

(1) Number of Pages.....12.....

(2) Date of repair.....4.6.66.....

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....Jm/s.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE**GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS**Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	152	Press
B.P. No.		~~Mis.~~
Dated	3. 2	1964.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

X 1/15

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1874.*

No. 13. Read the following letter from J. BROUGHTON, Esq., Government
Quinologist, to D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Ootacamund, 26th January
1874:—

I HAVE the honour to thank the Government for the six months' leave, under
Section 5, and one month's subsidiary leave, under Section 10 of Supplement F of
Civil Leave Code, which they have been good enough to grant me in G. O., No. 102,
of January 23rd, 1874.

2. I would respectfully express my conviction that paragraph 2 of the same
G. O., in which Government direct the Commissioner of the Nilgiris to supervise
my establishment during my absence has been issued in forgetfulness of previous
arrangements which the Under-Secretary of State for India and the Government
of Madras have previously made with me. I, therefore, beg permission to briefly
cite the same, and to protest against the order conveyed in paragraph 2.

3. In paragraphs 7 and 10 of the letter of the Under-Secretary of State,
(Cinchona Return, 1870, p. 4), I am not put under the Commissioner of the
Nilgiris, or Collector of Coimbatore, but under the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department. In April 6th, 1869, G. O., No. 95, the Government in
forgetfulness of the above letter placed me under the Commissioner of the Nilgiris;
but in G. O., No. 2,334, of 14th August 1869, on my protest rescinded this, and
placed me as before, independent of the Commissioner.

4. I may state that my personal convictions (which possess no interest, except
as influence to conduct) remain the same as in April 1869. If Government carry
out their order in paragraph 2, though perhaps not contrary to the letter, it will be
contrary to the spirit of the previous arrangements I cite above, and I trust
I may be pardoned for respectfully stating it. The competency of the gentlemen
for the supervision stated in paragraph 2 is doubtless known to Government, but is
unknown to me.

6. May I, therefore, beg permission to recommend the arrangement in
paragraph 4 of my letter of the 19th instant.

No. 14. ORDER THEREON, 3rd February 1874, No. 152.

Miscellaneous.

THIS letter is docketed by Mr. Broughton
"A protest against paragraph 2 of G. O.,
etc.," and the word is used again in the

letter itself. The Governor in Council has to inform Mr. Broughton that the
phrase, where it stands, is quite inadmissible.

2. Mr. Broughton pointed out on a former occasion that he was privileged
to correspond directly with the Secretary to Government, and this was conceded
to him. He now appears to go so far as to claim that whenever he obtains leave
of absence from his duties the Government are not at liberty to make what
arrangements they deem proper for supervising the office establishment and pro-
tecting the property of the State on the premises.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,

3rd February 1874.

3. Mr. Broughton must clearly understand that he cannot at once have his leave and assume to have the supervision of his subordinates continued in the interim in the hands of his nominee. The Government will always be glad to receive his suggestions in the matter, but must decide for themselves.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To J. Broughton, Esq., Government Quinologist.

X 3/15

From
Mr. J. Broughton
Government Zoologist
Pottasamund

D. J. Barnishall Esq.
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St. George, Madras

Sir,

I have the honour to thank the Government
for the six months leave under section 5 and one
month leave under section 10 of Supplement
B of Civil Leave Code which they have been good
enough to grant me in G.O. No. 102 of Jan. 22nd 1874.

I would respectfully express my conviction
that para. 2 of the same G.O. in which Govt direct
the Commissioner of the Nilgiris to supervise my
establishment during my absence, has been issued
in forgetfulness of previous arrangements which the
London Secretary of State for India and the Government
of Madras have previously made with me. I therefore
beg permission to briefly cite the same and to pro-
-test against the order conveyed in para. 2.

In paras. 7 and 10 of the letter of the London

G.O. of 1874 Madras.

REVENUE.

THE SECRETARY,

GOVERNMENT ZOOLOGIST

GOVERNMENT ZOOLOGIST

G.O. of 1874, No. 102.

SECRETARY
No. 1011.

The Secretary's letter regarding para.
2 of G.O. of 22nd January 1874, No. 102
has been forwarded to the
Commissioner of the Nilgiris for the
supervision of his office establishment during
my absence.



Secretary of State (Cinchona Returns 1870. p. 4) I am
not put under the Commissioner of the Nilgiris as
Collector of Licenses but under the Secretary to
Government Revenue Department. In April 6th 1869
G.O. No. 95 the Government in forgetfulness of the
above letter placed me under the Commissioner of
the Nilgiris; but in G.O. No. 2324 of 14th Aug^r 1869
on my protest rescinded this, and placed me
as before independent of the Commissioner.

I may state that my personal connections
(which possess no interest except as influence ^{to} conduct)
remains the same as in April 1869. If Government
carry out their order in para. 2, though perhaps
not contrary to the letter, it will be contrary to the
spirit of the previous arrangements I cite above,
and I trust I may be pardoned for confidently
stating it. The competency of the gentlemen
in the supervising stated in para. 2 is doubtless
known to Government, but is unknown to me.

May I therefore beg permission to re-
commence the arrangement in para. 4 of my
letter of the 19th Inst.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

John Broughton

Genl. Secy. to Govt.

Retained
Jan^y 26th 1874

Reb. 1874 Dept

Gen. No. 264.

The Gov. Quindys

to

Charles to Government

Revenue Depart.

Date Jan. 26th 1874
Re. 28th a

S.O. No. 152 - 4 Feb. '74

Protect against Para 2.

of G.O. 102 of 23rd Jan. 1874

13

C 28 Jan 74 - R 25. No 102 374

13 Jan 74 No 67 - 91
23 - 192 - 193

Miss

No. 152 Rev. Sept 15

Read letter from Gov. Quindys

of 26-1-74

by Mr. Broughton

This letter is docketed "A protest" against Para 2 of G.O. 102, and the word is used again in the letter itself. The Gov. has to inform Mr. Broughton that the phrase, where it stands, is quite inadmissible.

J. D.

I don't think

What it is, however, that he Quindys complains of the Gov. altogether fail to understand. He pointed out

I think this is

not desired.

but in it

circumstances

Gov

MB
all

who has now been

on a former occasion that he was pointed to correspond directly with the Secy to Govt, and this was ~~concluded~~ ^{his} ~~him~~. He now appears to go as far as to claim, that whenever he obtains leave of absence from his duties, he Govt are not obliged to make what arrangements to be deemed proper for supervising his establishment & protecting the property of the State on the premises. He at the same time throws out a hint that the gentleman selected by Govt for his purpose is not competent to undertake it.

3. Mr. Broughton must clearly understand that he cannot at once have his leave and assume to have the supervision of his establishment continued in the interim in the hands of his

nominee. The Govt will
always be glad to receive
his suggestions in the matter, but
must decide for themselves.

3rd Feb/74.

2nd - 29/1-74.

19. Broughton St. Feb. 2nd 1874

Dep. Secy

13-14

Highway 11
for Dunlop
.....