

(1) Number of Pages.....16.

(2) Date of repair.....24-12-66.

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*P. S. A.***MADRAS RECORD OFFICE****GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS***Revenue*.....DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	200.	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	13 - 2 - 1874.	196 . .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1/200

8

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th February 1874.*

No. 114. Read the following letter from J. OUCHTERLONY, Esq., London, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated 30th Decem 1873 :—

I HAD the honour to address the Government on the 25th ultimo, requesting the transmission of a memorial to His Excellency the Secretary of State for India in Council. I, at the sametime, solicited the favour of certain documents being made an accompaniment to the memorial.

2. I have since been informed that survey whatever is going on in my valley, and I, therefore, respectfully ask in addition to the documents above alluded to, the Government will be pleased to transmit, with my memorial, all other orders that may have been passed on the subject of the survey; and, also, all communications touching upon the dispute between Captain Godfrey and myself that may have emanated from the Collect of Malabar.

No. 115. ORDER THEREON, 13 February 1874, No. 200.

Miscellaneous.

MR. OUCHTERLONY's memorial has been despatched to the Home Government, and a copy of the order (G. O., 14th October 1873, No. 1,108), staying the demarcation of his property will now be sent to him, with the intimation that the Government will be happy to transmit any supplemental memorial he may wish to submit, but are obviously unable specially to communicate their orders to the Secretary of State on the vague ground that Mr. Ouchterlony considers himself aggrieved thereby.

(True t.)

(Sign

D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

cc To J. Ouchterlony, Esq.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

1954

SECRET

1. OCHILLOTT, E.

INDEX

Doc 114-16

10. Owing to the situation of things, only a small number of students will be present, and it is not deemed expedient to invite who do not wish attending. Please to the Secretary of State.

The Chief Secretary ^{2/200} P
to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I had the honor to address
the Government on the 25th ulto,
requesting the transmission of a
Memorial to His Grace the Secretary
of State for India in Council. I
at the same ^{time} solicited the forwarding
of certain documents being made
an accompaniment to the Memorial.

2. I have since been informed
that no Survey whatever is going
on in my Valley, as I therefore
respectfully add that, in addition
to the documents above alluded
to, the Government will be pleased
to transmit with my Memorial
all other orders that may have been
passed on the subject of the Survey
and also all communications
touching upon the disputes between
Capt. Jodfrey & myself that may
have emanated from the Collector
of Malabar.

I have the honor to be

Sir, your most obedt Servt
C. B. C. B. C. B.

London, Dec 30. 1873
at 22, Grosvenor St.
Madras.

Misc

No. 200

Revenue Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 13th February 1874.

READ the following *Letter from Mr. J. Crichton - London.*

Requesting that, in addition to his Memorial to the Secy of State, all other orders that may have been passed on the subject of Survey, together with communications touching upon the dispute between Capt. Goffey and himself, may be forwarded.

H. E. 30: Dec: 1873

Letter to the Secy of State.

Supplemental

Mr. Crichton's Memorial has been despatched to the Home Government, and a copy of the order (G. O. No. 1108) staying the demarcation of his property will now be sent to him with the intimation that the Govt. will be happy to transact any memorial he may wish to submit, but are obviously unable

Manus. 1574 Sept 10
No 284

From

Mr J. C. C. C. C.
London

Q. O. No 284 - 13 x 13 / 75

Dated 30 Dec 1793
Recd 30 Jan 1794

Respecting that

in addition to his former role

to the King of State, all other

orders that may have been

passed on the subject of

Survey together with some

communications touching upon

the dispute between Captain

Godfrey Smith and Major

General Smith may be

unable specially to com-
municate their orders to the
Secretary of State on the
vague ground that Mr.
Buchtelow considers him-
self aggrieved thereby.

J^o

J. Buchtelow by note of 14th Feb 74
Dec. 17 Feb 74

Memo on Surveys affecting
Mr Onchitelony's property

I. There are three questions
of survey connected with
Mr Onchitelony's property:
viz: the question (1) of his
Eastern boundary, i.e. that
towards the Sulphurias.

Next, the question of his other
boundaries, viz: (2) those of the
land claimed by him under the
1st grant from the Tiempad -
and (3) those of the land
claimed by him under the second
grant from the Tiempad -

II. The (1) is a question
affecting only the Govt. and
Mr Onchitelony - On the 9th
August 1872 on a Proceedings
from the Board, and on the

G.O. N. 1188A

114-115

In 624 A.D. the Prophet
Muhammad (P.B.U.H.) came
to the fore and to the day

1/30

of the latter's appeal against the Army Department's decision should be known.

IV. In February 1873

Capt Godfrey reported the result of his appeal, and the Govt. ordered the obliteration of the marks which related to the 1st question. Mr. Brightley's protest against this was overruled, and he then memorialized the Secretary of State objecting to the order which 1st enjoined this survey and then 2d ordered the removal of the stones. He appealed, that is to say, against the order on this subject. (the 3d question) passed up to date, and, in forwarding his memorial, those only were dealt with.

V. As a matter of fact, the stones are still there, Capt. Godfrey having subsequently said he had no objection to their remaining, if Govt would formally declare that

+ G.O. 18: May 73: 11: 446.

* G.O. 18: June 73: 11: 629

17th of the same month on a
letter from Mr. Orchesterly, the
Govt settled the Eastern limit

O. 17 August 72
No. 1205.

up to which they would recognize
any claims and directed its survey.

In June last, the Commissioner
submitted a sketch map showing
where the demarcation should run,
and the Govt sanctioned it subject
to a slight deviation. Mr. Walsham
has recently written a sort of
half protest against this order,
and his letter is now in
circulation.

*
G.O. 4th Oct/73 No. 1065

See also Mr. S. S. letter in
G.O. 18th Aug 72 No. 72.

III. The 12^d and 13^d questions
affect the rival claims of Mr.
Orchesterly and Capt. Godfrey.
In G.O. the 20th Sep. 1872 No. 1363,
the Govt reviewed both at con-
siderable length; and directed,
as affecting the 12^d question, -

G.O. 20th Sept. 72 No. 1363

I para 4.

that the boundaries under the 1st
grant might be demarcated;
and next, as affecting the 13^d question,
that those under the 2^d grant and
those of Capt Godfrey's estate should
not be defined until the results
of

II para 39.

that they did not limit his

is being proceeded with, but both
the (2^d) and (3^d) are suspended,
although the stones placed are
not at present to be removed.

Mr. Bucklebury himself does not
seem to have lately taken up the
(1st) question (the Mr. Hapshaw
has, as above stated, recently
been moving in the matter) but
he now writes to say that, "having
heard that no survey whatever
is going on in his valley," he prays
that all orders on the subject of
the surveys passed subsequent
to his appeal, may also be
forwaded to the Secretary of State.

VIII This is equivalent
to an appeal against the ~~secret~~
secret order suspending the (2^d) survey,
and it is submitted that this
matter must be made the
subject of a fresh memorial,
so as to be intelligible to the
Secretary of State. It is
therefore proposed to tell

Mr.

Mr
7/12

yes
10/12

that they did not limit his rights. Then the Govt agreed to, and did, but as their order was passed subsequent to the date of Mr. Ouchterlony's appeal, and as Mr. Ouchterlony really contests the right of the Govt to remove the stones, which right they may still desire to exercise, it was not specially communicated to the Secretary of State.

VI. Meantime the order directing the 2^d survey to be proceeded with, had remained in force, though Capt. Godfrey having objected to it as calculated to injure him, a trial line had been ordered, with a view to ascertaining whether it intersected any land in his occupation. Eventually, in October last, the Govt ordered that, as there was a difference of opinion on this point, this demarcation also should not be proceeded with.

VII. As matters now stand, the 1st survey is

* 18th July 1873 N. 744

* G. O. 18th Oct/72 N. 1446

G. O. 14th Oct/73 N. 1108

is being proceeded with, but not

Mr. Orcheston, this, and
to send him a copy of the
order as it does not seem
to have been communicated
to him.

Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a title or header, which is mostly illegible due to fading and noise. It appears to contain several lines of cursive script.

Handwritten text in the middle of the page, possibly a signature or a date, which is mostly illegible due to fading and noise.