422

M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 4

(2) Date of repair 6/8/66

(3) Initials of the Referencer CMS

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 422 Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 1-4-1874 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 501.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 6th March 1874.

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Madura, 5th February 1874, No. 13 :—

WITH reference to paragraph 2 of the Law Officer's opinion communicated with the Board's Miscellaneous No. 369, dated 20th January 1874, I have the honour to report that the sum of Rupees 2,750-1-2 spent in the Ramaswaram appeal may, in my opinion, be treated as a legal charge on the estate.

2. In that suit the Zemindar claimed a right to nominate the Pandaram of the great temple at Ramaswaram on the ground that such right had been from time immemorial annexed to the title of Sethoopathy, which goes with the Ramnad Estate. It seems to be for the interest of the estate that all ancient dignities and privileges belonging to it should be maintained, if possible.

3. The funds were not taken from the revenues of the Ramaswaram Temple, but from those of other temples. There was therefore clearly a misappropriation of the money.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to paragraph 2 of the Law Officer's opinion recorded in G. O., dated 13th January 1874, No. 45.

2. The Court of Wards agree with the Collector that the sum referred to may be considered as chargeable to the Ramnad Estate, as expended in asserting an ancient right and dignity of the Zemindar.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H Elcho

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
,, Collector of Madura.

Exd. W. H. French.

2. P

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st April 1874.

No. 36. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 6th March 1874, No. 501:—

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Madura, 5th February 1874, No. 13:—

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Law Officer's opinion communicated with the Board's Miscellaneous No. 360, dated 20th January 1874, I have the honour to report that the sum of Rupees 2,750-1-2 spent in the Ramaswaram appeal may, in my opinion, be treated as a legal charge on the estate.

2. In that suit the Zemindar claimed a right to nominate the Pandaram of the great temple at Ramaswaram on the ground that such right had been from time immemorial annexed to the title of Sethoopathy, which goes with the Ramnad Estate. It seems to be for the interest of the estate that all ancient dignities and privileges belonging to it should be maintained, if possible.

3. The funds were not taken from the revenues of the Ramaswaram Temple, but from those of other temples. There was therefore clearly a misappropriation of the money.

Submitted to Government with reference to paragraph 2 of the Law Officer's opinion recorded in G. O., dated 13th January 1874, No. 45.

2. The Court of Wards agree with the Collector that the sum referred to may be considered as chargeable to the Ramnad Estate, as expended in asserting an ancient right and dignity of the Zemindar.

No. 37. Order thereon, 1st April 1874, No. 422.

Miscellaneous.

The Government concur with the Court of Wards in the view expressed by them in paragraph 2 of these Proceedings.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue 1874. April
Court of Wards,
MADRAS. No 808

Proceedings, 6th March 1874, No. 501:

Encl.

Received 12
No 422-1st April 74

MARCH
No. 501.

Ramnad Estate—in Madura District, now under charge of Court of Wards.—Collector's letter stating that Rupees 2,750-1-2 spent in Ramaswaram appeal in which late Zemindar of — claimed right to nominate Pandaram of the temple at Ramaswaram, may rightly be charged to the estate, submitted to Government, and concurrence expressed with Collector.

No 422
The Government concur with the Court of Wards in the view expressed by them in para 2 of these Proceedings.
1st April 74.

To the Court of Wards

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE COURT OF WARDS.

Dated April 1st.

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 1st April 1874, No. 422.

APRIL.

Nos. 36-37.

Ramnad Estate in Madura District.—Concurs with [illegible] of Wards that the sum expended in the Ramaswaram Appeal should be chargeable to the —.