M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 14

(2) Date of repair 8-8-1966

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	429	Press / ~~Mis.~~
Dated	10-4-1874	~~196~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 10th April 1874.

No. 49. Read the following letter from H. E. SULLIVAN, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 18th March 1874, No. 673-8:—

WITH reference to G. O., dated 4th August 1862, No. 1,653, I have the honour to request sanction for the exemption from the Examination Rules of the employés noted in the margin, who have been recently transferred from Settlement Parties that are being reduced to that formed in the Ganjam District. Their experience in the department render them better qualified for the posts to which they stand appointed than passed men unacquainted with the work could possibly be. Mr. Goodrich speaks of the two Office Gumastahs as efficient and valuable public servants, and reports that no equally qualified candidates offered themselves for the posts.

I. Seshagiri Row...	Office Gumastah.
P. Rangayya	do.
Mulladi Lakshminarayana...	Head Classifier.
Peduga Narasimha Row ...	Classifier.
Nidumolu Gopalakristnayya.	do.
Maganti Lakshminarayana...	do.
Chamarti Amaralingaya ...	do.
Guruzola Ramanna	do.
Aduvi Narasimham	do.

2. In all cases of appointments to the lower posts, the Deputy-Directors have been instructed to carry out the orders of Government to entertain only passed men in the first instance, so that applications of this nature will, in future, be rare.

No. 50. ORDER THEREON, 10th April 1874, No. 429.

Miscellaneous.

IT is not clear why this exemption is requested when the men referred to have been only *transferred*.

2. On previous occasions the application has referred to the time the subordinate might be entertained on the field establishment in the department. No reason is given for the more general exemption now sought.

3. The Director's letter recently disposed of in G. O., 3rd March 1874, No. 292, shows that such a concession, while desirable in grades on the field establishments, was, as a rule, unnecessary in favour of Gumastahs, except under special circumstances, which do not appear to exist in the present instance as regards the two Office Gumastahs named.

4. The Acting Director will be good enough to submit a list of persons who have been exempted, as "special cases," under the operation of G. O., 4th August 1862, No. 1,653, and will give further particulars as to age, service, and pay, in reference to the employés named in his present letter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Revenue Settlement.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of 1874 Collections.

REVENUE.

To

The HONORABLE the BOARD OF REVENUE

SETTLEMENT.

Dated April 10th.

Rect.

Rect.

G. O., 10th April 1874, No. 439.

APRIL.

Nos. 4950.

Gumasthas and Dubashes of the Canjam Settlement Party proposed for exemption from Examination Rules.—Calling for a list of persons who have been exempted under G. O. 4th August 1862, No. 1653, and for further particulars regarding exemption.

☆

H.B.V.

Revenue Settlement Office,

Madras,

18th March 1874.

No. 673/8

From,

H. C. Sullivan Esqre.

Offg. Director of Rev. Settlt.

To,

C. G. Master Esqre.

Actg. Secretary to Government

Revenue Department.

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to G. O. 4th August 1862 No. 1653, I have the honor to request sanction for the exemption from the Examination Rules of the Employés noted in the margin who have been recently transferred from Settlement parties that are being reduced to that formed in the Canjam District. Their experience

S. Seshagiri Rao	Office Gumastah	noted in the margin who have been recently transferred from Settlement parties that are being reduced to that formed in the Canjam District. Their experience
P. Rangayya	—do—	
Mulladi Lakshmina-rayana	1st Classifier	
Reddy Narasimha Rao	Classifier	
Nidumolu Gopala-Krishnayya	—do—	
Maganti Lakshmina-rayana	—do—	
Chamarti Amaralingayya	—do—	
Guruzala Ramanna	—do—	
Aduri Narasimham	—do—	

in the Department render them better qualified for the posts to which they stand appointed than passed men unacquainted with the work could possibly be. Mr. Goodrich speaks of the two Office Gumastahs as efficient and valuable public servants and reports that no equally qualified candidates offered themselves for the posts.

2. In all cases of appointments to the lower posts, the Deputy Directors have been instructed to carry out the orders of Government to entertain only passed men in the first instance so that applications of this nature will, in future, be rare.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obed.t Serv.t

D. A. Saccador

To Wm. Nixon Esq.re Director of Resettlement

H 29/4

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 919.

From Director of Rev Settlement.
Dated 18 March 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

If they have been transferred
why, why & they need
explanation.

Revenue 1874 Sept 4

No 919.

From
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
&
Receipt Dy to the
Rev. Secrets.

dated 1st Sept
Rec'd 5th Sept
1874

No 6993
8

Requesting that Certificates
Remarks and
Character Rolls
to the Examination Rolls
may be
executed from the
Examination Rolls.

S.O. No 429 dated 10th Sept 1874

Ind. Off. S. Notification Appointments &c
No 31 - 28 Sept
a. 1. 1874
Ind. Off. 28 Sept 30.

49

No 917

FOR ORDERS.

Exemption of certain Gumastahs and Classifiers belonging to the Ganjam Settlement party from the Examination Rules.

Letter to H.S. Thuillier on the 30th
C 24 March 74 - R 27th - RC 28th

429

Revenue Department.

No. 429

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 10th April 1874.

READ the following Letter from the Offg Director of Rev Settlement

Requesting that certain Gumastahs and Classifiers belonging to the Ganjam Settlement party, may be exempted from the Examination Rules

H. E. 18th March 1874 No. 673/8.

It is not clear why this Exemption is requested when the men referred to, have been only transferred.

2. On previous occasions the application has referred to the time the subordinate might be entertained on the Field Establishment in the Department. No reason is given for the more general exemption now sought.

3. The Director's letter

letter, recently disposed of in G.O 3d March 1874 N: 292, shews that such a concession, while desirable in grades on the first establishment was, as a rule, unnecessary in favor of Gunnastahs, except under special circumstances which do not appear to exist in the present instance as regards the two Office Gunnastahs named.

4. The Ag: Director will be good enough to submit a list of persons who have been exempted, as "special cases", under the operation of G.O. 4: August 1862 N: 1653, and will give further particulars as to age, service, and pay, in reference to the employés named in his present letter.

13 To the Dy Bar Settlement. 2nd Sept 1874

Ex. Board

E-O.

Direct's request to stay & continue
Subordinate Jurisdiction Settlement Party's
Examination Rules

51

Ge

Ser Robinson on the 30 - R 8 appl -
C 28 March 74 — R 30. Sent & Sworn & Hon the