

(1) Number of Pages.....12
(2) Date of repair.....4.6.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....Jms

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	152	Press
B.P. No.		~~Mis.~~
Dated	3. 2	1964.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 13. Read the following letter from J. BROUGHTON, Esq., Government Quinologist, to D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Ootacamund, 26th January 1874:—

I HAVE the honour to thank the Government for the six months' leave, under Section 5, and one month's subsidiary leave, under Section 10 of Supplement F of Civil Leave Code, which they have been good enough to grant me in G. O., No. 102, of January 23rd, 1874.

2. I would respectfully express my conviction that paragraph 2 of the same G. O., in which Government direct the Commissioner of the Nilgiris to supervise my establishment during my absence has been issued in forgetfulness of previous arrangements which the Under-Secretary of State for India and the Government of Madras have previously made with me. I, therefore, beg permission to briefly cite the same, and to protest against the order conveyed in paragraph 2.

3. In paragraphs 7 and 10 of the letter of the Under-Secretary of State, (Cinchona Return, 1870, p. 4), I am not put under the Commissioner of the Nilgiris, or Collector of Coimbatore, but under the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department. In April 6th, 1869, G. O., No. 95, the Government in forgetfulness of the above letter placed me under the Commissioner of the Nilgiris; but in G. O., No. 2,334, of 14th August 1869, on my protest rescinded this, and placed me as before, independent of the Commissioner.

4. I may state that my personal convictions (which possess no interest, except as influence to conduct) remain the same as in April 1869. If Government carry out their order in paragraph 2, though perhaps not contrary to the letter, it will be contrary to the spirit of the previous arrangements I cite above, and I trust I may be pardoned for respectfully stating it. The competency of the gentlemen for the supervision stated in paragraph 2 is doubtless known to Government, but is unknown to me.

6. May I, therefore, beg permission to recommend the arrangement in paragraph 4 of my letter of the 19th instant.

No. 14. ORDER THEREON, 3rd February 1874, No. 152.

Miscellaneous.

This letter is docketed by Mr. Broughton "A protest against paragraph 2 of G. O., etc.," and the word is used again in the letter itself. The Governor in Council has to inform Mr. Broughton that the phrase, where it stands, is quite inadmissible.

2. Mr. Broughton pointed out on a former occasion that he was privileged to correspond directly with the Secretary to Government, and this was conceded to him. He now appears to go so far as to claim that whenever he obtains leave of absence from his duties the Government are not at liberty to make what arrangements they deem proper for supervising the office establishment and protecting the property of the State on the premises.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1874.*

3. Mr. Broughton must clearly understand that he cannot at once have his leave and assume to have the supervision of his subordinates continued in the interim in the hands of his nominee. The Government will always be glad to receive his suggestions in the matter, but must decide for themselves.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To J. Broughton, Esq., Government Quinologist.

From

Mr. J. Broughton
Government Quinologist
Cottamund

D. J. Carmichael Esq.
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St. George, Madras

Sir,

I have the honour to thank the Government
for the six months lease under section 5 and one
year lease under section 10 of Supplement
B of Civil Lease Code which they have been good
enough to grant me in G.O. No. 102 of Jan. 28th 1874

I would respectfully express my conviction
that para. 2 of the same G.O. in which Govt directs
the Commissioner of the Nilgiris to supervise my
establishment during my absence, has been issued
in forgetfulness of previous arrangements which the
London Secretary of State for India and the Government
of Madras have previously made with me. I therefore
beg permission to briefly cite the same and to pre-
sent against the order conveyed in para. 2.

In paras. 7 and 10 of the letter of the London

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

REVENUE.

T. J. BROUGHTON, Esq.

Government Quinologist.

Dated February 3rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 3rd February 1874, No. 152.

FEBRUARY.
No. 13-14.

Mr. Broughton's letter regarding para.
graph 2 of G.O. 23rd January 1874, No. 102,
passing orders on which arranged for the
supervision of his office establishment, during
his absence.



Secretary of State (Circular Returns 1870. p. 4) I am
not put under the Commissioner of the Nilgiris or
Collector of Circulatore but under the Secretary to
Government Revenue Department. In April 6th 1869
G.O. No. 95 the Government in forgetfulness of the
above letter placed me under the Commissioner of
the Nilgiris; but in G.O. No. 2324 of 14th Aug. 1869
on my protest rescinded this, and placed me
as before independent of the Commissioner.

I may state that my personal connections
(which possess no interest except as influence ^{to} conduct)
remains the same as in April 1869. If Government
carry out their order in para. 2, though perhaps
not contrary to the letter, it will be contrary to the
spirit of the previous arrangements I cite above,
and I trust I may be pardoned for respectfully
stating it. The competency of the gentlemen
in the supervisory stated in para. 2 is doubtless
known to Government, but is unknown to me.

May I therefore beg permission to re-
commence the arrangement in para. 4 of my
letter of the 19th Inst.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

John Broughton

Colacorn
Jan. 26th 1871

Perman 1874 Dept
Sewer No 2 54.

The Govt. Surveyors

To
The Sec. to Government
Revenue Depart.

Date Jan 26th 1874
Rec 28th a

S.O. No 152 - 4 Feb 74

Protest against para 2.
of G.O. 102 of 23rd Jan 1874

13 Jan 74 No 67 - 9m
23 - 192 - 193

13
C 2 v p 174 - R 25 - R 25 10 R 3 74

Mr

Rev. Sept 5
No 152
Read letter from Govt. Surveyors

of 26-1-74
by Mr. Knapton

This letter is docketed "A protest
against Para 2 of G.O. 102", and the
word is used again in the letter itself.
The Govt. has to inform Mr. Knapton
that the phrase, where it stands, is quite
misleading.

J. O. L.

I don't
think

2. What it is, however, that the Surveyors
complain of the Govt. altogether
fail to understand. He pointed out
on a former occasion that he was permitted
to correspond directly with the Sec. to
Govt, and this was considered to him.
He now appears to go as far as to
claim, that whenever he obtains leave of
absence from his duties, he Govt. are
not able to make what arrange-
ments they deem proper for supervising
his establishment & protecting the
property of the State on the premises.
He at the same time knows out a hint
that the gentleman selected by Govt. for
his purpose is not competent to under-
take it.

I think there is
a difference

but in it
circulated

Govt

Mr

who has now been

sent out as
surveyor

3. Mr. Knapton must clearly understand
that he cannot at once have his leave and
assume to have the supervision of his establishment
continued in the interim in the hands of his

nominee. The Govt will
always be glad to receive
his suggestions in the matter, but
must decide for himself.

3rd Feb'y 74. 22/1-74.

19. Broughton 64. put down by 2. des. 4 Feb'y 74

Repaired
Lamp
+ 10/1

C 28 Jan'y 74 - R 30 Dec 30 R 3 Feb'y

13-14

Disposing of a Protest
from Quenologist