

- (1) Number of Pages..... 8.
(2) Date of repair..... 31-3-67
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... M.N.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1503.	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.

Dated 5-12-1874. 196.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1503

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th December 1874.*

No. 60. Read the following letter from R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 21st October 1874, No. 376:—

With reference to G. O., No. 1,228, dated 28th September 1874, I have the honor to state that, in my opinion, the words used in paragraph 5 of Government of India Resolution in the Financial Department, No. 3,927, dated 31st October 1872, "first five years of their service," following immediately as they do the words "Civil Second Assistants," must be held to refer to aggregate service as *Second Assistants* and not to include probationary service which, as a period of trial, though counting for pension, does not seem to give an officer any claim to an increase of salary.

No. 61. ORDER THEREON, 5th December 1874, No. 1,503.

Miscellaneous.

THE Accountant-General's view of the case appears to be correct.

2. He has not noticed the question as to the exclusion from the term of service of the period passed on leave, but having in view the provisions of Clause (c), Section 7, Civil Leave Code, the Government consider that leave other than privilege leave cannot be reckoned as service in the case of an Uncovenanted Officer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
" the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

Exd W. H. French

Audit DEPARTMENT.

No. 376

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
21st October 1874.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 1228 dated
28th September 1874, I have the honor to state
that in my opinion, the words used in para 5
of Government of India Resolution in the Finan-
cial Department No. 3927 dated 31 October 1872
"First five years of their service" following im-
mediately as they do the words "Civil Assistants"
must be held to refer to aggregate service as 2^d
assistants, and not to include Probationer
Service, which, as a period of trial, though char-
acterized by suspension, does not seem to give an officer
any claim to an increase of salary.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Yours obedient servant

M. Madam

Accountant General

Compd M

GOVT. OF 1874
REVENUE.

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

Secy, Secy, Secy,

First Division Secy.

Secy.

Secy.

G.O. No. 1228 of 1874, No. 1503.

DECEMBER.

No. 376.

The Revenue of the Government of Madras - Observe-
ing in reference to the Government of India Resolution
that the Assistant Secretaries view that
five years' service is the period of probationary
service is correct, and if it be held that proba-
tionary leave cannot be taken in the case
of an Unemployed Officer.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 5th November 1874.

Read the following
letter from the Acct. Genl.

States that he is of opinion
that words used in Govt. of India
Resolution of 31st Oct. 1872 "Five years
of their service" following immediately
Civil 2nd Aft. must be held to refer
to aggregate service as 2nd Aft.

H.E. 21st Oct. 1874 No 376.

The Accountant
General's view of the case
appears to be correct.
2. He has not noticed
the question as to the in-
clusion from the term of
service of the period passed
on leave but having in
view the provisions of
Clause (C) Section 7 Civil
Leave Code the Government
consider that leave other
than

[Handwritten signatures and notes]
25/11/74
to be taken as leave
the continuous service
from the date of
leave leave

6018 Aug 13th 1874-25
25/11/74 247-48

1874 Dec 1874

No 3723

From, The Accountant Genl.
to, The Secy to Government
Re: Depots

21st Oct 1874 }
23rd Oct 1874 }
No 1503-58 under 1874

21st 376.

Under the 6th Sec of the
Act of 1872 in 1872, when
the Act was passed, it was
said that the 6th Sec of the
Act of 1872, which was
passed in 1872, was
not to be taken into
account in 1874.

22/11/74, 25/11/74

22/11/74, 1/12

than privilege leave
cannot be reckoned as
service in the case of an
uncovenanted Officer.

Q M ✓

6 To the Accountant General
10-22 Superintendent of the Survey

6
100

60-61

19-09

c 23/11/74. R 24/11/74.
R 25/11/74.