

- (1) Number of Pages.....20
(2) Date of repair.....18.5.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*W*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Rev......DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	46	Press
B.P. No.		~~Mis.~~
Dated	13 th January	1874 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read the following papers:—

No. 87. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th September 1873,
No. 1,821.

Read the following letter from J. R. COCKERELL, Esq., Commissioner of the Nilgiris, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Ootacamund, 1st August 1873, No. 63:—

PARAGRAPH 2, G. O., Revenue Department, dated 2nd July 1873, No. 678, disposing of the Conservancy of the Nilgiri Hill slopes, runs thus: "The Commissioner of the Nilgiris appears to overlook the fact that his Jungle Conservancy establishment is a *local* one."

2. There are two Overseers on my Jungle Conservancy establishment, both Europeans. When the Conservancy was constituted, five years ago, these Overseers were transferred to it from the Imperial Forest Department without being consulted.

3. I have the honour to inquire whether the Overseers are to be considered as performing service for pension just as if they had continued in the Imperial Department, or whether their five years in the Jungle Conservancy does not count towards pension. In the latter case the Overseers have expressed their intention of seeking employment in the Imperial Department, and, at the same time, of requesting that their time in the Jungle Conservancy may be considered as service on the ground that they would not have joined the Conservancy had they supposed the case otherwise.

4. With reference to paragraph 9, G. O., Financial Department, dated 29th August 1872, No. 1,149, I trust the time passed by the Overseers in the Conservancy may be declared service towards pension as a special case.

5. In connection with the matter of these Overseers I beg to apply for a sanction for horse allowance, at Rupees 30 per month, for the junior of them. The senior Overseer is a pensioned Quartermaster Serjeant: he draws under the Conservancy Rupees 130 per month. The junior Overseer draws Rupees 80 a month, and this sum, he states with truth, is only sufficient for his personal expenses; but it is absolutely necessary for him to keep a pony to enable him to get through his work, and the animal must be good as he has to ride, on an average, ten miles a day during the planting season. His present pony, I know, cost him 200 Rupees, and its predecessor died from the constant work and exposure. The increase, of course, will be met from the Jungle Conservancy Fund.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board have expressed an opinion in favour of allowing pensions to employes under the Jungle Conservancy; but Government overruled that opinion in paragraph 9 of G. O., dated 29th August 1872, No. 1,149.

3. The only way in which the hardship pointed out by the Commissioner can, therefore, be remedied would seem to be by paying twenty per cent. of the salaries of Jungle Overseers previously employed as Forest Overseers and as such eligible for pension to Imperial Funds under Section 35-A of the Civil Pension Code.

4. The Commissioner will report what this amount will be and whether the Fund can afford it. The Board support the Commissioner's application for a horse allowance to the junior Overseer.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th January 1874.*

No. 88. From R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to
D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, dated 13th December 1873, No. 450.

ABSTRACT.—States that the service of the Overseers who were transferred from
the Forest Department to the Commissioner does not qualify for pension under
Government for the reasons given within.

No. 89. ORDER THEREON, 13th January 1874, No. 46.

Forests, No. 2.

SERVICE on the Jungle Conservancy
Establishment under the Commissioner
is clearly not service qualifying for

pension and as that establishment was transferred previous to the 14th of October
1871, it would appear that Section 35 A, of the Pension Code referred to by the
Board is inapplicable in its case.

2. A reference on this point will be made to the Government of India, but
the Government are given to understand that Mr. W. Taylor who was one of the
Overseers transferred from the Forest Department in 1869 has since returned to
it and the Board will be good enough to call upon the Commissioner to report
whether this is the case and also to submit a Statement showing the names and
services of the Overseers now on his establishment.

3. With reference to the Commissioner's application for a horse allowance for
the Junior Overseer, it is observed that from the statement in paragraph 2 of the

G. O., 10th September 1869, No. 2,550. late Commissioner's letter, reporting
having taken over the establishment it
would appear that the 2nd Overseer is already in receipt of a special allowance of
Rs. 30, in addition to his salary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

No. 1,821.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th September 1873.

Read the following letter from J. R. COCKERELL, Esq., Commissioner of the Nilgiris, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Ootacamund, 1st August 1873, No. 63:—

PARAGRAPH 2, G. O., Revenue Department, dated 2nd July 1873, No. 678, disposing of the Conservancy of the Nilgiri Hill slopes, runs thus: "The Commissioner of the Nilgiris appears to overlook the fact that his Jungle Conservancy establishment is a *local* one."

2. There are two Overseers on my Jungle Conservancy establishment, both Europeans. When the Conservancy was constituted, five years ago, these Overseers were transferred to it from the Imperial Forest Department without being consulted.

3. I have the honour to inquire whether the Overseers are to be considered as performing service for pension just as if they had continued in the Imperial Department, or whether their five years in the Jungle Conservancy does not count towards pension. In the latter case the Overseers have expressed their intention of seeking employment in the Imperial Department, and, at the same time, of requesting that their time in the Jungle Conservancy may be considered as service on the ground that they would not have joined the Conservancy had they supposed the case otherwise.

4. With reference to paragraph 9, G. O., Financial Department, dated 29th August 1872, No. 1,149, I trust the time passed by the Overseers in the Conservancy may be deemed service towards pension as a special case.

5. In connection with the matter of these Overseers I beg to apply for a sanction for horse allowance, at Rupees 30 per month, for the junior of them. The senior Overseer is a pensioned Quartermaster Serjeant: he draws under the Conservancy Rupees 130 per month. The junior Overseer draws Rupees 80 a month, and this sum, he states with truth, is only sufficient for his personal expenses; but it is absolutely necessary for him to keep a pony to enable him to get through his work, and the animal must be good as he has to ride, on an average, ten miles a day during the planting season. His present pony, I know, cost him 200 Rupees, and its predecessor died from the constant work and exposure. The increase, of course, will be met from the Jungle Conservancy Fund.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board have expressed an opinion in favour of allowing pensions to employes under the Jungle Conservancy; but Government overruled that opinion in paragraph 7 of G. O., dated 29th August 1872, No. 1,149.

3. The only way in which the hardship pointed out by the Commissioner can, therefore, be remedied would seem to be by paying twenty per cent. of the salaries of Jungle Overseers previously employed as Forest Overseers and as such eligible for pension to Imperial Funds under Section 35-A of the Civil Pension Code.

Cost of 1874 Rs. 100.00

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Secy. do. do.

Dated January 1874.

Head.

G. O., 13th January 1874, No. 46.

JANUARY.
Nos. 87-89.

Overseers in Jungle Conservancy Department on the Nilgiris.—Observing that service of — is clearly not service qualifying for pension, and calling for certain information in reference to the Overseers on the Commissioner's Establishment.



4. The Commissioner will report what this amount will be and whether the Fund can afford it. The Board support the Commissioner's application for a horse allowance to the junior Overseer.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. H. H. H.
Acting Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department,
,, the Commissioner of the Nilgiris.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers:

Overseers in Jungle Conservancy Department—on Nilgiris.—Question raised by Commissioner as to whether these officers, who were transferred from the Imperial Department, are to be considered as performing service for pension, submitted for orders of Government. Commissioner's application for horse allowance of Rupees 30 a month for junior Overseer, supported. Commissioner to report whether Jungle Conservancy Fund can afford to pay twenty per cent. of salaries of these Overseers as a contribution to Government on account of pension and what amount will have to be so paid.

SEPTEMBER.
No. 1,821.

Received 20 Sept 1873
Encl.

PROCEEDINGS, 13TH SEPTEMBER 1873, No. 1,821.

BOARD of REVENUE
MADRAS.

1873.

1873.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 450.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
13th December 1873.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To THE Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

SIR,
I have the honor to state with reference to Mr Under Secretary Campbell's official Memo No 206 dated 9th December 1873 that in my opinion the service of the overseers therein referred to who were transferred from the Forest Department to the Commissioner does not qualify for pension from Government under para 9 of G. O. Fin. Deptt. No 1149 dated 29th August 1872 as from para 14 of G. O. Rev. Deptt. No 653 dated 11th March 1869 it appears that from April 1869 they were to be paid from the Jungle Conservancy Fund. Under Government of India Order No 3598 dated 9th October 1873 published in page 1634 of the Fort Saint George Gazette of 21st idem it would be possible to make the service of men similarly circumstanced to qualify but it does not appear to me that the overseers referred to can be treated under that Govt of India Order since they have not subscribed from the date of their first appointment. I beg therefore that the case may be referred to the Government of

165

Shi

Methodisch

Account General

W. Taylor 2^d Dr.

but the latter had
left the single Cars & Dr.
took up a clearance
in a small ^{part} of
forest say having
he was home on
the strength of the
Roll of the single
leaves Sept ~~18~~
J. S. C. O.

Leary Sept. 1887
 They were charged as
 per 3rd of 1887. Vide
 Board Proc. dated
 29th Jan. 1887 N.S.
 Mr. Newman was charged 100 + 30
 50 + 15
 Taylor -

C. G. B.

I understand only when we are
in Government Service

Ms. 2938/73-

FROM

ACCTT. GENL.'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

70

THE ~~Partner~~. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS

To the Secretary to Govt

Albion St. East.
W. H. H. H. H.

Dated	Recd.	Ans'd.	No. of answer
13th	15		
Decr: 1873			

Subject.

States that the Service of the Veterans who were transferred from the Soviet Republic to the Commission does not qualify for decision under part for the reasons -
 since within -

30.46-13 (any) 74

to apply for employment
in the Imperial Department
and to request that
as they were ignorant
of the rule their service
on the Local Establishment
may be permitted
to count towards
pension.

3. The Commissioner
also takes this opportunity
to recommend 30 Rs
home allowance to the
second over-seer who
now draws 80 Rs a
month. The Board
support this recommend-
-ation.

From the Commissioner's
letter recorded in P.O. 10
Sept. 89 it appears that
the 80 Rs per m is now made
up of Rs 50 + 30 Rs home
allowance. Attention is
also directed to para 10
where the Comr. stated that
if he got an officer from the
dept. (as he now has) he
would dispute with this
over-seer. orders are despatched.

This shall be
printed at Jot.

31 Capt. Jagee

4. As to the pensions the
Board remark that the
Government "over-ruled their
opinion" in favor of allowing
pensions to employees under
the Jungle Conservancy
and they think that the
only way these over-seers can
become eligible for
pension is by paying
to Government 20 per
cent of their salaries
under § 35 A. of the
pension Code.

It is however observed
that rule 34 limits the
action of the following
rules to "officers transferred
on or after the 14th July"
whereas these men were
transferred in 89.

5. It is proposed that
the Commissioner must
be informed that none
of the members of the Jungle
Conservancy establishment
are performing pensionable
service and that the question
of their being allowed to
count the years spent on
it towards pension (should

198 para 9 P.O. 29th
Aug. 72 No 1149.

They hereafter be
employed on any service
qualifying for pension
must await for disposal
their retirement from
their service
Lal

I think the two
over seen in his name
to be transferred as a
matter of course are
fairly entitled to
them.

I do not think the
act: can be reduced.

If they are to pay
20 p. we must add
the like sum to their
pay.

L O S

done
10/11 Jm

Memor. for Orders

Question raised by the Jm. Jdgms as to whether the Overseers in the Jungle Conservancy Dept in the Jdgms are to be considered as performing service for pension.

Care

2938

10 to Mr. Linn

O.M. 9 Dec 73
No. 206.

Conservancy
for draft

West No. 46 No. 46
Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 13 January 1874

Read the following papers.

Proceedings Board of Revenue submitting for Orders, question raised by Commissioner Nilgiris as to whether Overseers in Jungle Conservancy Department who were transferred from the Imperial Department are to be considered as performing service for pension.

(N.C. 13 September 1873 N. 1821.)

Letter from the Accountant General stating that the service of the Overseers who were transferred from the Forest Department to the Commissioner, Nilgiris, does not qualify for pension under Government.

(N.C. 13 December 1873 N. 450.)

L.D.

Service on the Jungle Conservancy Establishment under the Commissioner is clearly not service qualifying for pension and as that establishment was transferred previous to

to the 14th of October 1871, it would appear that Section 35-A of the Pension Code referred to by the Board is inapplicable in its case.

2. A reference on this point will be made to the Government of India, but the Government are given to understand that W. W. Taylor who was one of the overseers transferred from the Forest Department in 1869 has since returned to it and the Board will be good enough to call upon the Commissioner to report whether this is the case and also to submit a statement showing the names and services of the Overseers now on his establishment.

3. With reference to the Commissioner's application for a horse allowance for the Junior Overseer, it is observed that

G.O. 10 September 1869. N^o 2530.

that from the Statement⁴⁶ in para 2 of the late Commissioner's letter, reporting having taken over the establishment it would appear that the 2nd Overseer is already in receipt of a special allowance of Rs 30. in addition to his salary.

See

14 to B. of Revenue
6 - Sect. General 13 des. 15 Jan 74
3

Compd WROBT

68-68

L.D.
The
Concomitancy of the
have been transferred
from the Great

19 Dec 173 - 15 Jan 174