled,** and that it might be desirable to introduce the Bengal system making possession illegal, and thereby prevent increased consumption and evasion of the Malwa export duty. In paragraph 5 again the subject of penalising possession of opium is referred to, and in paragraph 6 it is stated that "I am to impress this point strongly on the attention of the Government of Madras, for it appears to the Governor-General in Council that the subject has not been sufficiently considered, the only recommendation made being for the imposition of import and export duties by land and sea."

10. As regards the remark†† that "the estimate of 52,000 chests as the annual produce of Malwa is altogether unreliable," all I can say is, I beg to refer to the letter from the officiating Deputy Opium Agent in Malwa, and the statements therewith forwarded therewith. What this officer's grounds for framing a correct estimate

* Paragraph 5, G. O., 16th April 1871, No. 938.

† Under Reference to Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

‡ Under Reference to Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

§ Paragraph 3.

** Paragraph 5.

†† Paragraph 4.

‡‡ Paragraph 6, Under Reference to Government of India, Financial Department, to Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

†† Under Reference to Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

‡ Under Reference to Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

§ Paragraph 3.

** Paragraph 5.

†† Paragraph 4.

‡‡ Under Reference to Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

My letter to Board, dated 18th October 1870, No. 952, paragraph 13.

Board's Proceedings, 19th November 1870, No. 6,616, paragraphs 6 and 7.

6 Paragraph 13, G. O., 16th April 1871, No. 938.

Paragraph 5, same letter.

Letter Secretary to Government of India, Financial Department, to Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated 8th June 1871, No. 975.

Deputy Opium Agent, Malwa, to Collector Sea Customs, Madras, 14th November 1870, No. 302.

19. The Government of India further remarks that the traffic, illicit possession and sale should be controlled, and means are suggested for that purpose. On this point, I can only reiterate the views already expressed by me on several previous occasions, which have been supported by the Board, viz., to prohibit by law the transport by land or sea, possession, and sale of opium without a pass, or license, under very heavy penalties.

20. The Report on the subject of consumption in Madras, called for by the Board, in the above Proceedings, will be sent in a few days.

21. That subject should, I respectfully submit, be taken up separately from those of traffic, possession, and sale, as I believe, had not the subject of consumption unfortunately attracted the studies of the Government of Madras, a law would have been passed a year or two ago controlling the traffic, possession, and sale.

22. I am induced to make this remark, from the general tenor of the letter of the Under Secretary to the Government of India, and to my personal knowledge of the fact that the late Viceroy,—Lord Mayo,—believed that the statements in my letter of October 18th, 1870, were correct, and that legislation was necessary to prevent smuggling, and the consequent enormous loss of revenue.

Enclosure No. 1.

From D. W. R. BURR, Esq., Officiating Deputy Opium Agent, Malwa, to the Collector of Sea Customs House, Madras, dated Indore, 18th July 1873, No. 425.

With reference to your letter No. 710, dated 7th instant, I have the honour to forward a statement for the last four years, showing the probable total out-turn crop of Malwa Opium and the number of chests that have been sent to Bombay for exportation and those reserved for Home consumption.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HUNTER-BLAIR,
Collector.

Enclosure No. 2.

Probable Statement showing the total out-turn crops of Malwa Opium and the number of chests that have been sent to Bombay for exportation and those reserved for home consumption.

Years.	Total number of chests produced approximate.	Actual to Bombay for exportation.	Reserved for home consumption in Malwa approximate.	Gujrat approximate.	Berar approximate.	Actual to Rattywar.	Drygge approximate.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1869-70... ...	50,000	37,854	5,000	4,000	5,000	318	5,000
1870-71... ...	58,000	37,608	5,000	5,000	4,000	492	5,500
1871-72... ...	59,000	37,591	6,000	5,000	6,000	377	5,500
1872-73... ...	60,000	42,088	5,000	4,000	5,000	200	6,000

NOTE.—Columns Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7 were given.

Indore,
18th July 1873.

(Signed) D. W. R. BURR,
Offg. Deputy Opium Agent, Malwa.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th April 1874.

No. 231. ORDER THEREON, *29th April* 1874, No. 318.

Separate Revenue, No. 13.
Customs.

ORDERED that copy of the above Proceedings of the Board of Revenue and the file of the Collectors' reports received therewith be sent to the Government of India, with reference to their Financial letter, dated 27th March 1873, No. 2,067.

2. The subject of paragraphs 8 to 11 of the Board's Proceedings will be considered in connection with Board's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1874, No. 725.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

To the A. Journal.

No. 507.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th March 1874.

Read again Proceedings of Government, dated 17th April 1873, No. 410:—

Read also the following letters:—

From Collector of Ganjam,	dated 28th October 1873,	No.	2,583.
,, ,, of Vizagapatam,	,, 17th July	,, ,,	1,059.
,, ,, of the Godavery District,	,, 15th September	,, ,,	801.
,, ,, of the Kistna District,	,, 19th November	,, ,,	1,738.
,, ,, of Nellore,	,, 19th July	,, ,,	1,610.
,, ,, of Cuddapah,	,, 25th ,,	,, ,,	120.
,, ,, of Bellary,	,, 10th ,,	,, ,,	220.
,, ,, of Kurnool,	,, 25th ,,	,, ,,	218.
,, ,, of Chingleput,	,, 7th October	,, ,,	460.
,, ,, of North Arcot,	,, 8th ,,	,, ,,	629.
,, ,, of South Arcot,	,, 1st August	,, ,,	272.
,, ,, of Tanjore,	,, 7th ,,	,, ,,	279.
,, ,, of Trichinopoly,	,, 23rd October	,, ,,	341.
,, ,, of Madura,	,, 26th July	,, ,,	239.
,, ,, of Tinnevelly,	,, 31st May	,, ,,	295.
,, ,, of Coimbatore,	,, 14th July	,, ,,	143.
,, Commr. of the Nilgiris,	,, 5th November	,, ,,	166.
,, Collector of Salem,	,, 4th August	,, ,,	221.
,, ,, of South Canara,	,, 19th ,,	,, ,,	1,386.
,, ,, of Malabar,	,, 15th September	,, ,,	209A.
,, ,, of Sea Customs,	,, 21st August	,, ,,	938.

Government, in their order of 17th April 1873, called upon the Board for a report regarding the traffic in, and consumption of, Opium in the Godavery District, and as to whether the people in any other districts were similarly addicted to Opium-eating.

2. The foregoing letters are the reports on the subject submitted by Collectors.

3. It appears that the districts in which the consumption of Opium is excessive are Godavery, Kistna, Vizagapatam, and Ganjam.

4. The value of the amount annually consumed in the Godavery District is estimated at seven lakhs of Rupees, and the Deputy-Collector on general duties states that forty per cent. of the male and twenty-five per cent. of the female population are habitual Opium-eaters.

5. The Collector of Ganjam reports the consumption in his district as very considerable. The value is supposed to be from Rupees 70,000 to 90,000.

6. The estimates given in different portions of the Vizagapatam District vary so much, without any apparent reason, that little reliance can be placed on the correctness of the figures given.

Thus, in the Principal Assistant's Division, with a population of 556,556, the annual consumption is said to be nearly 54,000 seers; in the Senior Assistant's Division, with a population of 966,948, it is said to be 10,320 seers; and in the rest of the district (except Jeypore), with a population of 321,207, it is said to be 29,000 seers. In Jeypore it is said to be largely used. The Collector estimates the

value of the Opium consumed in the lower portions of the district at Rs. 4,72,775, but it is probably much greater.

7. The Collector of the Kistna District states that very large quantities of Opium are imported through Juggiahpet from Malwa and Hyderabad, but that the consumption in the district is not very great, and that he is unable to find what becomes of the large importations.

8. The letter from the Collector of Sea Customs gives an account of the illicit trade carried on in Opium, and suggests that to prevent this the Opium Acts of Bengal and Bombay should be extended to Madras.

9. From these reports, as well as from the replies to G. O., 13th October 1870, No. 1,133, which the Board are about to submit to Government, it is evident that smuggling on a very large scale is carried on, and that the consequent loss of revenue is very great, and the Board have already stated* their opinion that it is necessary for the safety of the Imperial revenue that the transport, possession, or sale of Opium should be placed under restrictions similar to those of the Abkari Law, and they are of opinion that this is also expedient on sanitary grounds.

10. In a letter to the Government of India, dated the 15th July 1871, No. 1,360, Government expressed themselves as strongly opposed to any restrictions as to the sale or possession of Opium, but this was because of the insignificant extent of the home-consumption of the drug in this Presidency.

11. It appears, however, from the reports now obtained that in four districts at least, the consumption of Opium is very considerable, and the Board are of opinion that the consumption in several other districts, viz., Bellary, Kurnool, and Cuddapah, is under-estimated. These districts have great facilities for obtaining the drug from the Central Provinces and Hyderabad. From G. O., 16th February 1874, No. 219, it appears that Government have already determined to introduce a bill prohibiting the retail-sale of bhang and other intoxicating drugs without a license from the Collector. Opium traffic should be dealt with in the same bill.

(A true Extract.)

[illegible]
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

[illegible]
First Assistant.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

With a file of Collector's replies.

Copy to all Collectors.

* *Vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 12th November 1870, No. 6,616, para. 7, embodied in Proceedings of Government, dated 12th April 1871, No. 668. Note.—Act XXI. of 1857 has been repealed by Act X. of 1871. Acts XI. of 1849 and XIII. of 1857 should also be referred to.

From J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 2nd August 1873, No. 988.

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of the Board's Proceedings No. 812 of 16th May 1873, requesting me to report the extent to which the traffic and consumption of opium prevails in this district.

2. I should have reported sooner on this subject, but I wished first to procure information from Malwa, and also to include in this letter the account of several smuggling cases now under examination.

3. The Board are aware that the subject of opium smuggling is one in which I have taken a great personal interest since March 1868, when I first discovered the extent to which it was carried on. In October 1870 I sent in a full report* to the Board on the subject, which the Board forwarded to Government, supporting very strongly my recommendations to introduce penal laws for regulating the transport, possession, and sale of opium in this Presidency.

4. The Government of Madras was of opinion,† that the local production and consumption was not such as to render any excise measure necessary, or advisable, and that a mere enactment, prescribing certain rates of Customs duty on exports and imports by land or sea, would sufficiently regulate the trade in this Presidency.

5. In answer to the proposal of the Madras Government, the Government of India directed‡ that an export duty of Rupees 600 per chest should be imposed, and also remarked on several points referred to in my letter, and the Board's Proceedings.

6. On receipt of the Order of the Government of India an export duty of Rupees 600 per chest was imposed by the Madras Government, which further gave as its opinion,§ that in view of the insignificant extent of the home consumption of the drug, this Government is altogether opposed to any restrictions on the possession or sale of opium within the Presidency.

7. Here the matter dropped, as I had gone to England in June 1871. I returned in June 1872, when I found the Board's Proceedings of May 16th 1872, calling for a fresh report on the subject of opium.

8. It seemed to me that the object of my report of 13th October 1870, was misunderstood by the Government of Madras. I recommended that penal laws should be introduced for regulating the *transport, possession* and *sale* of opium within the Presidency. My recommendations were supported by the Board, but the Government appear to have supposed that I had reported on, and advised to control only the *consumption* of opium and its import and export by sea or land, and recommended that restrictions should be put on the latter, but not on the former.

* Para. 3, Board's Proceedings, dated 16th October 1870, No. 943, para. 10.

† Board's Proceedings, 15th November 1870, No. 6,616, paragraph 7.

‡ Paragraph 12, G. O., 15th January 1871, No. 68.

§ Paragraphs, same letter.

9. I will now proceed to consider the letter* from the Government of India, which appears to me to show that the Government of India was of opinion that opium was transported through the Madras Presidency, that such traffic should be controlled, † and that it might be desirable to introduce the Bengal system making possession illegal, and thereby prevent untaxed consumption and evasion of the Malwa export duty. In paragraph 4 upon the subject of penalizing possession of opium is referred to, and in paragraph 6 it is stated that "I am to impress this point strongly on the attention of the Government of Madras, for it appears to the Governor-General in Council that the subject has not been sufficiently considered, the only precaution made being for the imposition of import and export duties by land and sea."

10. As regards the remarks that in the estimate of 57,000 chests as the annual produce of Malwa is altogether unreliable, all I can say is, I beg to refer to the letter from the Officiating Deputy Opium Agent in Malwa, and the statement forwarded therewith. What that officer's grounds for forming a correct estimate are I do not know, but there is nothing in this letter forwarding it to show that the estimate was otherwise than approximately correct, and there is a strong unconcerted fact. I again refer to my letter No. 1078, to the Madras Customs Agent, and forward copy of his reply, and it will be seen that he fully corroborates my statement, and in the Statement (below referred to) for 4, 5, 6 and 7 years I have used the expression "probable outturn" or "approximate outturn" advisedly, as I do not know, but am led to find out, the constitution and system of working of the Malwa Opium Agency, by which such an enormous amount of revenue is realized, the Deputy Opium Agency should be able to give the quantities of opium produced. I have any figures and facts to the contrary, or can state that the estimate made for the quantities of opium produced, I have any

11. I cannot help remarking that nothing was said in the first letter or statement about the figures being approximate, or guess work, these words are only used in the second letter and statement.

12. The construction and system of working of the Malwa Opium Agency is, however, a matter for the consideration of the Government of India, but I would respectfully remark that it cannot give even approximately correct returns of the number of chests of opium produced, as every agriculturist in Malwa is a cultivator of opium, and sells very small quantities to the Opium Agency, and the Agency has no means of knowing whether the quantities of opium produced have any foundation of fact, or not.

13. [illegible] Madras itself or other Collectors in numerous places and records to the figures which will be found in the proceedings of the Board of 9th April 1872, No. 1,036,—by Dr. Cornish, the Sanitary Commissioner, and printed by the Proceedings of May 16th 1879, No. 816.

14. [illegible] I have received information of numerous [illegible] I cannot give particulars in this letter, as to [illegible] the smugglers, and I demand would [illegible]

15. I beg to refer to the letter from the Officiating Deputy Opium Agent in Malwa, and the statement forwarded therewith. What that officer's grounds for forming a correct estimate are I do not know, but there is nothing in this letter forwarding it to show that the estimate was otherwise than approximately correct, and there is a strong unconcerted fact. I again refer to my letter No. 1078, to the Madras Customs Agent, and forward copy of his reply, and it will be seen that he fully corroborates my statement, and in the Statement (below referred to) for 4, 5, 6 and 7 years I have used the expression "probable outturn" or "approximate outturn" advisedly, as I do not know, but am led to find out, the constitution and system of working of the Malwa Opium Agency, by which such an enormous amount of revenue is realized, the Deputy Opium Agency should be able to give the quantities of opium produced. I have any figures and facts to the contrary, or can state that the estimate made for the quantities of opium produced, I have any

16. I can, however, safely state that the illicit traffic in opium has considerably increased during the last two years consequent on the perfect impunity with which the smugglers have carried on their trade, and the *enormous profits* made by them. Matters are becoming daily worse; and unless steps are taken to put a stop to the smuggling now going on, I believe that not only will the Government continue to lose the large surplus of nearly a crore of Rupees, which I firmly believe they ought to collect annually on Malwa opium in addition to the present revenue, but the revenue from that source will begin to decrease.

17. I know for a fact that opium is smuggled on board dhonies and other vessels all along the coast for transport to Ceylon, Burmah and Mauritius, and I believe to the Straits and China. It is smuggled across the frontiers to foreign ports, such as Pondichery and Karikal, and shipped there, and until the law is altered, and a *really effective* and *well paid* preventive service established, smuggling cannot be prevented.

18. It is a well known and unchronicled fact in the history of Customs duties all over the world, that a duty of 20 per cent *ad valorem* on almost any article makes smuggling a very profitable trade. What then must be the inducement when duties, such as that on opium, 600 Rupees on a chest of 130 lb. are imposed.

Paragraph 14, letter to Board, 18th October 1879, No. 982. As already stated by me a lb. of opium in Madras costs 3 or 4 Rupees, and sells in the Mauritius and Rangoon for 15 or 17 Rupees.—600 per cent. profit. This one fact alone is sufficient to prove to one, that an enormous amount of smuggling must take place, even if no cases of it had ever been detected.

19. The Government of India further remarks that the traffic, illicit possession and sale should be controlled, and means are suggested for that purpose. On this point, I can only reiterate the views already expressed by me on several previous occasions, which have been supported by the Board, viz., to prohibit by law the transport by land or sea, possession, and sale of opium without a pass, or licence, under very heavy penalties.

Paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6, of letter of the Under Secretary to Government of India, to Chief Secretary, Madras, 9th June 1879, No. 926.
Ditto ditto dated 16th September 1868, No. 1,019, para. 12.
Ditto ditto Sub Ditto 16th [illegible] No. 1,153.
Ditto ditto 30th December 1869, No. 1,496, para. 10.
Ditto ditto 18th October 1870, No. 982, para. 14.
Board's Proceedings, 19th November 1870, No. 6,810, paragraphs 6 and 7.

20. The Papers on the subject of consumption in Madras, called for by the Board, in the same Proceedings, will be sent in a few days.

21. That subject should, I respectfully submit, be taken up separately from those of traffic, possession, and sale, as I believe, had not the subject of consumption unfortunately attracted the notice of the Government of Madras, a law would have been passed a year or two ago controlling the traffic, possession, and sale.

22. I am induced to make these remarks, from the general tenor of the letter of the Under Secretary to the Government of India, and to my personal knowledge of the fact that the late Viceroy,—Lord Mayo,—believed that the statements in my letter of October 18th, 1879, were correct, and that legislation was necessary to prevent smuggling, and the consequent enormous loss of revenue.

Enclosure No. 1.

From D. W. R. BUIST, Esq., Officiating Deputy Opium Agent, Malwa, to the Collector of Sea Customs House, Madras, dated Indore, 18th July 1878, No. 425.

With reference to your letter No. 713, dated 7th instant, I have the honour to forward a statement for the last four years, showing the probable total out-turn even of Malwa Opium and the number of chests that have been sent to Bombay for exportation and those reserved for Home consumption.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. HUNTER BLAIR,
Collector.

Enclosure No. 2.

Probable Statement showing the estimated crops of Native Opium and the number of chests that have been sent to Bombay for exportation and those reserved for home consumption.

Years.	Total number of chests produced approximate.	Actual to Bombay for exportation.	Reserved for home consumption in Malwa approximate.	Opium approximate.	Berar approximate.	Actual to Bombay.	Drug approximate.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1869-70	60,000	37,864	5,000	5,000	5,000	218	5,000
1870-71	38,000	37,008	5,000	5,000	1,000	400	5,000
1871-72	59,000	37,591	6,000	6,000	6,000	372	5,000
1872-73	60,000	42,683	5,000	4,000	5,000	200	11,000

Note.—Columns Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 7 were guess.

Indore,
18th July 1873.

(Signed) D. M. B. HUGHES,
Offg. Deputy Opium Agent, Malwa.

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. HUSTLER HEATH,
Collector.

Revenue Department.

No. 576

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT,

Under date the 29th March 1876.

Read the following [illegible]

[illegible]

H. E.

