

(1) Number of Pages 16
(2) Date of repair 26-10-67
(3) Initials of the Referencer S/V

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1609

Press
Mis.

Dated 22-12-1874 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1874.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 370. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th August 1874,
No. 3,126.

Read the following letters:—

From G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 6th March 1874, No. 359.

In their Order, dated 26th January 1872, No. 166, Revenue Department, the Government permitted Chowba Ramprasad, Salt Superintendent of Mangalore, who had then passed the age of 55 years, to continue in the service for two years more, and to complete his time for pension.

2. I have the honor to report that the two years were complete on the 15th February 1874; and that I have, therefore, taken steps to till up his appointment from that date.

3. He has served 43 years; of which, 31 are not in a grade eligible for pension, he being a Jemadar of the armed Sibbundi of Masulipatam, and 12 years in a grade clearly eligible.

4. Government's intention, therefore, that he should be allowed to serve a sufficient time to obtain one-third pension, is not carried out, unless the rules will admit of his service in the Sibbundi counting.

5. As he was in the receipt of Rupees 20 a month, and the post was one of importance (this is shown by the fact that he was deemed fit for promotion to a Tahsil post's appointment), perhaps it may be allowed to count; and if so, I shall be very glad, as his testimonials show that he had done good and long service.

6. If it cannot count, it is my duty to recommend that the Government grant him the gratuity to which the rules entitle him.

7. I cannot recommend his further continuance in his post. He has of late been insubordinate to the Salt Deputy Collector, his immediate superior, for which I was obliged to suspend him; careless of orders, notoriously, in last year, allowing the ryots to continue making salt in the beds after the distillation was complete, and not obeying the Salt Deputy Collector's order to destroy it at once.

8. Besides, he is illiterate: he can do little more than read and write his name; and is entirely in the hands of his Gamastha, as far as the business of the office is concerned.

From the same Officer, dated Masulipatam, 20th April 1874, No. 736.

I have the honor to reply to Official Memorandum, No. 423, dated 28th March 1874.

1. Chowba Ramprasad was appointed Acting Tahsildar of Gollapalli on the 4th February 1869, in place of one Mogalicherla Hanumanayya, who was on leave on probation in the Revenue Settlement Department.

2. On the 16th December 1869, he was appointed Acting Tahsildar of Neenastalam, and was ordered to hand over the records of the Gollapalli Tahsil to one Janakiramayya, appointed Tahsildar of Ellore, in which Tahsil the old charge of Gollapalli was then amalgamated. His pay as Gollapalli Tahsildar was Rupees 40, and he was reduced to Rupees 35 by this change.

3. His service so far was certainly not eligible for pension. It is true that on the 25th August 1869, the Collector sent him a letter (enclosed), in which he said he was confirmed in his Gollapalli appointment; but, as the confirmation was made eight months after the amalgamation of his then appointment with another, it did not seem to me that it would authorize me to date his service for pension until he was really confirmed, which was on the 9th January 1861, as Tahsildar of Chalapalli.

Enclosure No. 1.—Examination of Tukeed.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1874.

From the same Officer, dated Masulipatani, 24th June 1874. No. 1,370.

Referring to (c) Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 3,927, dated 13th June 1874, I have the honor to forward herewith, the Service-book of Ramprasad.

that I am physically fit for further service; but, as I cannot withdraw any thing that I have written in paragraphs 6 and 7 of my letter, No. 352, dated 5th March 1874, I am not able to say that I consider him materially fit to be continued in Government employ.

~~ENCLOSURE No. 1 - Service List.~~

Read also Petitions, Nos. 693 and 1,705 of 1874, from C. RAMPRASAD, late Salt Superintendent of Mangalore Division, in Kistna District.

2. In the 2nd of the letters above recorded, the Collector reported that he had received
C. Ramprasad, Bala Superintendent of Mangasapudi, the period of two years for which his
retention in office was sanctioned by Govern-
ment having expired. He

of 43 years. Six years passed partly as Delayed in the Revenue Board Office and partly as Delayed in the Sirkanda. Such service counts towards pension under the rules, but qualifying service being reduced thereby to 12 years, which entitle him merely to a gratuity, one year's leave, and does not entitle him to pension for the retention in the service, on the ground of his disability. The Government has recently been informed of a similar condition.

When the Board was called upon to explain how it came to pass that in the Acting Office of Secretary of the 1st December 1874, submitting Rampchand's application to be allowed to serve for two years longer, the latter's service towards pension was stated to amount to 18 years, and it was recommended, with 12 years only, there being no doubt that Rampchand's retention for two years was recommended with the view of enabling him to earn a pension of one-third of his salary.

From the various other papers preserved, it appears that the computation of the petitioner's service generally spans the entire activity of certain periods of service when he was not regularly employed. The Collector's account is that he was appointed Acting Tahsildar of Gollapudi on the 4th February 1859, that he was transferred to Nannagalam on 10th December 1859, and was appointed as Tahsildar of Gollapudi on 5th January 1860, which last date has been taken as the commencement of his actual service, the period being that such service amounts to only 12 weeks, 1 month, and 14 days. On the 26th August 1860, however, the then Collector sent a circular directed ordering him in his Gollapudi appointment, he at that time being Acting Tahsildar of Nannagalam on lower pay, and the Gollapudi Tahsil having, according to the Collector's statement, been assigned to a Sub-Officer. This, however, does not appear to be correct, as the original documents of the District Office show that Gollapudi existed as a separate Tahsil under the then District Officer. It is further shown that the Order of 26th August 1860 was meant to be a transfer of the officer, as at the time of its issue the petitioner was acting in another appointment, and even the full pay of the appointment, and therefore, the whole period ought to be placed to his advantage, as proposed. So far seems that the order of the Collector has not been properly obeyed. The Collector endeavored to make the Nannagalam Tahsil a part of the Tahsil of Nannagalam in the District Gazette of the 1st September, 1860, which, however, did not have the effect of confirming him retrospectively from 10th December and 12 days until that is, viz., until the 24th January 1861, a period of 10 months and 12 days, which is a serious and a doubtful fact, as erroneously supposed by the Collector.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1874.*

4. On an examination of Ramprasad's service list in the Board's Office, another flaw of similar kind has been detected, but which has not been noticed by the Collector, namely, that his transfer from the post of Police Inspector to act as Salt Peishcar of Nizampatna Division, the former appointment was filled up, and the period from 3rd October 1862 to 31st December 1862, an interval of 1 year, 2 months, and 29 days, is thereby invalidated. The petitioner was, however, acting in a full vacancy, and drew the full pay of the appointment, and the omission to confirm him when his own permanent post was filled up must have been due to inadvertence, and should not be allowed to effect the petitioner injury.

5. A revised statement of Ramprasad's service has been drawn up in the Board's Office, as that furnished by the Collector is confused. It shows that, according to the Board's calculation, including the two periods above referred to, which they request may be admitted under the explanation furnished, Ramprasad has still 3 months and 7 days to serve to entitle him to pension, although his total service exceeds 40 years, and 10 years of that portion, which cannot under the rules be recognized as qualifying for pension, would be classed as *superior* with regard to the 27, and is forfeited solely because the establishment is regarded as temporary, and not for any misconduct. The Collector at paragraph 4 of his letter of 5th March states that Ramprasad's testimonials show that he has done good and long service," and he admits in his letter of the 24th June that he is physically fit for further service, though he does not consider him mentally fit.

6. The Board did not concur in the Collector's estimate. Ramprasad is well known to the Members, and they are of opinion that he is both physically and mentally fit to be retained in the Government Service for the short time wanting to complete his service for pension and they accordingly recommend that, if a pension cannot be at once granted (the long period of service rejected under the rules being held to counterbalance the small deficiency in the portion which is classed as eligible), Ramprasad may be reinstated for ten months to enable him to qualify for the pension which in the Board's opinion he has fairly earned.

(Two Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALT,
Acting Sub-Secretary

No. 271. From H. W. LUDWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to C. J. ANTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 28th November 1874, No. 482.

With reference to the Official Memorandum, No. 99 of 35th August last, from the Acting Under Secretary to Government, I have the honor to report that the services of Chowba Ram prasad have been verified from the records of this office with the results detailed in the annexed statement, and to state that, under the explanation afforded in the relevant column of the statement, he will be entitled to nothing beyond a gratuity equal to 12 months' pay for his service of 14 years, 2 months and 15 days.

2. He will be entitled to pension after completion of further term of qualifying service of 9 months and 12 days, provided that Government declare the period of 1 year, 2 months, and 29 days mentioned in paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings to have been permanent service. Otherwise 5 years, 6 months, and 8 days will be required to mature the claim to pension.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1874.

Post	Pay	Entry	Period received as Service	Period not received as Service	Remarks by the Accounting General
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	7 0 0	About 1831	15th July 1840	8 0 0	
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	15 0 0	10th July 1849	19th Mar 1852	4 8 4	This period does not count on the superior scale being service in inferior grades
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	20 0 0	20th March 1852	5th Feb 1855	4 10 15	
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	18 0 0	4th Feb 1856	15th Dec 1859	0 10 10	Verified from the records of this office, and is held qualifying with reference to Section 17, Rule 2, Civil Pension Code, and also Collector's orders, Nos 99 and 98, dated 25th August and 1st September 1860, respectively.
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	25 0 0	16th Dec 1859	31st Aug 1859	0 8 15	
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	35 0 0	1st Sep 1860	8th Jan 1861	0 4 5	Verified—Qualifies for pension or gratuity.
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	25 0 0	9th Jan 1861	30th Nov 1861	4 10 25	Verified—Qualifies for pension or gratuity.
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	20 0 0	1st Dec 1861	30th Sept 1862	0 10 0	Verified—Qualifies for pension or gratuity.
Deputy in the Revenue Board Office	19 0 0		1st & 2nd Dec 1862	0 0 2	Verified—Qualifies under Rule 3 of Section 17, Civil Pension Code. This period should apparently have been the time occupied in joining the new appointment to the Civil Department, (see document marked A) and as he first no pay for this period it has been deducted.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1874.

Acting Salt Revenue Officer of Vizianagaram.	70	0	0	2nd Oct. 1863	31st Dec 1863	2 23
Salt Assistant Superintendent of Chinnampet, and Acting Salt Revenue Officer of Vizianagaram.	47	8	0	1st Jan. 1864	23rd March 1864	0 2 23
Salt Assistant Superintendent of the Division Station.	25	0	0	24th March 1864	10th Feb. 1865	0 5 18
* <i>Ex-bate of leave from 1864</i>				25th March 1864	24th July 1864	0 4 0
Acting Salt Superintendent of the Mangapudi Division.	50	0	0	11th Feb. 1865	14th May 1865	0 3 2
Acting Salt Superintendent of the Mangapudi Division.	70	0	0	15th May 1865	21st Oct. 1865	1 3 77
* <i>Ex-bate of leave from 1865</i>				18th June 1865	9th Aug. 1865	0 2 0
Salt Superintendent of Mangapudi Division.	35	0	0	22nd Oct. 1866	2nd Nov. 1871	5 1 10
<i>Out of service from 1867</i>				1st Dec. 1871	16th Feb. 1872	0 2 15
Salt Superintendent of Mangapudi Division.	85	0	0	15th Feb. 1872	21st Nov. 1872	0 2 5
<i>Out of service from 1873</i>				22nd Nov. 1872	29th Dec. 1872	0 1 18
Salt Superintendent of Mangapudi Division.	85	0	0	30th Dec. 1872	10th Feb. 1873	1 1 17
						14 2 18

Verified.—This period was allowed to count as per Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 2136, dated 7th August 1874, paragraph 4, but for which it would have been disallowed, the applicant not having been confirmed in it. The break would also vitiate his previous service.

Verified.—Qualifies for pension or gratuity.

(Signed) J. E. CROKER,
Deputy Assistant-Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1874.*

No. 272. ORDER THEREON, 22nd December 1874, No. 1,609.

Miscellaneous

THE Government agree with the Board in their opinion regarding Ramprasad, and resolve to direct his reinstatement for the period of 3 months and 12 days which, according to the Accountant-General's statement, will entitle him to a pension.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Accountant-General

Madras Government

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th August 1874.

Read the following letters:—

From G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 5th March 1874, No. 257.

In their Order, dated 26th January 1872, No. 166, Revenue Department, the Government permitted Chowba Ramprasad, Salt Superintendent of Mangampudi, who had then passed the age of 55 years, to continue in the service for two years more, and so complete his time for pension.

2. I have the honor to report that the two years were complete on the 15th February 1874, and that I have, therefore, taken steps to fill up his appointment from that date.

3. He has served 43 years: of which 31 are not in a grade eligible for pension, he being a Jamadar of the armed Sibbandi of Masulipatam, and 12 years in a grade clearly eligible. The Government's intention, therefore, that he should be allowed to serve a sufficient time to obtain one-third pension, is not carried out, unless the rules will admit of his service in the Sibbandi counting.

4. As he was in the receipt of Rupees 20 a month, and the post was one of importance (this is shown by the fact that he was deemed fit for promotion to a Tahsildar's appointment), perhaps it may be allowed to count; and if so, I shall be very glad, as his testimonials show that he had done good and long service.

5. If it cannot count, it is my duty to recommend that the Government grant him the gratuity to which the rules entitle him.

6. I cannot recommend his further continuance in his post. He has of late been insubordinate to the Salt Deputy Collector, his immediate superior, for which I was obliged to suspend him, careless of orders, &c. &c. in that year, allowing the riots to continue, making salt in defiance of orders to destroy it at once.

7. Besides, he is illiterate: he can do little more than read and write his name, and is entirely in the hands of his family, as far as the business of the office is concerned.

From the same office, dated Masulipatam, 23rd April 1874, No. 735.

I have the honor to reply to Official Memorandum, No. 413, dated 28th March 1874.

2. Chowba Ramprasad was appointed Acting Tahsildar of Gollapilli on the 4th February 1860, in place of one Megalicharika Hanumayya, who was on leave on probation in the Revenue Settlement Department.

3. On the 10th December 1860, he was appointed Acting Tahsildar of Nannamaram, and was ordered to hand over the records of the Gollapilli Tahsil to one Janakramayya, appointed Tahsildar of Eluru, in which Tahsil his old charge of Gollapilli was then amalgamated. His pay as Gollapilli Tahsildar was Rupees 40, and he was reduced to Rupees 35 by this change.

4. His service so far was certainly not eligible for pension. It is true that on the 25th August 1860, the Collector sent him a takeed (translation enclosed) in which he said he was confirmed in his Gollapilli appointment: but, as this confirmation was made eight months after the amalgamation of his then appointment with another, it did not seem to me that it would authorize him to date his service for pension until he was really confirmed, which was on the 25th January 1861, as Tahsildar of Challepalli.

Enclosure No. 1.—Translation of Takeed.

From the same officer, dated Masulipatam, 24th June 1874, No. 1,070.

Referring to Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 3,927, dated 12th June 1874, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Service-book of Ramprasad.

2. He is physically fit for further service; but, as I cannot withdraw any thing that I have written in paragraphs 6 and 7 of my letter, No. 352, dated 6th March 1874, I am not able to say that I consider him mentally fit to be continued in Government employ.

Enclosure No. 1.—Service List.

Send also Petitions Nos. 693 and 1,706 of 1874, from G. RAMPRASAD, late Salt Superintendent of Mangampati Division, to District District.

In the first of the letters above recorded, the Collector reported that he had removed G. Ramprasad, Salt Superintendent of Mangampati, the period of two years for which his retention in office was sanctioned by Government having expired. He further stated that, although Ramprasad's total service extends over 43 years, 34 years passed partly as Deputee in the Revenue Board Office and partly as Junior in the Subordinate Office, cannot count towards pension under that rule, his qualifying service being reduced thereby to 12 years, which entitles him merely to a gratuity of one year's pay. He sent not advocate Ramprasad's further retention in the service, on the ground of his being illiterate and of his having recently been punished for insubordination.

3. The Collector was called upon to explain how it came to pass that, in the Acting Collector's letter of the 9th December 1871, submitting Ramprasad's application to be allowed to serve for ten years longer, the latter's service towards pension was raised to amount to 13 years, whereas he is now credited with 12 years only, there being no doubt that Ramprasad's service is only 12 years, 2 months, and 11 days.

4. From the second letter above recorded, it appears that the computation of the petitioner's service depends upon the admission of certain periods of service when he was not regularly appointed. The Collector's account is that he was appointed Acting Tahsildar of Gollapalli on the 4th February 1860, that he was transferred to Nannatalam on 10th December 1860, and was confirmed as Tahsildar of Gollapalli on 6th January 1861 (which last date has been taken as the commencement of his qualifying service, the result being that such service amounts to only 12 years, 2 months, and 11 days). On the 24th August 1860, however, the then Collector sent Ramprasad a ticket nominating him in the Gollapalli Tahsildar's appointment, he not then being Acting Tahsildar of Nannatalam on lower pay, and the Gollapalli Tahsildar's appointment in the Collector's statement being unqualifiedly with effect. This, however, does not appear to be correct, as the statement of accounts of the Revenue Office shows that Gollapalli Tahsildar's appointment was made after the date of confirmation. It is also obvious that the order of 10th January 1861 is merely a late ratification of what was done at the time of his appointment, and that, therefore, the whole period during which he was admitted to the admission of the service that the order of the Collector has no retrospective effect. The Collector's statement further states that Ramprasad was only confirmed as Tahsildar of Nannatalam in the District Office of the 2nd September 1860, which, under Section 17, Note 2, has the effect of continuing him retrospectively from 10th December 1860, so that the interval between 4th February and 10th December 1860, and a period of 10 months and 12 days, is all that is dubious, and not all the 24th January 1861, as erroneously supposed by the Collector.

4. On an examination of Ramprasad's service list in the Board's Office, another flaw of a similar kind has been detected, but which has not been noticed by the Collector, namely, that on his transfer from the post of Police Inspector to act as Salt Peishcar of Nannatalam Division, the former appointment was filled up, and the period from 3rd October 1862 to 31st December 1862, an interval of 1 year, 2 months, and 29 days, is thereby invalidated. The petitioner was, however, acting in a full vacancy, and drew the full pay of the appointment, and the omission to confirm him when his own permanent post was filled up must have been due to inadvertence, and should not be allowed to affect the petitioner injuriously.

5. A revised statement of Ramprasad's service has been drawn up in the Board's Office, as that furnished by the Collector is confused. It shows that, according to the Board's calculation, including the two periods above referred to, which they request may be admitted under the explanation furnished, Ramprasad has still 9 months and 7 days to serve to entitle him to pension, although his total service exceeds 40 years, and 10 years of that portion, which cannot under the rules be recognized as qualifying for pension, would be classed as superior with regard to the pay, and is forfeited solely because the establishment is regarded as temporary, and not for any misconduct. The Collector, at paragraph 4 of his letter of 6th March states that Ramprasad's testimonials show that he has done good and long service, and he admits in his letter of the 24th June that he is physically fit for further service, though he does not consider him mentally fit.

6. The Board do not concur in the Collector's estimate. Ramprasad is well known to its members, and they are of opinion that he is both physically and mentally fit to be retained in the Government Service for the short time wanting to complete his service for pension, and they accordingly recommend that, if a pension cannot be at once granted (the long period of service rejected under the rules being held to counterbalance the small deficiency in the portion which is classed as eligible), Ramprasad may be reinstated for ten months to enable him to qualify for the pension which in the Board's opinion he has fairly earned.

(The Commissioner and Secretary.)

Acting Secy. Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

With two original enclosures, revised statement of service, and two petitions from the Collector of the Kistna District.

W. A. G. G. G.

Madras

From *At: 463* *Fort Saint George*
Accountants Office
 The Accountant General 28th November 1874
 of Madras
 To The Secretary to Government
 Revenue Department
 Fort Saint George

Sir

With reference to the official memo-
 randum at: 90 of 25th August last from the
 Acting Under Secretary to Government I
 have the honor to report that the services of
 Chandra Ramaswami have been verified
 from the records of this Office with the re-
 sults detailed in the annexed statement
 and to state that under the explanation
 afforded in the remarks Column of the statement
 he will be entitled to nothing beyond
 a gratuity equal to 12 months pay for his
 service of years 16 - 2 - 18.

He will be entitled to a pension
 after completing a further term of qualify-
 ing service of 9 months and 12 days provided
 that Government decide the period of 1
 year 2 months and 29 days mentioned in
 former Board's proceedings to have been
 permanent service. Otherwise 5 years 8 months
 and 8 days will be required to maintain
 the claim to pension.

I have the honor to be,
 Sir,
 Your obedient servant,

W. H. S. S. S.
 Accountant General

At: 463 of 25th August 1874

At: 200/11

Received 1874

Office of the Secretary to Government

MADRAS

PROCESSED BY THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

28th November 1874

Madras

Office of the Secretary to Government

At: 463 of 25th August 1874

MADRAS

PROCESSED BY THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

Account of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

Account of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and

of the last and