396

M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 6

(2) Date of repair 23-7-66

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	396	Press / Mis. —
Dated	30-3-1964	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

396
1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th March 1874.

No. 249. Read the following letter from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, dated Bombay Castle, 21st March 1874, No. 1,472:—

[illegible] request, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, the favour of your causing them to be compared with the originals and corrected where necessary, and that certificates may be appended to them in the form prescribed in Section 76 of Act I. of 1872. Some of the manuscript copies from which these papers have been printed are already certified as true copies; but the Solicitor to Government thinks an objection may be raised about their admissibility on the ground that the certificates do not contain all the particulars required by the Section of the Evidence Act above quoted. Other manuscript copies have no original signature.

2. In some cases only extracts have been printed; but it would probably save trouble and dispute hereafter in Court if certified copies of the entire documents were made. This applies to the documents marginally specified, but not to the statements, from Nos. XI. up to XX. inclusive, in Collection No. 1, which appear to be only accompaniments, nor to No. VIII. (Collection No. 1) which is actually found as an accompaniment to "letter from Mr. Harris to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th May 1822," which is in Collection No. 2, page 15. It will also be seen that the extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, which is printed in part in Collection No. 1 (No. XXVII.), is found at page 125 (Collection No. 2) printed in full.

Papers, etc., Nos. IX., X., XXI., XXV., XXVIII., XXX., XLI., which will be found in Collection No. 1.
Also Jammabandi Report for fasli 1248 and letter from Mr. Reade to Mr. Blane No. 274, dated 9th October 1847, at pages 139 and 157 in Collection No. 2.

3. It is hoped that it will be a saving of time and trouble to compare the printed copies with the originals instead of having manuscript copies taken anew. But if in any case the former course would be inconvenient from necessitating too many corrections or from other causes, the latter course may be adopted. This Government are very desirous of bringing the case to a hearing as soon as possible, and it is, therefore, requested that the certified copies be prepared in whatever way is most expeditious and forwarded as soon as is convenient.

---

250 [illegible]RDER THEREON, 30th March 1874, No. 396.

Land Revenue, No. 8.

FORWARDED to the Board of Revenue, together with the two compilations of printed papers, with the request that they may be compared with the originals and returned as early as possible.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

GENERAL POST OFFICE.
RETURN.
To be CARD to be returned.
G. O. 600 Series 1871, No. 1871.
Rate.
BLANCHE,
Post Office.

No 1472.

Revenue Department

Bombay Castle, 21st March 1874

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for consideration of printed papers headed Collection No 142, relating to the Kanara Land Case, now pending in the first Court of this Presidency, and to request the favour of your causing them to be compared with the originals and corrected where necessary, and that certificates may be appended to them in the form prescribed in Section 76 of Act I of 1872.

Some of the manuscript copies from which these printed copies have been printed are already certified to be true copies, but the Solicitor to Govt thinks an objection may be raised about their admissibility on the ground that the certificates do not contain all the particulars required by the Section of the Evidence Act above quoted. Other manuscript copies have no original signature.

I am

2 In some cases, only extracts have been printed; but it would probably cause trouble and dispute hereafter in Court, if certified copies of the entire documents were made. This applies to the documents marginally specified; but not to the Statements from Nos XI to XX which are in Collection No 1, which appear to be only subjoined to Enclosure (Collection No VIII) as explanatory of the details contained in Enclosure (Collection No X) as explanatory of the Letter from Mr Harris to the Board of Revenue dated 27th May 1822, which is in Collection No 2 page 15. It will also be seen that the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue which is printed in part in Collection No I (No XXVII) is found at page 125 (Collection No 2) printed in full.

Papers vide Nos IX, X, XXI-XXV, XXVIII-XXX-XLI; which will be found in Collection No I.

Also Jummabandi Report for Fasli 12[illegible] & letter from Mr Blade to Mr Kla[illegible] No 274 dated 9th October 1847, [illegible] pages 139 & 157 in Collection No II

3 It is proposed that there be a Committee to compare the printed copies with the originals instead of having manuscript copies taken even in Part in any case, the former course would be inconvenient from necessitating too many corrections or from other causes the latter could

may

be adopted. This Government are very desirous of bringing the case to a hearing as soon as possible and I therefore requested that the certified copies be prepared in whatever way is most expeditious and forwarded as soon as is convenient.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

J Gunnis
For Chief Secretary to Government

2/12/2

Revenue 1874 Deptt
No. 996.

From
The Chief Secy to
Govt of Bombay

Dated 21: March 74
Recd 26: " "
No 1472

Encl: 2.

Transmitting two Compilations of printed papers relating to Colaba land case now pending in the High Court of Bombay & requesting that they may be compared with the originals & certified copies thereof transmitted as soon as possible.

In R Order
No 396 - 31 March 74

Transmitted to the Secretary to Govt of Revenue Department with the two Compilations of printed papers mentioned in the margin, with the request that they may be compared with the originals and returned as early as possible

31 March 74

Secy to Govt of Revenue Department
with 2 printed Compilations
14 S.C. on 13 April 74.