

(1) Number of Pages..... 4
(2) Date of repair..... 17-6-66
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... *Qll*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	239	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	21. 2	1966 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
21st February 1874.

No. 192. Read the following letter from J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 11th February 1874, No. 283:—

In their order of the 22nd December 1873, No. 2,062, Judicial Department, Government sanction the degradation of a Tahsildar for causing a failure of justice in his capacity as Sub-Magistrate.

2. The Collector submitted his report on the case direct to Government without informing the Board that such a report had been submitted, and Government decided the case without making any reference to the Board.

3. The Board are strongly of opinion that all cases in which the punishment of a Tahsildar is proposed should be first laid before them, because they are in a position to know more than Government about the parties concerned and the surrounding circumstances.

4. This general principle was fully recognized by Government in G. O., dated 19th February 1873, No. 197, paragraph 3, so far as regards the *dismissal* of Tahsildars, and it seems to the Board that it is just as applicable when the punishment proposed is *degradation*.

No. 193. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1874, No. 239.

Miscellaneous.

In the case referred to the previous G. O. in the Revenue Department quoted by the Board was overlooked.

It was the intention of Government in that order that every report proposing the removal of a Tahsildar and Magistrate or a Deputy-Tahsildar and Magistrate should be transmitted to the Board, as well as every report proposing the dismissal of any such officer, and that the Board should forward to Government only those cases where they consider that the penalty has been justly incurred.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue
.. the Judicial Department.

Ed. F. E. Bantleman.

No. 283.

From J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
To D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Secy. to Govt., Revenue Department.

SIR,

In their order of the 22nd December 1873, No. 2,082, Judicial Department, Government sanction the degradation of a Tahsildar for causing a failure of justice in his capacity as Sub-Magistrate.

2. The Collector submitted his report on the case direct to Government without informing the Board that such a report had been submitted, and Government decided the case without making any reference to the Board.

3. The Board are strongly of opinion that all cases in which the punishment of a Tahsildar is proposed should be first laid before them, because they are in a position to know more than Government about the parties concerned and the surrounding circumstances.

4. This general principle was fully recognized by Government in G. O., dated 19th February 1873, No. 197, paragraph 3, so far as regards the dismissal of Tahsildars, and it seems to the Board that it is just as applicable when the punishment proposed is degradation.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE,
Madras,
11th February 1874.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. Grose
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Exd. F. R. Dantlemen.

GOVT. OF MADRAS
REVENUE.

REVENUE BOARD

Secy. to Govt.

11th February 1874.

Exd.

G. O., 21st February 1874, No. 230.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 193-198.

Check the contents of the report of the Tahsildar. It is not a report of a Tahsildar, but a report of a Tahsildar's report. It should be sent to the Board who should forward it to the Government. It should be sent to the Board who should forward it to the Government. It should be sent to the Board who should forward it to the Government.

174-24 Nov
 174-34 Dec
 173-128-29

Per 1874. Letter
 Board of Revenue
 MADRAS.
 28.5.74

FROM THE SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE
 TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REV. DEPT.
 28.5.74

Dated 11th February 1874.

Received 17

G.O. No 239-21 Feb 74
 Enc.

FEBRUARY.
 No. 283.

Subsidiary.—Opinion expressed to Govern-
 ment that all cases in which punishment of
 is proposed by Collectors should be first laid
 before Board.

with order

G.O. in the Rev. Dept. dated 14th Feb 74, was overruled
 It was the intention of Govt in that Order that every case
 proposed for punishment of a Collector's charge, on a 28th Feb
 & image, shall be transmitted to the Rev. Dept. as well as every other
 proposed for punishment of any such Officer, and that he should
 be transmitted to the Rev. Dept. as well as every other
 proposed for punishment of any such Officer, and that he should
 be transmitted to the Rev. Dept. as well as every other