19

M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-55.

(1) Number of Pages 38

(2) Date of repair 28. 3. 67.

(3) Initials of the Referencer M.N.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No.	1495	Press Mis.
Dated	3. 12. 1874 ~~196~~ .	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd December 1874.

No. 43. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th October 1874, No. 3,108 :—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd November 1873, and 27th January 187 Miscellaneous Nos. 8,027 and 538.

also the following tter from the Hon. D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Tanjore, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd September 1874, No. 307 :—

I HAVE the honor to forward the translation of an arzi from T. Narainasami Iyer, Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, (now of Tanjore town since a new Sub-Magistrate has been sanctioned in G. O., dated 8th July 1874, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 21st July 1874, No. 4,901,) in which he requests that his salary for the period during which he remained out of employ subsequent to his being relieved of the duties of Sub-Division Sheristadar in the Chingleput District, and taking charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady may be paid to him, and the period itself ordered to be included in his pensionable service.

2. The circumstances which led to Narainasami Iyer remaining out of employ have been fully explained in my letter, dated 31st October 1873, No. 382, and the correspondence noted in the margin. He was relieved of the duties of Sheristadar of the Chingleput District on the 22nd October 1873 and took charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady on the 1st February 1874, in accordance with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1874, No. 538.

Sub-Collector's letter, Chingleput, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated 16th December 1873, No. 634.

3. As Narainasami Iyer was kept out of employ for upwards of three months for no fault of his, I beg to recommend his application for favorable consideration.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of arzi from Narainasami Iyengar.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The facts of this case are numerous and complicated, but they may be summarized as follows :—

3. In March 1872 T. Narainasami Iyer, Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, in Tanjore, was appointed to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput for Tillanayagum Pillai who was acting as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly. Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly on the 6th July 1872. In November 1872, eight months after T. Narainasami Iyer had begun to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput, Mr. Cadell, the Collector of Tanjore, wrote to Mr. Crole, the Sub-Collector of Chingleput, and asked if T. Narainasami Iyer had been confirmed. On the 7th January 1873 Mr. Crole replied that he had not yet heard that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed. Mr Cadell then wrote to Trichinopoly and found that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed, as mentioned above, in July 1872. Mr. Crole wrote to Trichinopoly in May 1873 and asked the same question and got the same answer. In July 1873 the Collector of Tanjore filled up the Trivady appointment. In October 1873, Mr. Crole, on the

ground that he was dissatisfied with the qualifications of T. Narainasami Iyer, who had then been acting as Sub-Division Sheristadar for nineteen months, nominated another man to the office.

[illegible] Sub-Division Sheristadar, on the [illegible]er 1873 went back [illegible]jore, and found Trivady appointment, which had been his, filled up. The Collector of Tanjo[illegible] referred the matter to the Board, and it was ultimately arranged for T. Narainasami Iyer to be re-instated in Trivady, and he took charge on the 1st February 1874.

5. Thus he was kept out of employment for no fault of his own from the 22nd October 1873 to the 1st February 1874. He asks that he may receive pay for this period and that it may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension. The Board think both requests are just and reasonable and resolve to submit them for the favorable consideration of Government.

6. It would serve no useful purpose to enter into the minutia of the case which can be gathered from the papers read at the head of these Proceedings, and the Board will only remark that they have expressed dissatisfaction with the part in it borne by Mr. Crole. He ought to have taken measures to ensure his being informed when Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed, and he ought then, that is in July 1872, either to have confirmed T. Narainasami Iyer or sent him back to Tanjore.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 44.

ORDER THEREON, 3rd December 1874, No. 1,495.

Miscellaneous.

THE proposals made in paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings above recorded are sanctioned. Narainasami Iyer will receive pay for the period during which he was kept out of employment as Sub-Magistrate of Trivady and not as Chingleput Sub-Collector's Sheristadar.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

1495/2

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December 3rd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 3rd December 1874, No. 1,495.

DECEMBER.

Nos. 43-44.

T. Narainasami Iyer, Deputy Tahsildar of Tanjore Town.—Sanctioning payment to — of salary for the period during which he was kept out of employment as Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, and the reckoning of the period as service qualifying for pension.

1633
3

No. 3,108.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th October 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd November 1873, and 27th January 1874, Miscellaneous Nos. 8,027 and 538.

Read also the following letter from the Hon. D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Tanjore, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd September 1874, No. 307:—

I HAVE the honor to forward the translation of an arzi from T. Narainasami Iyer, Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, (now of Tanjore town since a new Sub-Magistrate has been sanctioned in G. O., dated 8th July 1874, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 21st July 1874, No. 4,901,) in which he requests that his salary for the period during which he remained out of employ subsequent to his being relieved of the duties of Sub-Division Sheristadar in the Chingleput District and taking charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady may be paid to him, and the period itself ordered to be included in his pensionable service.

Sub-Collector's letter, Chingleput, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated 16th December 1873, No. 634.

2. The circumstances which led to Narainasami Iyer remaining out of employ have been fully explained in my letter, dated 31st October 1873, No. 332, and the correspondence noted in the margin. He was relieved of the duties of Sheristadar of the Chingleput District on the 22nd October 1873 and took charge of the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Trivady on the 1st February 1874, in accordance with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1874, No. 538.

3. As Narainasami Iyer was kept out of employ for upwards of three months for no fault of his, I beg to recommend his application for favorable consideration.

Enclosure No. 1.—Translation of arzi from Narainasami Iyengar.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The facts of this case are numerous and complicated, but they may be summarized as follows:—

3. In March 1872 T. Narainasami Iyer, Sub-Magistrate of Trivady, in Tanjore, was appointed to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput for Tillanayagum Pillai who was acting as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly. Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly on the 6th July 1872. In November 1872, eight months after T. Narainasami Iyer had begun to act as Sub-Division Sheristadar of Chingleput, Mr. Cadell, the Collector of Tanjore, wrote to Mr. Crole, the Sub-Collector of Chingleput, and asked if T. Narainasami Iyer had been confirmed. On the 7th January 1873 Mr. Crole replied that he had not yet heard that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed. Mr Cadell then wrote to Trichinopoly and found that Tillanayagum Pillai had been confirmed, as mentioned above, in July 1872. Mr. Crole wrote to Trichinopoly in May 1873 and asked the same question and got the same answer. In July 1873 the Collector of

Tanjore filled up the Trivady appointment. In October 1873, Mr. Crole, on the ground that he was dissatisfied with the qualifications of T. Narainasami Iyer, who had then been acting as Sub-Division Sheristadar for nineteen months, nominated another man to the office.

T. Narainasami Iyer, being relieved from the duties of Sub-Division Sheristadar, on the 22nd October 1873 went back to Tanjore, and found the Trivady appointment, which had been his, filled up. The Collector of Tanjore referred the matter to the Board, and it was ultimately arranged for T. Narainasami Iyer to be re-instated in Trivady, and he took charge on the 1st February 1874.

5. Thus he was kept out of employment for no fault of his own from the 22nd October 1873 to the 1st February 1874. He asks that he may receive pay for this period and that it may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension. The Board think both requests are just and reasonable and resolve to submit them for the favorable consideration of Government.

6. It would serve no useful purpose to enter into the minutiæ of the case which can be gathered from the papers read at the head of these Proceedings, and the Board will only remark that they have expressed dissatisfaction with the part in it borne by Mr. Crole. He ought to have taken measures to ensure his being informed when Tillanayagum Pillai was confirmed, and he ought then, that is in July 1872, either to have confirmed T. Narainasami Iyer or sent him back to Tanjore.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grose
Secretary.

8th July/74 No 44-45

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with one original enclosure, Board's Proceedings,
dated 24th November 1873, Miscellaneous No. 8,027, and
27th January 1874, Miscellaneous No. 538, with copy of Mr. Crole's
letter to Collector of Chingleput, dated 16th December 1873, No. 634.
" the Collector of Tanjore.
Copy to the Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

No 3894
Rev 1874, Department
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 27TH OCTOBER 1874, No. 3,108.

Desp 20 Oct/74

Encl 1

Ackd 1495-5th December 1874

Received 7 Nov 1874

OCTOBER.
No. 3,108.

T. Narainasami Iyer.—Deputy Tahsildar of Trivady, now Acting Tahsildar of Tanjore town.—Letter from Collector requesting that salary of — for period he was out of employment may be passed to him, and that the period may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension, submitted for favorable consideration of Government.

For orders

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No 8027.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 24th November 1873.

Read the following:—

No 382.

From
The Honorable D. Arbuthnott
Collector of Tanjore.

To
J. Grose Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit, for the orders of the Board, the case of Narayanasami Aiyar, formerly Deputy Tahsildar and Magistrate of Trivadi in the Tanjore Taluq in this District, and who, after having held another appointment in Chingleput for nearly two years, has now returned with an application for reinstatement in his former post at Trivadi.

The

2. The following is a brief history of the Case:—

On the 4th January 1872 Mr Crole, the Acting Sub Collector of Chingleput, forwarded to Mr Cadell a copy of the Board's Pro* -ceedings dated 17th November preceding together with a Takeed of his own, appointing Narayanasami Aiyar to the post of Tahsildar of Chingleput, on probation for three months, and requesting that he might be directed to join on the earliest date convenient for him (Mr Cadell). The Board's Proceedings of which Mr Crole enclosed a copy, after according sanction to the appointment, directed that "at the end of that time (three months) Mr Crole must decide whether Narayanasami Aiyar is to be confirmed or not." Narayanasami Aiyar was accordingly sent to Chingleput.

* No 4768

3. Next on the 25th March 1872 Mr Crole wrote to say that the Board had since cancelled there order of the 17th November 1871, and appointed Narayanasami Aiyar to act as Sub Division Sheristadar in that District, and added that the permanent incumbent was officiating as First Class Tahsildar of Trichinopoly during the absence of Pattabiramana Pillay on duty as Special Deputy Collector". On this occasion Mr Crole did not send a copy of the Board's Proceedings.

4. No further communication was received from Mr Crole till November 1872, and, therefore on the 14th of that month, Mr Cadell wrote to him to know whether Narayanasami Aiyar had been confirmed as Sheristadar of his office or not. Mr Cadell in his letter further added as follows:—

"His post as Sub Magistrate of Trivadi in this District has been held on acting tenure now for ten months—an arrangement obviously attended with much inconvenience. The present acting incumbent is Vernacular Head Clerk of the Collector's office in Trichinopoly, and the Collector of that District has intimated that he cannot keep this latter appointment open any longer."

5. To this letter of the 14th November 1872 Mr Crole curtly replied on the 7th January 1873 as follows:-

"With reference to your letter No 1415 of the 14th November last, I have the honor to express my regret that it has hitherto been overlooked and to state that I have not yet heard from the Trichinopoly District that Tillanayagam Pillai for whom Narayanasawmi Aiyar acts, has been confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly."

6. Mr Cadell then wrote to the Collector of Trichinopoly, and was informed by that officer in reply dated 17th February 1873, that Tillanayagam Pillai had been confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly so long ago as 6th July 1872.

7. I must here observe, that, failing to receive any answer from Mr Crole to his letter of the 14th November for upwards of six weeks, and in consideration of the inconvenience attending the temporary arrangements which had been continued then for nearly twelve months, Mr Cadell about the end of December issued orders making confirmed appointments in connection with the office of Travadi Deputy Tahsildar. Owing, however, to the individual who had been appointed to that post in immediate succession to Narayanasami Aiyar having since obtained an appointment of Tahsildar of Trichinopoly, and his confirmation there having depended upon the final order of Government for the grant of a pension to the previous incumbent, it was subsequently

-quently pointed out that the Trivadi appointment could not be confirmed till the grant of pension to that incumbent had been sanctioned. Mr Cadell accordingly held his orders above referred to in suspension, and subsequently the Collector of Trichinopoly having intimated that the pension to the superannuated Tahsildar had been granted, and that his successor who went from Trivadi had been confirmed there as Tahsildar, I, at the end of July last, confirmed Mr Cadell's appointments.

8. Thus stands the matter, and now comes Narayanasami Ayjar from Chingleput with a letter to me from Mr Crole, thus worded:—

"I have the honor to inform you that C. Narayanasami Ayjar, Deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate of Trivadi in Your District was relieved from his acting appointment as Sheristadar in my office by the permanent incumbent yesterday afternoon."

9. I do not know who the permanent incumbent Mr Crole alludes to, is, but the permanent incumbent who appears on the correspondence is Pillanayaga Pillai, the predecessor of Narayanasami Ayjar, in the office of Sub Collector's Sheristadar in Chingleput, and this individual, according to Mr Pennington's letter to Mr Cadell, was confirmed as Tahsildar of Trichinopoly sixteen months ago.

10. Under these circumstances, I beg I may be favoured with the Board's instruction as to whether the confirmed appointments in Tanjore are to be cancelled, and Narayanasami Iyer is to be replaced as Deputy Tahsildar at Trivadi. This reversal involves

the reversion of the present Trivadi Deputy Tahsildar, Chidambarayyar to the Deputy Tahsilship of the inferior grade on Rs 70 at Madhiarjunam, and throws out of employ the present Deputy Tahsildar at the place last mentioned, Cornelius Pillai, who was formerly employed as Deputy Clerk on the Establishment of Negapatam Municipality, this last named post having been since abolished in consequence of a reduction of Establishment.

11. In the event of the Board preferring to direct the reversal of the present arrangements I have to beg also, that under the orders marginally noted* the sanction of Government may be obtained for Cornelius Pillai being removed from his office of Magistrate as also for Narayanasami Aiyar, who, *ipso facto* has ceased to be a Magistrate, being made one under the present Code of Criminal Procedure.

* Board's Proceedings 7th March 1873 No 330.

I have &c

Tanjore Collector's Office. – Vallum
31st October 1873 } (Signed) D. Arbuthnott
Collector.

Referred to the Collector of Chingleput who will obtain and submit Mr Crole's explanation as to the matter, and call on him to show cause why his present Sheristadar should not be turned out rather than a Tanjore officer.

(True copy & Extract)
(Signed) J. Grose
Secretary.

To the Collector of Chingleput
Copy " — " — Collector of Tanjore.

(True copy)
J. Grose
Secretary.

Enclosure in Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Ag. Secretary to Govt. Revenue Department dated 27th October 1874 No. 3108.

P.G. 7th Decr 1874
In B.C. No: 43.

Ref 17/21/74

Rev. 3894/74

Copy of Board's Proceedings dated 24th November 1873 No. 802 (on letter from Collr of Tanjore dated 31st Oct. 1873 No. 382) referring to Collr of Chingleput case of Narayanasami Aiyer formerly Dy. Tahr. & Magte of Tiruvadi & afterwards Ag. Sub Divn Shr of Chingleput & requesting to submit Mr Crole's explanation of the case & to call on that officer to show why his present Shr & Shw prop- be turned out rather than to ra- -anasami Iyer of Tanjore.

1495/9

Revenue Department.

Miscellaneous No. 538.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 20th January 1874.

Read the following:-

No. 604.

From
R. W. Barlow Esquire
Collector of the
Chingleput District.

To
J. Grose Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to the Proceedings of the Board No. 802 dated the 24th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of the Acting Sub Collector's explanation No. 634 dated the 16th Instant as therein directed.

2. As regards para 8, I have the honor to remark that I declined to support Mr Crole's nomination of Narayanasami Aiyar for the Tahsildarship of Conjeeveram, because he is not well acquainted

with English — almost a sine qua non for the Office there — where there is an important Municipality — and also because I was not satisfied with his work during the Acting Sub-Collector's temporary absence on Privilege leave when an Assistant was in Charge, alluded to at para 4 of the Explanation.

3 With reference to the arrangements proposed by Mr Crole at paras 10–13, I have the honor to observe that I only viewed the appointment of Sethapathy Naidu, like Mr Irwin who sent it on, as a very temporary arrangement, and should otherwise have opposed it, but he has now had a trial extending over 7 months, and Mr Crole's commendations of his conduct during that period are most emphatic, and I therefore do not desire to oppose the proposed arrangement, should the Board deem it otherwise feasible, the more so as I think Krishna Aiyengar is doing excellent service as Sub Division Sheristadar and

and

and his reverting to this Office again as Head Vernacular Clerk is therefore to be deprecated and would further cause several other changes and considerable inconvenience.

I have &c

Chingleput District
Collector's Office
Saidapet, 20th December 1873 } (Signed) R. W. Barlow
Collector.

Mr Crole's explanation is far from satisfactory, but the Board think that as matters stand it will be best to place Cornelius Pillai in the post of Deputy Tahsildar of Triporur. To provide against the possible return of Mr Ansardin, he should be provided with a permanent appointment as soon as a suitable vacancy occurs.

The Collector should consider whether Sethapathy Naidu should be left in a ++ Taluq of a grade so much superior to that held by many of his seniors.

(True copy & Extract)

(Signed) J. Grose
Secretary.

To the Collectors of Chingleput & Tanjore

Copy — Accountant General.

(True copy)

J Grose
Secretary.

Enclosures in Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue
to the Ag. Secretary to Govt.
Rev. Department dated
27th October 1874 No. 3168.

Pg. D 3 Dec 74
En No. 43.

Copy of Board's Proceedings
No. 5380 of 27th January 1874
passing orders on Mr.
Crole's explanation called
for in Board's Proc. No. 8027 of
24th Novr 1873.

Recd 3/4/74

Chingleput Sub-Collector's Office
Camp, Conjeveram 16th December 1873.

No. 634.

From
C. S. Crole Esqre
Ag. Sub Collector.

To
R. W. Barlow Esqre
Ag. Collector.

Sir,

In obedience to the order of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th November 1873 No. 8027, I have the honor to give my version of the matter therein alluded to.

2. On the 2nd December 1871 the Board of Revenue promoted the Sub Division Sheristadar of Chingleput to act as 1st Class Tahsildar of Trichinopoly. The duration of his deputation to that District being quite uncertain, I experienced extreme difficulty in temporarily filling the vacancy.

3. After one unsuccessful attempt* I procured the Board's sanction⊕ to the appointment of C. Narayanasami Aiyar to act. He

*Vide Board's Proceedings No. dated

⊕Vide Board's Proceedings No. 1660 dated 5th March 1872.

He had just previously been ordered by the Board to go back to Trivadi, but as he possessed the necessary experience required by the Board in the former of the above orders I determined to try him. Here I must distinctly bring to notice that he agreed to take the appointment without any hope of being confirmed, for except experience in various revenue Offices he had little to recommend him. I explained this to him.

4. Time wore on, and the Acting Sheristadar did worse and worse. It is no exaggeration to say that nearly the whole of his work for 18 months was done by myself. When I left for a month on privilege leave you are aware that the work of the office collapsed owing to his not being able to give the Assistant Collector in charge that help which a Sheristadar is expected to afford. His conduct was then specially brought to your notice by the Assistant Collector

in

in a report.

5. In January or February last, Narayanasami Aiyar seeing no prospect of an opening in this District as a Tahsildar, and probably not caring to face another or Jamabundy complicated by defalcations and irregularities in Chingleput, urged on me to allow him to rejoin his appointment in Tanjore, in which District he appeared to think there were better prospects of obtaining a Tahsildari. I told him that whenever I heard of Pillainayagam Pillai's Confirmation, I should appoint another man and let him go. The fact that he was going back to Tanjore was notified to you on the 11th February 1873 in a Demi-Official note regarding Sama Row and the Expected vacancy in Chingleput.

6. Afterwards, namely on the 28th May, having in the meantime finished

the Jamabundy, I wrote to the Collector of Trichinopoly asking him whether Pillanayagam Pillai had been confirmed. On the 4th June he replied that he had. I then impressed on the Sheristadar the necessity of completing the Jamabundi accounts &c. and when he had done so, I* nominated the Vernacular Head Clerk of your Office whom I considered to have obtained sufficient experience to satisfy the Board. I beg to draw attention to the fact that, in the letter on which these Proceedings were passed, I brought Narayanasami Aiyar's case to prominent notice.

7. On Mr. Arbuthnott's letter I shall confine myself to the fewest remarks possible. In the first place the facts of the case as stated above will have made it clear that there was no good ground for assuming that Narayanasami Aiyar would necessarily be confirmed when the Sub Division Sheristadar fell vacant. I would further suggest that when the Collector of Tanjore obtained information of Pillanayagam Pillai's confirmation, of which he knew me to be ignorant, he would have avoided all the subsequent confusion by communicating it to me and calling upon me to confirm or send him back Narayanasami Aiyar. I note that his appointment at Tiruvadi was not actually filled up till the end of July 1873.

8. Further, I most distinctly repudiate the slightest idea of not consulting the convenience of the Collector of Tanjore as appears to be insinuated in Mr. Arbuthnott's letter. I was quite aware that no Collector likes having a man thrust back on his District after holding a long acting appointment

---

* Vide Board's Proceedings No. 696½ dated 14th October 1873.

-ment in another. Accordingly when the Tahsildari of Conjeeveram fell vacant I nominated Narayanasami Aiyar to it in a letter to you dated 7th July 1873. This Taluk has two Deputy Tahsildars, and if the Tahsildar is only moderately honest, is by far the easiest in the Sub Division. Narayanasami Aiyar, although by no means bright, was in my opinion fit for that post. You did not think so,* the thing fell to the ground, and I was accordingly compelled to send him back to what I think there can be no doubt continued to be his permanent appointment in Tanjore.

*Vide your letter No. 1776 dated 12th July 1873.

9. The 2nd part of the Board's order directs me "to show cause why my present Sheristadar should not be turned out rather than a Tanjore officer."

10. In this matter I beg to place myself unreservedly in the Board's hands. They have all the facts before them. I would only respectfully remind them that my office is a peculiarly heavy one, and owing to the vicinity of the Division to Madras, as well as to its peculiar land tenure, the Sheristadar requires to be considerably above the average. The present incumbent promises well. Narayanasami Aiyar was tried and did very badly.

11. I beg to bring to notice however that there is really no necessity for touching the Sheristadar's appointment. You are aware that Mir Ansardin Sahib, the Tahsildar of Madurantakam is acting as Deputy Collector, and his eventual return, while in any case uncertain, is at all events far from imminent. The Government on the recommendation of the Board, sanctioned the appointment of* P. Sitapathi Naidu, the Deputy Tahsildar of

*Vide G. O. dated 25th June 1873. No. 157.

of Tiruporur to act at Madurantakam.
When I originally made this nomination I looked on the arrangement as a temporary one merely, the first vacancy being for 3 months.

12. Sitapathy Naidu has, however, rather to my surprise, proved during the seven months he has held the Taluq, that he is emphatically the right man for the place. He is upright, hardworking, and keeps his subordinates in proper order. He is besides a Capital Magistrate having been my Magisterial Clerk for several Years. This is a great point in a Taluq with heavy Criminal work. I therefore propose to retain him at Madurantakam.

13. His permanent appointment at Tiruporur is still vacant, and I would therefore propose for the Board's sanction

1495/15

sanction that Cornelius Pillai, who is now thrown out of employ for the second time in the Tanjore District, be transferred to act as Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruporur. I respectfully submit that the difficulty can be satisfactorily overcome by this arrangement, while the efficiency of the public service will not be interfered with.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) C. S. Crole
Ag. Sub-Collector.

(True copy)

(Signed) R. W. Barlow
Collector.

(True copy)

J Grose
Secretary,
Board of Revenue

Copd. S. Vadivelu

Enclosure in Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue
to the Ch. Secretary to Govt.,
Revenue Department, dated
27th October 1874 No. 3108.

B. 1725

Regd. 3rd Dec / 74
in K. No. 43.

Copy of letter from Rev.
Coll. Cg. Sub. Coll. No. 8
Chingleput submitting
explanation regarding
the case of Narayana
Iyengar.

Rec. 3894/74

1825
16

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 3894.

From The Board of Revenue. Dated 27 October 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

I would accept the
rates proposed

18/11

22/11

FOR ORDERS.

c 11/11/74 Rd. 19/11/74
R.C. 20/11/74. Rd. 23/11/74.

Revenue Department.

No. 1495

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 3rd December 1874.

READ the following Pro: of the Bd. of Rev.

Subg. letter from Collr. requesting that salary of T. Narainasami Iyer Dy: Tahlr. of Trivady now Ag. Tahlr. of Tanjore Town for period he was out of employment may be passed to him, and that the period may be held to have been passed in service qualifying for pension. } H. E. 27th Octr. 1874 No. 3108

The proposals made in para 5 of the Board's Proceedings above recorded are sanctioned. Narainasami Iyer will receive pay for the period during which he was Kept out of employment as Sub. Magistr

of Trivady and not
s Chingleput Sub
Collector's Sheristadar.

The Bd Rs is not clear on this point & I think it better to guard against the payment of the higher salary.

14 To the Board of Revenue Dec 4 Dec
6 . The Accountant Genl 5

28/11/74

Sent for orders.

1495/19

43-44

G.O.

Regarding the salary
of T. Narainaswa-
mi Iyer, Dy Tah-
sildar in Tanjore
Dist & the pay
he was out of em-
ploy —