M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 38

(2) Date of repair 28.3.67.

(3) Initials of the Referencer M.N.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	1495	Press / Mis.
Dated	3. 12. 1874	~~196~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 43. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th October 1874, No. 3,108 :—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd November 1873, and 27th January 187[illegible] Miscellaneous Nos. 8,027 and 538.

also the following letter from the Hon. D. ARBUTHNOT, Collector of Tanjore, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd September 1874, No. 307 :—

I HAVE the honor to forward the translation of an arzi from T. Narainasami Iyer, Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, (now of Tanjore town since a new Sub-Magistrate has been sanctioned in G. O., dated 8th July 1874, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 21st July 1874, No. 4,901,) in which he requests that his salary for the period during which he remained out of employ subsequent to his being relieved of the duties of Sub-Division Sheristadar in the Chingleput District, and taking charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady may be paid to him, and the period itself ordered to be included in his pensionable service.

2. The circumstances which led to Narainasami Iyer remaining out of employ have been fully explained in my letter, dated 31st October 1873, No. 382, and the correspondence noted in the margin. He was relieved of the duties of Sheristadar of the Chingleput District on the 22nd October 1873 and took charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady on the 1st February 1874, in accordance with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1874, No. 538.

Sub-Collector's letter, Chingleput, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated 16th December 1873, No. 634.

3. As Narainasami Iyer was kept out of employ for upwards of three months for no fault of his, I beg to recommend his application for favorable consideration.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of arzi from Narainasami Iyengar.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The facts of this case are numerous and complicated, but they may be summarized as follows :—

3. In March 1872 T. Narainasami Iyer, Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, in Tanjore, was appointed to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput for Tillanayagum Pillai who was acting as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly. Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly on the 6th July 1872. In November 1872, eight months after T. Narainasami Iyer had begun to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput, Mr. Cadell, the Collector of Tanjore, wrote to Mr. Crole, the Sub-Collector of Chingleput, and asked if T. Narainasami Iyer had been confirmed. On the 7th January 1873 Mr. Crole replied that he had not yet heard that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed. Mr Cadell then wrote to Trichinopoly and found that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed, as mentioned above, in July 1872. Mr. Crole wrote to Trichinopoly in May 1873 and asked the same question and got the same answer. In July 1873 the Collector of Tanjore filled up the Trivady appointment. In October 1873, Mr. Crole, on the

ground that he was dissatisfied with the qualifications of T. Narainasami Iyer, who had then been acting as Sub-Division Sheristadar for nineteen months, nominated another man to the office.

Sub-Division Sheristadar, on the [illegible]er 1873 went back [illegible]ore, and found Trivady appointment, which had been his, filled up. The Collector of Tanjo referred the matter to the Board, and it was ultimately arranged for T. Narainasami Iyer to be re-instated in Trivady, and he took charge on the 1st February 1874.

5. Thus he was kept out of employment for no fault of his own from the 22nd October 1873 to the 1st February 1874. He asks that he may receive pay for this period and that it may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension. The Board think both requests are just and reasonable and resolve to submit them for the favorable consideration of Government.

6. It would serve no useful purpose to enter into the minutia of the case which can be gathered from the papers read at the head of these Proceedings, and the Board will only remark that they have expressed dissatisfaction with the part in it borne by Mr. Crole. He ought to have taken measures to ensure his being informed when Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed, and he ought then, that is in July 1872, either to have confirmed T. Narainasami Iyer or sent him back to Tanjore.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 44.

ORDER THEREON, 3rd December 1874, No. 1,495.

Miscellaneous.

THE proposals made in paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings above recorded are sanctioned. Narainasami Iyer will receive pay for the period during which he was kept out of employment as Sub-Magistrate of Trivady and not as Chingleput Sub-Collector's Sheristadar.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

No. 3,108.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th October 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd November 1873, and 27th January 1874, Miscellaneous Nos. 8,027 and 538.

Read also the following letter from the Hon. D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Tanjore, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd September 1874, No. 307:—

I HAVE the honor to forward the translation of an arzi from T. Narainasami Iyer, Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, (now of Tanjore town since a new Sub-Magistrate has been sanctioned in G. O., dated 8th July 1874, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 21st July 1874, No. 4,901,) in which he requests that his salary for the period during which he remained out of employ subsequent to his being relieved of the duties of Sub-Division Sheristadar in the Chingleput District and taking charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady may be paid to him, and the period itself ordered to be included in his pensionable service.

2. The circumstances which led to Narainasami Iyer remaining out of employ have been fully explained in my letter, dated 31st October 1873, No. 382, and the correspondence noted in the margin. He was relieved of the duties of Sheristadar of the Chingleput District on the 22nd October 1873 and took charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady on the 1st February 1874, in accordance with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1874, No. 538.

Sub-Collector's letter, Chingleput, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated 16th December 1873, No. 634.

3. As Narainasami Iyer was kept out of employ for upwards of three months for no fault of his, I beg to recommend his application for favorable consideration.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of arzi from Narainasami Iyengar.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The facts of this case are numerous and complicated, but they may be summarized as follows:—

3. In March 1872 T. Narainasami Iyer, Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, in Tanjore, was appointed to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput for Tillanayagum Pillai who was acting as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly. Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly on the 6th July 1872. In November 1872, eight months after T. Narainasami Iyer had begun to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput, Mr. Cadell, the Collector of Tanjore, wrote to Mr. Crole, the Sub-Collector of Chingleput, and asked if T. Narainasami Iyer had been confirmed. On the 7th January 1873 Mr. Crole replied that he had not yet heard that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed. Mr Cadell then wrote to Trichinopoly and found that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed, as mentioned above, in July 1872. Mr. Crole wrote to Trichinopoly in May 1873 and asked the same question and got the same answer. In July 1873 the Collector of

Govt. of 1874 Sundries.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December 1874.

Read.

G. O., 3rd December 1874, No. 1,456.

DECEMBER.

Nos. 13-14.

T. Narainasami Iyer, Deputy Tahsildar of Tanjore Town.—Sanctioning leave and to — of salary for the period during which he was kept out of employment as Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, and the reckoning of the period as service qualifying for pension.

Tanjore filled up the Trivady appointment. In October 1873, Mr. Crole, on the ground that he was dissatisfied with the qualifications of T. Narainasami Iyer, who had then been acting as Sub-Division Sheristadar for nineteen months, nominated another man to the office.

T. Narainasami Iyer, being relieved from the duties of Sub-Division Sheristadar, on the 22nd October 1873 went back to Tanjore, and found the Trivady appointment, which had been his, filled up. The Collector of Tanjore referred the matter to the Board, and it was ultimately arranged for T. Narainasami Iyer to be re-instated in Trivady, and he took charge on the 1st February 1874.

5. Thus he was kept out of employment for no fault of his own from the 22nd October 1873 to the 1st February 1874. He asks that he may receive pay for this period and that it may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension. The Board think both requests are just and reasonable and resolve to submit them for the favorable consideration of Government.

6. It would serve no useful purpose to enter into the minutiæ of the case which can be gathered from the papers read at the head of these Proceedings, and the Board will only remark that they have expressed dissatisfaction with the part in it borne by Mr. Crole. He ought to have taken measures to ensure his being informed when Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed, and he ought then, that is in July 1872, either to have confirmed T. Narainasami Iyer or sent him back to Tanjore.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

A Grote
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with one original enclosure, Board's Proceedings,
dated 24th November 1873, Miscellaneous No. 8,027, and
27th January 1874, Miscellaneous No. 533, with copy of Mr. Crole's
letter to Collector of Chingleput, dated 16th December 1873, No. 634.
,, the Collector of Tanjore.
Copy to the Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

T. Narainasami Iyer—Deputy Tahsildar of Trivady, now Acting Tahsildar of Tanjore town.
—Letter from Collector requesting that salary of — for period he was out of employment may be passed to him, and that the period may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension, submitted for favorable consideration of Government.

Board of Revenue, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 27TH OCTOBER 1874, No. 3,103.

OCTOBER.
No. 3,103.

Rec'd 1874

Encl'g 1 Proceedings

Received for Mem 1874

Rec'd 1874

Ans 1874. Dept.

Ans 3894 1874

8th July 74 No 44-45

Revenue Department.

Miscellaneous No 8027.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
Dated 24th November 1873.

Read the following:—

No 382.

From
The Honorable D. Arbuthnott
Collector of Tanjore.

To
J. Grove Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit, for the orders of the Board, the case of Narayanasami Aiyar, formerly Deputy Tahsildar and Magistrate of Trivadi in the Tanjore Taluq in this District, and who, after having held another appointment in Chingleput for nearly two years, has now returned with an application for reinstatement in his former post at Trivadi.

The

2. The following is a brief history of the Case:-

On the 4th January 1872. Mr. Crole the Acting Sub Collector of Chingleput forwarded to Mr. Cadell a copy of the Board's Proceedings dated 17th November preceding together with a Takeed of his own, appointing one Narayanasami Aiyar to the post of Tahsildar of Chingleput on probation for three months, and requesting that he might be directed to join on the earliest date convenient for him (Mr. Cadell). The Board's Proceedings of which Mr. Crole enclosed a copy, after according sanction to the appointment directed that at the end of that time (three months) Mr. Crole must decide whether Narayanasami Aiyar is to be confirmed or not. Narayanasami Aiyar was accordingly sent to Chingleput.

* No 47/68

3. Next on the 25th March 1872 Mr. Crole wrote to say that the Board had since cancelled there order of the 17th of November 1871, and appointed Narayanasami Aiyar to act as Sub Division of Sheristadar in that District, and added that the permanent incumbent was officiating as First Class Tahsildar of Trichinopoly during the absence of Pattabiramia Pillay on duty as Special Deputy Collector". On this occasion Mr. Crole did not send a copy of the Board's Proceedings.

4. No further communication was received from Mr. Crole till November 1872, and therefore on the 14th of that month Mr. Cadell wrote to him to know whether Narayanasami Aiyar had been confirmed as Sheristadar of his office or not. Mr. Cadell in his letter further added as follows:-

"His post as Sub Magistrate of Trivadi in this District has been held on acting tenure now for ten months - an arrangement obviously attended with much

much inconvenience. The present petitioner incumbent is Vernacular Head Clerk of the Collector's office in Trichinopoly, and the Collector of that District has intimated that he cannot keep this latter appointment open any longer.

5. To this letter of the 14th November 1872 Mr. Crole curtly replied on the 7th January 1873 as follows:—

"With reference to your letter No. 1415 of the 4th November last, I have the honor to express my regret that it has hitherto been overlooked and to state that I have not yet heard from the Trichinopoly District that Pillanayagam Pillai for whom Narayanaswami Ayyar acts, has been confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly."

6. Mr. Cadell then wrote to the Collector of Trichinopoly and was informed by that officer in reply dated 17th February 1873, that Pillanayagam Pillai had been confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly so long ago as 6th July 1872.

7. I must here observe, that failing to receive any answer from Mr. Crole to the letter of the 14th November for upwards of six weeks, and in consideration of the inconvenience attending the temporary arrangements which had been continued there for nearly twelve months, Mr. Cadell about the end of December issued Orders, making confirmed appointments in connection with the office of Provost Deputy Tahsildar. Owing, however, to the individual who had been appointed to that post in immediate succession to Narayanaswami Ayyar having since obtained an appointment of Tahsildar of Trichinopoly, and his confirmation there having depended upon the final order of Government for the grant of a pension to the previous incumbent, it was subsequently

-quently pointed out that the Trivadi appointment could not be confirmed till the grant of pension to that incumbent had been sanctioned. Mr Cadell accordingly held his orders alone referred to in suspension, and subsequently, the Collector of Trichinopoly having intimated that the pension to the superannuated Tahsildar had been granted, and that his successor who went from Trivadi had been confirmed there as Tahsildar at the end of July last, confirmed Mr Cadells appointments.

8. Thus stands the matter and now comes Narayanasami Ayar from Chidambaram with a letter to me from Mr Crole, thus worded:—

I have the honor to inform you that C. Narayanasamy Ayar, deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate of Trivadi in Your District was relieved from his acting appointment as Sheristadar

we my office by the permanent incumbent yesterday afternoon."

I do not know who the permanent incumbent Mr Crole alludes to, is but the permanent incumbent who appears on the correspondence is Pillanayaga Pillai, the predecessor of Narayanasami Ayar, in the office of Sub Collector's Sheristadar in Chingleput, and this individual, according to Mr Pennington's letter to Mr Cadell, was confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly sixteen months ago.

10. Under these circumstances I beg I may be favoured with the Board's instruction as to whether the confirmed appointments in Tanjore are to be cancelled, and Narayanasami Iyer is to be replaced as Deputy Tahsildar at Trivadi. This reversal involves the

the provisions of the present Circular to Deputy Tahsildar Chidambaram, to the Deputy Tahsildarship of the inferior grade on Rs. 30 at Madhavarjunam, and thereon out of employ the present Deputy Tahsildar at the place last mentioned, Cornelius Pillai, who was formerly employed as Deputy Clerk on the Establishment of Negapatam Municipality; this last named post having been since abolished in consequence of a reduction of Establishment.

11. In the event of the Board preferring to direct the reversal of the present arrangements I have to beg also, that under the † Board's Proceedings 7th March 1873 No. 330. † orders marginally noted the sanctions of Government may be obtained for Cornelius Pillai being removed from his Office of Magistrate as also for Narayanasami Aiyar, who, ipso facto

No. 95
6

has ceased to be a Magistrate, being made one under the present Code of Criminal Procedure.

I have &c.
(Signed) D. Arbuthnott
Collector.

Tanjore Collector's Office. — Vellum 31st October 1873.

Referred to the Collector of Chingleput who will obtain and submit Mr. Crole's explanation as to the matter, and call on him to show cause why this present Sheristadar should not be turned out rather than a Tanjore officer.

True copy & Extract
(Signed) J. Grose
Secretary.

To the Collector of Chingleput
Copy — " — Collector of Tanjore.
(True copy)
J. Grose
Secretary.

Ex. Memo
Copy C. Vasudeva

1875
9

To Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No 538.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,

Dated 20th January 1875

Read the following:-

No 604.

From R. M. Barlow Esquire
Collector of the
Chingleput District

To J. Grove Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 22nd October 1874 No 3402 with letter from Collector of Chingleput dated the 2nd November 1874 No 382, together with the Petition of Narayana Sawmi Aiyer formerly Tahsildar of Saidapet and Inamdar of Sriwadi praying for the grant of Sub Inam Shist on account of Chunampet Tank and for remitting to Subsidy Shist on the Explanature of the case and to call on that Office to show why his Subsidy Shist on the Chunampet Tank should not be returned on the ground that the Tank has been washed away by the floods of 1872 and has not been restored.

In regards Narayana Sawmi's petition I beg to remark that although the Tank has been washed away by the floods of 1872 and has not been restored, the Inamdar has enjoyed the Subsidy Shist on the Chunampet Tank for the Faslies 1282 to 1284 inclusive, and I am of opinion that he is entitled to the Subsidy Shist for the Faslies 1285 to 1287 inclusive, and I beg to recommend accordingly.

Enclosures in Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Sy. Secretary to Govt. Revenue Department dated 27th October 1874 No 3108.

P.C. 73 Dec 1874
Do B.N. 43.
Rev. 3894/74.

Copy of Board's Proceedings dated 24th November 1873 No 3402 (on letter from Collr of Tanjore dated 21st Oct 1873 No 382) referring to Collr of Chingleput case of Narayana Sawmi Aiyer formerly Tahsildar & Inamdar of Sriwadi &c praying for grant of Sub Inam Shist on account of Chunampet Tank & for remitting to Subsidy Shist on the Explanature of the case & to call on that Office to show why his Subsidy Shist on the Chunampet Tank should not be returned on the ground that the Tank has been washed away &c &c

with English — almost a sine qua non for the office there — and also because I was not satisfied with his work during the Acting Sub-Collector's temporary absence on Privilege leave when my Assistant was in Charge, alluded to at para 4 of the explanation.

3 With reference to the arrangement proposed by Mr. Crole at paras 10–13, I have the honor to observe that I only viewed the appointment of Sethapatty Naidu, like Mr. Irwin who sent it on, as purely temporary arrangement, and should otherwise have objected, but he has now had a trial extending over 7 months and Mr. Crole's commendations of his conduct during that period are most emphatic and I therefore do not desire to oppose the proposed arrangement, should the Board deem it otherwise feasible, the more so as I think Krishna Aiyengar is doing excellent service as Sub Division Sheristadar and

and his reverting to this office again as Head Vernacular Clerk is therefore to be deprecated and would further cause several other changes and considerable inconvenience.

Chingleput District Collector's office
Said dpt. 20 December 1873

I have &c
(Signed) R. M. Barlow
Collector.

Mr. Crole's explanation is far from satisfactory, but the Board think that as matters stand it will be best to place Cornelius Pillai in the post of Deputy Tahsildar of Trivellore. To provide against the possible return of Mr. Ansardeen, he should be provided with a permanent appointment as soon as a suitable vacancy occurs.

The Collector should consider whether Sethapatty Naidu should be left in a Taluq of a grade so much superior to that held by many of his seniors.

(True copy & Extract)
(Signed) J. Grose
Secretary.

To The Collectors of Chingleput & Tanjore
Copy — Accountant General.

(True copy)
J. Grose
Secretary.

E. L. Mundle
Dy. Secretary

Chingleput Sub-Collector's Office
Camp Conjeveram 16th December 1873.

No. 634.

From

C. A. Crole Esqre
Jr. Sub Collector.

To

R. W. Barlow Esqre
Jr. Collector.

Sir,

In obedience to the order of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th November 1873 No. 2022 Miscl. Revenue, I have the honor to give my version of the matter therein alluded to.

2. On the 2nd December 1871 the Board of Revenue promoted the Sub Division Sheristadar of Chingleput to act as 1st Class Paishkar of Trichinopoly. The duration of his deputation to that District being quite uncertain, I experienced extreme difficulty in temporarily filling the vacancy.

3. After one unsuccessful attempt* I procured the Board's sanction† to the appointment of C. Narayanasami Aiyar to act. He

*Vide Board's Proceedings No. dated

†Vide Board's Proceedings No. 1660 dated 6th March 1872.

Enclosure in Collector's letter to Jr. Secretary to Board of Revenue, dated 3rd December 1873 & No. 3/1873.

Read

Read 3 Dec. 1873 & No. 43.

Copy of Board's Proceedings Nos. 538 & 539 dated 2nd December 1871 relating to the appointment of C. Narayanasami Aiyar to act as Paishkar of Trichinopoly vice Ramaswami Aiyar deputed to Bangalore on special duty.

Read

Read 5 Dec. 1873.

He had just previously been ordered by the Board to go back to Trivadi, but as he possessed the necessary experience required by the Board in the former of the above orders I determined to try him. Here I must distinctly bring to notice that he agreed to take the appointment without any hope of being confirmed, for except experience in various revenue offices he had little to recommend him. I explained this to him.

4. Time wore on, and the Acting Sheristadar did worse and worse. It is no exaggeration to say that nearly the whole of his work for 18 months was done by myself. When I left for a months our privilege leave you are aware that the work of the office collapsed owing to his not being able to give the Assistant Collector in charge that help which a Sheristadar is expected to afford. His conduct was then specially brought to your notice by the Assistant Collector

in a report.

5. In January or February last Saraj -avia Sami Ayar seeing no prospect of an opening in this District as a Tahsildar, and probably not caring to face another and already complicated by defalcations and irregularities in Chingleput urged me to allow him to rejoin his appointment in Tanjore in which District he appeared to think there were better prospects of obtaining a Tahsildari. I told him that whenever I heard of Pillai's Confirmation I should appoint another man and let him go. The fact that he was going back to Tanjore was notified to you in the 11th February 1873 in a Demi-official letter regarding Sama Roi and the Expected vacancy in Chingleput.

6. Afterwards, namely on the 28th May, having in the meantime finished the

the Jamabundy, I wrote to the Collector of Trichinopoly asking him whether Pillayapayyan Pillai had been confirmed. On the 2nd June he replied that he had. I then impressed on the Sheristadar the necessity of completing the Jamabundi accounts & was paid when he had done so.

* Vide Board's Proceedings No. 6962 dated 14th October 1873.

I nominated the Vernacular Head Clerk of your Office whom I considered to have obtained sufficient experience to satisfy the Board. I beg to draw attention to the fact that in the letter on which these Proceedings were passed I brought Narayanasami Ayyar's case to prominent notice.

7. On Mr. Arbuthnott's letter I shall confine myself to the fewest remarks possible. In the first place the facts of the case as stated above will have made it clear that there was no good ground for presuming that Narayana Ayyar would necessarily be confirmed when the Sub Division Sheristadar fell vacant. I would further suggest that when the Collector of Tanjore obtained information of Pillayapayyan Pillai's confirmation of which he knew me to be ignorant, he would have avoided all the subsequent confusion by communicating it to me and calling upon me to confirm Narayanasami Ayyar or send him back. Instead he wrote that his appointment had proved unsatisfactory & that the vacancy was not actually filled up till the end of July 1873.

8. Further I must distinctly repudiate the slightest idea of not consulting the convenience of the Collector of Tanjore as appears to be insinuated in Mr. Arbuthnott's letter. I was quite aware that no Collector likes having a man thrust back on his District after holding a long acting appointment

-ment in question. Accordingly when the Tahsildar of Conjeeveram fell vacant I nominated Narayanasami Ayar to it in a letter to you dated 1st July 1873. This Taluk has two Deputy Tahsildars, and if the Tahsildar is only moderately honest is by far the easiest in the Sub Division. Narayanasami Ayar, although by no means bright, was in my opinion fit for that post. You did not think so, the thing fell to the ground, and I was accordingly compelled to send him back to what I think there can be no doubt continued to be his permanent appointment in Tanjore.

9. The 2d part of the Board's order directs me to show cause why my present Sheristadar should not be turned out rather than a Tanjore officer."

10. In this matter I beg to place myself unreservedly in the Board's hands. They have all the facts before them. I would only respectfully remind them that my office is a peculiarly heavy one, and owing to the vicinity of the Division to Madras, as well as to its peculiar land tenure, the Sheristadar requires to be considerably above the average. The present incumbent promises well. Narayanasami Ayar was tried and did very badly.

11. I beg to bring to notice however that there is really no necessity for touching the Sheristadar's appointment. You are aware that Mr Hussardin Sahib, the Tahsildar of Maduranthakam is acting as Deputy Collector, and his eventual return while in any case uncertain, is at all events far from imminent. The Government on the recommendation of the Board, sanctioned the appointment of P. Setapathi Naidu, the Deputy Tahsildar of

× Vide your letter No 76 dated 12th July 1873.

× Vide G.O. dated 25th June 1873. No 157

of Pirupporur to act at Maduraitakam.
When I originally made this nomination
I looked on the arrangement as a tempo-
-rary one merely, the first vacancy being
for 3 months.

12. Sitapathy Naidu has, however,
rather to my surprise, proved during the
seven months he has held the Taluq that
he is emphatically the right man for the
place. He is upright, hardworking, and
keeps his subordinates in proper order.
He is besides a Capital Magistrate
having been my Magisterial Clerk
for several years. His is a great post
in a Taluq with heavy Criminal work.
I therefore propose to retain him at
Madurantakum.

13. His permanent appointment
at Pirupporur is still vacant, and I
would therefore propose for the Board's
sanction

1403
15

sanction that Cornelius Pillai, who is now
thrown out of employ for the second time in
the Tanjore District, be transferred to act
as Deputy Tahsildar of Pirupporur. Respect-
-fully submit that the difficulty
can be satisfactorily overcome by this
arrangement, while the efficiency of the
public Service will not be interfered with.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) C. S. Crole
Ag. Sub-Collector.

(True copy)
(Signed) R. H. Barlow
Collector.

(True copy)
C Crole
Secretary,
Board of Revenue

Copy of letter from Bo. of Revenue
to the Secretary to Govt. No. 3108
dated 27th October 1874.

Rec'd 30th Dec. 74

Copy of letter from Bo.
of Rev. to Govt. No. 3108 of
27th Oct. 1874 forwarding
Explanation regarding
the case of Kanyoosay
Augoor.

Rec'd 5 Feb 75

Revenue Department.

No. 3894.

From The Board of Revenue.
Dated 27 October 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

FOR ORDERS.

c 11/11/74 Rec 19/11/74
R.C 20/11/74. Rep 23/11/74.

Rev.

Revenue Department.

No. 1495

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 3rd December 1874

READ the following Pro: of 1st Dec. Bd. of Rev:

Subj. letter from Coll. requesting that salary of T. Narasimhasami Iyer Dy. Tahsr. of Trivady now Ag. Tahsr. of Tanjore Town for period he was out of employment may be passed to him, and that the period may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension.

H. E. 27th Oct. 1874 No. 3108

The proposals made in para 5 of the Board's Proceedings above recorded are sanctioned. Narasimhasami Iyer will receive pay for the period during which he was kept out of employment as Sub. Magistr

of

1495
19

of Privacy and not
as Chingleput Suit
Collector's Sheristadar.

The Bd Rec is not
clear on this point of S
think it better to give award
against the payment
of the higher salary.

14 To the Board of Revenue Madras
& the Accountant General S.

25/11/74

Asst Secretary

433-444