

(1) Number of Pages.....18
(2) Date of repair.....6.6.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*W. S. S.*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	182	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	6.2.1974	196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

IX

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th February 1874.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 79. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th January 1874,
No. 14.

Read the following letter from J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Pleader, to
J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th
December 1873, No. 163.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the
honour to report that the appeal to the
Dated 10th April 1873, No. 572. High Court (R. A. No. 35 of 1873) in
the suit for the recovery of the Ramnad Zemindari was heard on the 17th instant
before the Chief Justice and Mr. Justice Holloway, and dismissed, with costs, on
the ground that the supplemental plaintiff, the appellant, had effectually relin-
quished all claim to the Zemindari by the two agreements referred to in the
judgment of the Lower Court, and that the attempt to show that these agreements
had been extorted by force or fraud failed altogether. I shall forward copies of
the decree and judgment when ready.

COMMUNICATED to the Collector of Madura

No. 79A. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 10th April 1873,
No. 572.

Read the following letter from W. McQUIHAE, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura,
to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Shembaga-
noor, 28th March 1873, No. 93.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, I
have the honour to enclose copy of a
17th January 1873, No. 59. Notice served on me as second respon-
4th February „ „ 140. dent in Appeal No. 35 of 1873 on the file

of the High Court; and I request that the necessary steps may be taken to oppose the
appeal on behalf of the minor Zemindar of Ramnad. The judgment of the District
Judge having already been fully discussed by the law-officers of Government,
it seems unnecessary to furnish the usual concise statement of the case, but I shall
be ready to supply any additional information or explanation that may be
required.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.— Copy of Notice.

REFERRED to the Government Pleader, who will be good enough to take steps
to appear at the hearing of the appeal.

IX

2X &
1X

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th February 1874.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 79. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th January 1874,
No. 14.

Read the following letter from J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Pleader, to
J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th
December 1873, No. 163.

WITH reference to the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the
honour to report that the appeal to the
Dated 10th April 1873, No. 572. High Court (R. A. No. 35 of 1873) in
the suit for the recovery of the Ramnad Zemindari was heard on the 17th instant
before the Chief Justice and Mr. Justice Holloway, and dismissed, with costs, on
the ground that the supplemental plaintiff, the appellant, had effectually relin-
quished all claim to the Zemindari by the two agreements referred to in the
judgment of the Lower Court, and that the attempt to show that these agreements
had been extorted by force or fraud failed altogether. I shall forward copies of
the decree and judgment when ready.

COMMUNICATED to the Collector of Madura

No. 79A. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 10th April 1873,
No. 572.

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura,
to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Shembaga-
noor, 28th March 1873, No. 93.

WITH reference to the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, I
have the honour to enclose copy of a
17th January 1873, No. 59. Notice served on me as second respon-
4th February 140. dent in Appeal No. 35 of 1873 on the file
of the High Court; and I request that the necessary steps may be taken to oppose the
appeal on behalf of the minor Zemindar of Ramnad. The judgment of the District
Judge having already been fully discussed by the law-officers of Government,
it seems unnecessary to furnish the usual concise statement of the case, but I shall
be ready to supply any additional information or explanation that may be
required.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.— Copy of Notice.

REFERRED to the Government Pleader, who will be good enough to take steps
to appear at the hearing of the appeal.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th February 1874.*

No. 79B. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 9th February 1874,
No. 261.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 10th April 1873, No. 572, and 7th January
1874, No. 14.

Read also the following letter from J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Special Assistant
Collector, Ramnad, to W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector and Agent to the
Court of Wards, dated Madura, 25th January 1874, No. 9.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 14, dated 7th January 1874, in
the interest of the minor I have the honour to suggest that the Court of Wards
be advised to send a general retainer to Mr. Mayne in England, without loss of
time, to secure his services in case of the "Ramnad Appeal" being carried on into
the Privy Council. A general retainer costs 350 Rupees.

No. 5.

FORWARDED to the Court of Wards, with a recommendation that Mr. Lee-
Warner's proposal be carried out without delay. A retaining fee is not large, and
it will be of great importance to the Court of Wards to secure the services of a
gentleman acquainted with the case in the event of an appeal being preferred to
the Privy Council against the High Courts decision in Appeal No. 35 of 1873.
Such an appeal will undoubtedly be preferred if funds can be raised.

MADURA,
26th January 1874.

(Signed) W. McQUHAE,
Collector.

THE appeal in the suit for the recovery of the Ramnad Zemindari, preferred
by the supplemental plaintiff against the decision of the District Court, has been
dismissed, with costs, by the High Court on the ground that the appellant had
effectually relinquished all claim to the Zemindari by two agreements, and that
the attempt to show that these agreements had been extorted by force or fraud
failed altogether.

2. At the suggestion of the Special Assistant, the Collector recommends that
a general retainer be sent to Mr. J. D. Mayne to secure his services in case an
appeal is made to the Privy Council,—an event which he considers certain if funds
can be raised.

3. The Court of Wards approve of the proposal, and resolve to request
Government to arrange, through the Secretary of State for India, for retaining
Mr. Mayne on behalf of the minor Zemindar.

No. 80. ORDER THEREON, 10th February 1874, No. 182.

Miscellaneous.

It does not appear necessary for
the Government to intervene. The
best way will be for the Court of Wards

3X 8

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th February 1874.*

to telegraph to the Solicitor of the India Office to retain the services of the gentleman mentioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

3X

Major

2
5x
No. 572.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 10th April 1873.

Read the following letter from W. McQUIHAE, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Shembaganoor, 28th March 1873, No. 93:—

With reference to the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, I have the honour to enclose copy of a Notice served on me as 2nd respondent in Appeal No. 35 of 1873, on the file of

17th January 1873, No. 59.

4th February 140.

the High Court; and I request that the necessary steps may be taken to oppose the appeal on behalf of the minor Zemindar of Ramnad. The judgment of the District Judge having already been fully discussed by the law officers of Government, it seems unnecessary to furnish the usual concise statement of the case, but I shall be ready to supply any additional information or explanation that may be required.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Copy of Notice.

REFERRED to the Government Pleader, who will be good enough to take steps to appear at the hearing of the appeal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

J. GROSE,
Secretary.

To the Government Pleader, with original Enclosure, to be returned.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Madura.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Margin

77
Read the following:

No. 14.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th January 1874.

Read the following letter from J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Pleader, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 9th December 1873, No. 168:—

With reference to the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the honour to report that the appeal to the High Court (R. A. No. 35 of 1873) in the suit for the recovery of the Ramnad Zemindari was heard on the 17th instant before the Chief Justice and Mr. Justice Holloway, and dismissed with costs, on the ground that the supplemental plaintiff, the appellant, had effectually relinquished all claim to the Zemindari by the two agreements referred to in the judgment of the Lower Court, and that the attempt to show that these agreements had been extorted by force or fraud failed altogether. I shall forward copies of the decree and judgment when ready.

Communicated to the Collector of Madura.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Madura.
Copy to the Government Pleader.

Ed. J. Tulloch.

Marginal

1873.

Court of ~~Madras~~
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 10TH APRIL 1873, No. 572.

Encl.

*Recd. 1/2
440/74.*

Received

APRIL.

No. 572.

Suit, — Appeal — No. 35 of 1873 on file of
High Court regarding Rammad Zemindari in
Madura District, now under charge of Court of
Wards — Government Pleader requested to
appear at hearing of —.

do. 13.4.74.

1874.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 7TH JANUARY 1874, No. 14.

Encl.

Recd: 1
440/74

Received

JANUARY.

No. 14.

*Suit, Regular Appeal—*No. 35 of 1873,
filed in High Court for recovery of Ramnad
Zemindari in Madura district now under
charge of Court of Wards.—Report from
Government Pleader of dismissal of — with
costs, communicated to Collector.

Ln 13. 1. 79

AT

10/2/75

My dear Cassin

The plot is the
present one. I have
yet appended to the
orig. letter. I was
informed that he intends
to do so. He has 6
months from the date
of Hig's letter. Decr,
14th Dec. 1873.

I certainly think
Mayne should be returned
for the river at once,
as his knowledge of the
can would make him a
dangerous to an opponent
as he would be useful
to our side. Then the
side will be very likely
to try to get him first
so that the river be
is returned the better

Yours truly
J. W. Bradley

P.S.

118

The best way would
be to instruct the
Irish Office Solicitors
to return him. They
know the proper returning
fee in such cases which
we can't here do out.

Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 7 February 1874 N^o 26.Read against Board's Proceed-
ings dated 10 April 1873 N^o 572 and
7 January 1874 N^o 14.Read also the following:
N^o 9.From J. Lee Warner Esquire
Special Assistant Collector
Rannad.To W. H. Quha Esquire
Collector and Agent to
Court of Wards, Madras.Sir,
With reference to Board's Proceedings
N^o 14 dated 7 January 1874 in the interest
of the Minor, I have the honor to suggest
that the Court of Wards be advised
to send a general petition to W^o
Major

Privy Council against the High
Court decision in Appeal No 35
of 1873. Such an appeal will un-
doubtedly be preferred if funds
can be raised.

Collectors office }
Maidura } Signed, W. M. Lohar
26th January 1874 } Collector.

The appeal in the suit for the
recovery of the Rannad Zamin-dari
preferred by the Supplemental
Plaintiff against the decision of the
District Court has been dismissed
with costs by the Court on the ground
that the Appellant had effectually
relinquished all claim to the
Zamin-dari by two agreements and
that the attempt to show that
these

Mayne in England without loss
of time to secure his services in case
of the *Raminab. Appeal* being carried
over into the Privy Council. A general
retainer costs 350 Rupees.

Madura } I have H^{rs}
25th January 1843 } W. J. Lee Barnes
Managt.

(True copy)

A⁵.

Forwarded to the Court of Wards
with a recommendation that Mr. Lee
Barnes's proposal be carried out without
delay. A retaining fee is not large,
and it will be of great importance
to the Court of Wards to secure the
services of a gentleman acquainted
with the case in the event of an
appeal being preferred to the

their agreements had been extorted
by force or fraud failed altogether.

At the suggestion of the Special
Assistant, the Collector recommends
that a general retainer be sent to
Mr J. D. Mayne to secure his ser-
vices in case an appeal is made
to the Privy Council, in event which
he considers a sum of funds can
be paid.

The Court of Wards approve
of the proposal and desire to request
Government to arrange through the
Secretary of State for India for retaining
Mr Mayne on behalf of the minor
Princelings.

W. H. D. S.

To the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

W. H. D. S.
with Board's Proceedings, 10th April 1873. No
574 of 17th January 1874. W. H. D. S.

1874 Sept 10
No. 440. The
Proceedings of the
Court of Ward.

Paid 9:30
 Dec 9:30
 Dec 10:30
 Dec 11:30
 Dec 12:30
 Dec 13:30
 Dec 14:30
 Dec 15:30
 Dec 16:30
 Dec 17:30
 Dec 18:30
 Dec 19:30
 Dec 20:30
 Dec 21:30
 Dec 22:30
 Dec 23:30
 Dec 24:30
 Dec 25:30
 Dec 26:30
 Dec 27:30
 Dec 28:30
 Dec 29:30
 Dec 30:30
 Dec 31:30

August

28/04

It does not appear necessary for the
-ment - the best way will be for the Council
to report to the decision of the Anti-Slavery
the opinion of the gentleman mentioned.

The service of the gentleman mentioned.

4/7/47

1897

14/12/74. 11.00. 17.12.74

VB

7/10/74

79

80.

1. *Amphispiza bilineata*

Exptd & Adm^d. 1863-10-27
Anti-Slavery — 11-35
of 1893 filed in High Court for
recovery of Personal Remuneration
in Madras District — Government
requested to arrange, through
Secretary of State for India, for
retaining Mr. J. P. D. Mayne our
counsel of British Remuneration
standing our appeal to his Lordships
to bring Council from Durban
vice — to London Office.