

(1) Number of Pages.....10.....
(2) Date of repair.....19.7.1966.....
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*Ch*.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Rev......DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	385	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	25-3-	1876 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

385
1

*Proceedings of the Madras, Government, Revenue Department,
25th March 1874.*

No. 227. Read the following letter from H. G. PRICHARD, Esq., Government Solicitor, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 17th March 1874, No. 156 :—

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the order of Government, dated 11th March, instant, No. 332, Revenue Department, together with its enclosures, requesting the Advocate-General's opinion relative to the collection by distraint of penalties imposed under Section 7 of the Madras Abkari Act as to Toddy-shop licenses.

2. I submitted the matter for the consideration of the Advocate-General, and I now forward herewith a copy of his opinion, and return the enclosures which accompanied the above order of Government.

—
OPINION.

I CONCUR with the Board in thinking that the words "any arrears of tax, duty, or fee due on account of any license" in Section 13 of Act XIX. of 1852 cannot be construed to include penalties for which a license provides. The "duty or fee" is clearly that referred to in Section 6.

2. A provision in a license that penalties incurred under it shall be recoverable by distraint in cases in which no such provision exists by law would not, in my opinion, render such a distraint lawful, so as to supersede the ordinary procedure for the recovery of a penalty incurred under a contract. Such a provision should not accordingly, as it appears to me, be inserted in the license. The question, however, does not arise in the present instance, as Section 7, under which the penalty has been incurred, contains no provision for distraint.

MADRAS,
16th March 1874.

(Signed) H. S. CUNNINGHAM,
Advocate-General.

No. 228. ORDER THEREON, 25th March 1874, No. 385.

Separate Revenue, No. 20.

Abkari.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of Revenue, with reference to G. O., 11th March 1874, No. 332.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Government Solicitor.

Opinion.

355

1. I concur with the Board in thinking that the words "any arrears of tax, duty or fee due on account of any license" in Section 13 of Act XIX of 1852 cannot be construed to include penalties for which a license provides. The "duty or fee" is clearly that referred to in Section 6.

2. A provision in a license that penalties incurred under it shall be recoverable by distraint, in cases in which no such provision exists by law, would not in my opinion, render such a distraint lawful, so as to supersede the ordinary procedure for the recovery of a penalty incurred under a contract. Such a provision should not accordingly, as it appears to me, be inserted in the license. The question, however, does not arise in the present instance as Section 7, under which the penalty has been incurred, contains no provision for distraint.

/Signed/ H. S. Cunningham.

Adv. Genl.

16-3-74.

/True Copy/

H. S. Richard
Government Solicitor.

Boat of 1874

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated March 26th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 26th March 1874, No. 385.

MARCH.

Nos. 227-228.

Toddy-shop Licenses under Madras Abolition Act.—Communicates to Revenue Board Government Solicitor's letter forwarding Advocate-General's opinion regarding the collection of penalties imposed under Section 7 of —.

N^o 156.

386/2

From

Madras, 1st March 1874.

H. G. Prichard
Government Solicitor

To C. G. Master Esq^{re}
Actg. Secretary to Government
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Order of Government dated 11th March instant N^o 332, Revenue Department, together with its enclosures, requesting the Advocate General's opinion relative to the collection by distraint of penalties imposed under Section 4 of the Madras Akhari Act as to Toddy Shop Licenses.

2. I submitted the matter for the consideration of the Advocate General and I now forward herewith a copy of his opinion and return the enclosures which accompanied the above Order of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

H. G. Prichard

Government Solicitor.

Enclosure in letter from
the Government Solicitor
to Mr. G. Master Esq^{re}
Actg. Secretary to Government
Fort St. George, dated 11th
March 1874, N^o 156.

Recd 888/74

25 March 74
213. 1. 5227-23

711

4. O. M. M. 1874-1875

W. J.

3 to H. J. G. 1874-1875
3 to J. J. G. 1874-1875

Dec. 1874. 24th

Thomas
The Commission of the
1888-1889

The Commission of the
1888-1889

Dec. 1874. 24th
1888-1889

Dec. 1874. 24th
1888-1889

Dec. 1874. 24th
1888-1889

Thomas
The Commission of the
1888-1889

40385

Dec. 1874. 24th
1888-1889

40385

25th March 1874