

- (1) Number of Pages 8/ 14
(2) Date of repair 24. 6. 1966
(3) Initials of the Referencer 246

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	287	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	2 March	1874 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

287
1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd March 1874.*

No. 22. Read the following petition from SYED MUHEYED DEEN HUSINE SAHIB, of Tripatore, to His Excellency the Right Honourable Lord VERE HENRY HOBART, Governor of Fort St. George, dated Madras, 7th January 1874 :—

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That aggrieved by the decision of the Board of Revenue and this local Government in the matter of the contract of the avenue trees in Salem Taluq, Salem District, petitioner ventures to present the enclosed petition to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India in Council.

2. Petitioner humbly prays that your Lordship will be graciously pleased to direct its transmission, with the enclosures thereto, to the Home Government.

3. For which act of kindness, petitioner, as in duty bound, will ever pray.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From SYED MUHEYED DEEN HUSINE SAHIB, of Tripatore, Salem District, Madras Presidency, to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India in Council, dated Madras, 7th January 1874.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

Your Lordship's petitioner presents this petition to your Lordship, the Inventor* of laws, against the decision of the Madras Government, being contrary to the Regulation XXVI. of

1802 (Madras), hoping that his case may be brought under your Lordship's favourable consideration, and justice may be done to him.

2. The contract of the avenues of the tamarind trees in the Salem Taluq (Salem District, Madras Presidency) was sold in public auction under the orders of the Collector of the district from fasli 1276 to fasli 1280 for Rupees 1,690 per annum, and such contract was given to one Habeeb Sahib.

3. This contract appearing to fail, the contractor, Habeeb Sahib, and the Tahsildar of Salem, both of whom were in bad terms with petitioner, availed themselves of this opportunity to involve petitioner into trouble, and the latter made a false report to the Collector of the district that petitioner had agreed to get the contract transferred to his name, and thereupon relieved Habeeb Sahib (the real contractor) from the responsibilities of Government dues. The Collector of the district believed in the Tahsildar's report, and ordered the transfer of the contract to petitioner's name, all behind his back, without his knowledge or consent, and without a written agreement taken from him. And the first time that petitioner came to know of this was when a demand was served on him. Then to avoid the disgrace of attachment of moveable property, he paid the amount under protest, and ever since has been praying for relief.

4. Petitioner laid his case before the Board of Revenue for their consideration, but they also, trusting the Collector's report which solely depended upon the false information given by the Tahsildar, declined to interfere.

5. Upon this your petitioner presented a petition to the Government, dated 27th May 1869, bringing to their notice the injustice done to him, but they gave an endorsement thereon, No. 1,289, dated 19th June 1869, declining to interfere on the strength of the Collector's letter. Petitioner then gave a second petition to Government, dated 2nd May 1870. And on this they endorsed (*vide* Endorsement, No. 998, dated 21st May 1870,) stating that, as petitioner in his former petition to them, No. 1,289 of 1869, had admitted that he had taken the contract in question basing his claim for relief on the loss which he had sustained, they could not re-consider his case. This statement is wholly incorrect; the original of the said petition itself is herewith enclosed, and it will be seen therefrom that petitioner nowhere admitted that he had taken the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd March 1874.*

contract, or that he had sought for partial relief. Petitioner again presented a third petition to Government, No. 2,204, dated 31st August 1873, furnishing further information of the case, and enclosing several new and important documents, marked A, C, D, E, and F, which had not been obtained when presenting his first two petitions. Government, however, without perusing the whole of the correspondence and the additional grounds adduced returned the petition with the usual printed endorsement attached to it, stating that Government would not re-open a case once decided by them, unless something new and important were adduced.

6. Having failed so far to secure justice, petitioner ventures to approach the Home Government with this humble memorial. They will find a full explanation of his case in his printed petition to the Madras Government, No. 2,204 of 1873, herein enclosed, with its enclosures marked A, B, C, D, E, and F; petitioner has, therefore, abstained from entering into a recapitulation of the whole case here.

7. Under the above circumstances, your Lordship's petitioner most respectfully begs that the Home Government will be pleased to consider his case, and relieve him from the ungrounded contract which the Collector himself has been in doubt to throw its liability upon your petitioner, as will be seen from document F, and by which he has been fleeced of more than 10,000 Rupees, including debt and its interest and reduced to abject misery while he has not enjoyed a single pie. For this act of kindness, petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

Petitioner has enclosed the following:—

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Petition to the Local Government, No. 2,204 of 1873, with its enclosures. |
| 1 | " " " " 1,289 of 1869. |
| 1 | " " " " 998 of 1870. |

No. 23. ORDER THEREON, 2nd March 1874, No. 287.

Miscellaneous.

PETITIONER is informed that his Memorial has been received, and will be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Petition.

287
13

No. 165 of 1874 37th January 1874
Recd 10th —

From Syed Muheyyed Deen
Hussine Sahib of Triplicane
residing at Triplicane, Madras.

Prays for the transmission to
the Secretary of State, ~~the~~ the
enclosed Memorial, appealing
against the endorsement passed
by the Board of Revenue and
by this Govt. in the matter of
his Contract of the avenue trees
in Salem Taluk, Salem Dist.

For orders.

This man 2nd petitioned
the Government viz in
1870 complaining
that he had been
treated as renter of certain
Kamariund trees & had
the rent forcibly collected
from him tho: another
was the real renter.
From a report submitted
by the Subcollector it
appeared that Rti. was
the renter & had given
a *lundilka* (police)
a copy was forwarded.
The Govt. would not
interfere in either
petition but in the
second endorsement
it was stated that in
his 1st petition he had
"admitted that he had
taken the contract".
The Rti. now in his
Memorial points out that
in that petition which he

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

REVENUE.

To

Dated March 2nd.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 2nd March 1874, No. 287.

MARCH.

Nos. 22-23.

Syed Muheyyed Deen Hussine Sahib. — Informs
— that his Memorial to the Secretary of State
has been received, and will be transmitted.

Petition (P. 100) 100

165/9
9th
10/1/74

287/5

His Excellency The Right Honorable
Lord Vere Henry Hobart
Governor in Council
Fort Saint George.

The Humble Petition of Syed Miheyed
Din Husein Sahib of Triplicane, but
now residing in Triplicane - Madras.

Respectfully sheweth:-

That aggrieved by the decision of the Board of
Revenue and this local Government in the matter of the con-
tract of the avenue trees in Salem taluq, Salem District, Petitioner
ventures to present the enclosed Petition to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State for India in Council.

Petitioner humbly prays that your Lordship will
be graciously pleased to direct its transmission with the enclosures
thereto to the Home Government.

For which act of kindness Petitioner as in duty

bound, will ever pray.

Madras
7th January 1874

[Signature]

Syed Miheyed Din Husein
residing in the building of late
Hafiz Yar Jung Bahadur
Triplicane
Madras.

R. Sankararam
9023 March 172
10287

cid 137474
10/1/74
C20 Feb 176 R24

Min

SP 287

Reitner is informed that
his Memorial has been received,
and will be forwarded to the
Secretary of State.

Wm. A. R. R.

22

2^d March 1874

[Faint, illegible handwriting on the left page]

End. 9. 20/12/73. 122880
— 10/11/73. 122629
F.P. 12204/73 P.S.
End. 21/5/70. 122998
— 19. June/69. 1221289

the enclosed memo-
-rial, appealing
against the endor-
-ments passed by
the Board of Revenue
and by this Govt in
the matter of his
Contract of the aver-
-nue trees in Salem
Taluk, Salem Dt.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

No. 538.

Petition No. 165 of 1874.

From Syed Mahomed-
Deen Husine Sahib

Occupation. of Tripatore
residing at

Village.

Talook. Triplicane

District. Madras.

Dated 7th } January 1874.
Received 10th }

Enclosures 9

Abstract.

Prays for the trans-
-mission to the
Secretary of State,

the
With Order.

22-23.

C 20 Feb/74. R 24.