

(1) Number of Pages.....24
(2) Date of repair.....6. 6. 66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....[Signature]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	153	Press
B.P. No.		~~Mis.~~
Dated	3 . 2	1964 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read the following :—

No. 15. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th January 1874,
No. 94.

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to
J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Trichendur,
23rd December 1873, No. 723 :—

I HAVE the honour to forward, herewith, the answer proposed to be filed in a suit
(No. 24 of 1873), on the file of the District Court, in which I am made a defendant.

2. The circumstances of the case are as under.

3. The late Avudiapuram Zemindar died in 1859, and his manager, Velaudam Pillai, continued to manage the estate for fourteen years after the Zemindar's death. During this period some two lakhs of Rupees passed through Velaudam Pillai's hands, and, though the expense of maintenance and upkeep has since been proved to be but 2,000 Rupees per annum *plus* the peishchush, which is 4,300 Rupees more, the debts due by the late Zemindar were only decreased by 12,000 Rupees in fourteen years. This was brought to my notice by the minor, who accused the manager of mortgaging the panner lands for his own advantage, granting other lands to his relatives, and generally impoverishing the estate. On this I sent for the manager and called on him to explain. He seemed to be much alarmed, and begged that I would not inquire into the case. I told him that I would see justice done but did not care to inquire so long as the estate was freed from debt as it clearly should have been years before. On this he agreed to take the debts on himself if allowed to continue as manager, and eventually he executed an agreement to pay off the debts in instalments. This agreement was submitted to the Board with my letter, No. 84, dated 4th March 1871, explaining the circumstances, and my procedure in the case was approved.

4. The manager cleared off some debts but failed to pay the remainder, and now brings a suit to recover 14,000 Rupees paid through me to one of the creditors.

5. The date of hearing was fixed for the 17th instant but has been extended to the 29th January 1874.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Draft Answer.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The late Zemindar of Avudiapuram died in 1859 leaving a son, a minor about five years old. As it was represented that the estate was about to be sold by order of the Civil Court on account of debts due it was not taken under the management of the Court of Wards but was left in charge of Velaudam Pillai, the manager appointed by the will of the late Zemindar. Velaudam Pillai's gross mismanagement of the affairs of the estate was brought to the notice of Government in the Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 29th January 1872, No. 194. During the fourteen years that he was in charge the income of the estate

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1874.*

was, on an average, about Rupees 14,000 a-year, and, though the peishcush and the cost of maintenance, etc., amounted to about Rupees 6,300 per annum, the debt of the estate, which was originally Rupees 72,000, was reduced by Rupees 12,000 only. The minor having complained of the manager's misconduct the Collector called on the latter for an explanation, when he, becoming alarmed, to prevent inquiry, agreed to take the debts on himself if allowed to continue in the post of manager until the minor came of age. He executed an agreement to this effect. To this arrangement the minor and his friends consented. As stipulated in the document Velaudam Pillai paid Rupees 14,000 through the Collector in part liquidation of the debt to the fourth defendant. He has now instituted a suit to recover the Rupees 14,000 on the ground that the document was extorted from him.

3. The Board recommend that the defence of the suit be sanctioned, and submit for approval the following

Draft Answer.

The sum of Rupees 14,000, which plaintiff sues to recover, was paid by him through this defendant to Nattakottei Ramasamy Chetty, fourth defendant, in part liquidation of the debts of the Avudiapuram Estate, which he agreed to take upon himself by a document freely and voluntarily executed by him on the 5th January 1871.

This defendant, therefore, prays that the suit be dismissed with costs.

No. 15A. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd January 1874,
Miscellaneous No. 458.

Read official memorandum from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 21st January 1874, No. 15, requesting to be furnished with a copy of the document executed by plaintiff in Suit No. 24 of 1873 on file of Tinnevely District Court for recovery of certain sum of money, etc.

THE Board's Proceedings and the enclosure referred to in paragraph 2 will be submitted to Government.

2. The enclosure is a copy of the document which petitioner says is not binding on him.

No. 16. ORDER THEREON, 3rd February 1874, No. 153.

Miscellaneous.

The defence is sanctioned accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Accountant-General.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

Mr. Annamalai



Read the following

2/24/74

No. 15 Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 20th January 1874

Read the following:-

No. 723.

From, P. H. Puckle Esquire
Collector

To, J. Gnanu Esquire.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to
forward herewith the answer
proposed to be filed in or
suit No. 24 of 1873 on the file
of the District Court in which
I am made a defendant.

2. The circumstances of
the case are as under.

3. The

Obt. of 1874 MADRAS.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Secy, Secy, Secy.

Dated February 3rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 3rd February 1874, No. 153.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 15-16.

Suit No. 24 of 1873 on file of Tinnai District Court.—Sanctioning defence of—



3. The late Sundarayapuram
Zemindar died in 1859
and his manager Velai-
-idam Pillai continued
to manage the estate for
14 years after the Zemini-
-dar's death, during this
period, some 2 lacs of
Rupees passed through
Velaidam Pillai's hands
and though the expense of
maintenance and upkeep
has since been proved
to be but 2000 Rupees per
annum plus the peshkash
which is 4300 more, the
debts due by the late
Zemindar were only decreased
by 12000 Rupees in 14 years.
This was brought to my

459
notice by the minor who ac-
-cused the manager of
mortgaging panni lands
for his own advantage
granting other lands to
his relatives and generally
impoverishing the estate.
On this, I sent for the
manager and called on
him to explain - he seemed
to be much alarmed and
begged that I would
not inquire into the case.
I told him that I would
see justice done but
did not care to inquire
so long as the estate was
freed from debt as it
clearly should have been
years before. On this, he
agreed

3. agreed to take the debts on
himself if allowed to con-
-tinue as manager and
-eventually he presented me
to agreement to pay off the
debts in instalments - this
agreement was submitted
to the Board with my
letter No. 84 dated 4th March
1871 explaining the circum-
-stances and my procedure
in the case was approved.

4. The manager cleared
off some debts but
failed to pay the remain-
-der and now brings a
suit to recover 14,000 Ru-
-pees paid through me
to one of the creditors.

5. H.

5. The date of hearing
was fixed for the 17th Ins-
-tant, but has been ex-
-tended to the 29th Janu-
-ary 1874. I have re-

Sincerely
Collector's
Circuit Butcher
Trichendur
23rd December 1873

(Sigs) P. H. Puckle
Collector.

Submitted for the
orders of Government.

The late Zemindar
of Andiapuram died
in 1859 leaving a son a
minor about 5 years old.
As it was represented that
the estate was about to be
sold by order of the Civil
Court on account of
debts due it was not
taken under the manage-
-ment of the Court of Wards
but

but was left in charge of
Velaidam Pillai the
manager appointed by
the will of the late Leming-
dar. Velaidam Pillai's
gross mismanagement
of the affairs of the Estate
was brought to the notice
of Government in the Pro-
ceedings of the Account of
Hans dated 29th January
1872 No. 194. During the
14 years that he was in
charge the income of the
Estate was on an average
about Rupees 14,000 a year
and though the Pishanah
and the cost of maintain-
ance amounted to
about Rupees 6,300 per
annum the debt of the

Vide G.O.
28th June 1872
para 2

15
Estate which was originally
Rupees 72,000 was reduced by
Rupees 12,000 only. The minor
having complained of
the manager's misconduct
the Collector called on
the latter for an expla-
nation when he, becoming
alarmed, to prevent inquiry,
agreed to take the debts
on himself if allowed
to continue in the post
of manager until the
minor came of age.
He executed an agree-
ment to this effect. So
this arrangement the
minor and his friends
consented. As stipulated
in the document Vela-
dam Pillai paid
Rs.

Rs 14,000 through the collector =
= tax in part liquidation
of the debt to the 4th
Defendant. He has
now instituted a suit
to recover the Rupees 14,000
on the ground that the
document was extorted
from him.

The Board recom-
-mend that the defence
of the suit be sanctioned
and submit for ap-
-proval the following

Draft answer.

The sum of Rs 14,000
which Plaintiff sues
to recover was paid by
him through this Defend-
=ant to Puthottai
Ramaaamy

Ramasamy Chetti, 4th
Defendant in part liqui-
-dation of the debts of
the Arudiapuram Estate
which he agreed to take
upon himself by a do-
-cument freely and
voluntarily executed by
him on the 5th January 1871.

His Deferdant therefore
prays that the suit be
dismissed with Costs.

(True Copy & Extract)

H. Etchings
for Secretary.

To The Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department
with one original Enclosure
to be returned.

Edmund
Cord Lloyd & Charles D. Smith

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the matter of the ...
 and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.
 I am, Sir, very respectfully,
 Your obedient servant,
 John W. ...

To the Hon. ...
 ...
 ...
 ...



[Faint handwritten text or signature at the bottom right of the left page.]

20 No 123 - 2 1/2
 [Faint handwritten notes and possibly a small table or list of figures.]

[Extensive area of very faint, illegible handwriting on the right page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

Miscellaneous No. 458

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 23rd January 1874.

READ Official Memorandum from
the Secretary to Government Revenue
Department dated 21st January 1874
No. 15 requesting to be furnished
with a copy of the document executed
by Plaintiff in Suit No. 24 of 1873 on
file of Timmelly District Court
for recovery of certain sum of money ^{Rs. 20}

The Board's Proceedings and
the enclosure referred to in para 2 will
be submitted to Government.

The enclosure is a copy of the
document which petitioner says is not
binding on him. True Extract /

J. Grose
Secretary

To,
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with Board's Pro. of 31st March 1871 No. 1427 & an original En-
closure to be returned

C. Manghuff
C. A. Meharasina Chetty

Revenue 1874 D. 120
No. 173

Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue

S.O. No. 153 - 3rd Feb 74

Dated 20th January 1874
Recd. 20
by Mr. P.

Said — no 24 of 1873, filed
in Timmelly District Court
by the Manager of the
Revenue State for recovery
of a sum of money having
been through the Court, some
of the details of the State in fact
liquidated of the State which
which he agrees to take upon
himself. — Government
recommended to the
defence of — Draftman
submitted for approval

24 January 1874 12.30 PM

P 24/Jan/74 - P 23/6/74

15-2

Submitting to the
Board of Revenue
dated 31st March 1874
copy of certain documents
connected with and
No. 24 of 1873 on file
of Jinnahally & Co.
Court.

Dated 28th
Despatched 23rd
1874

S.O. No 153 - 3 Feb 74

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
BOARD OF REVENUE.

Revenue 1874, & Co.
No 217

Rev. C. W. Wards
No 1437
d/31-9-71

In course of time, some complaints
were received against his man, who were
referred to the J. of Rev. who at last reported
to the J. of Rev. that he "had come to
terms with the Manager, who undertakes
to free the Estate from debt before he ex-
pires in June '72," and
that "with his the Minor & his friends
satisfied & he arranged to secure ad-
vantages to the Estate in every way".
The deed signed by the Manager is
"executed to Mr. Wards, C. W. of Tennally
the Agent to the J. of Wards", though as
already observed, the Estate was never under
the Court. The J. of Rev. however, approved
his action "assuming that he agree
ment executed by the Manager is legally

P. O.
8-1-70
No 165.

Rev. Prop. Rev.
5/20-1-74.

When the late Zamindar of Arundin
died, it was reported that
to place his money due under the C.
of Wards was uncalled for, as owing
to the debt of the deceased the Estate was
shortly to be sold. It was therefore left
alone, & continued under the charge
of the late Zamindar's manager, one
Velayudham Pillai.

I would simply
sanction the
defence - re-
solving all com-
ments until we
receive the defence

Mix ^{W. 2000}
 acid ⁹³ 105
 105
 The defence is sometimes
 according to $2 - 2\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2} / 73$
 2.0 Klm/74

Schickte im Auftrag des H. v. K. }
an die 2 aufgeführten } B. Febz / 76
H. S. C. des 9. Febz / 76