

(1) Number of Pages.....4
(2) Date of repair.....3.6.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....Heg

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	140	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	30 1.	1964 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th January 1874.

No. 272. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th January 1874, No. 74 :—

Read the following letter from J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th December 1873, No. 271 :—

With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 1,534 of 15th March last, I have the honour to state that I do not consider it necessary to raise the fee on Distraint Warrants, on account of the discontinuance of the collection of the fee on the first demand. Although the number of demands issued in Fasli 1281, (the year in which the system of presenting bills was dispensed with) was 9,677 in excess of those issued in the previous year, still the fee of 1 Anna was not collected on every Demand Notice, owing to the defaulters being too poor to pay; so that the actual yield of the Demand Notices issued in Fasli 1281, was only Rupees 624-7-6 instead of Rupees 900, as presumed by Mr. Arbuthnott.

2. On the other hand, the number of Distraint Warrants issued during last fasli increased. It was 4,935, or 3,585 more than in the preceding year, and in the current fasli, a much larger number will issue, as I have given strict instructions to the Deputy-Collector that no time, whatever, should be allowed to be lost in the seizing the property of persons neglecting to pay on the service of the

3. The proceeds of the Distraint Warrants will thus exceed the maximum revenue* at stake by the non-collection of any fee on the service of demands, so long as Distraint Warrants are issued at once, and any addition to the present fee, therefore, seems in my opinion unnecessary.

* Rupees 1,900.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to Government Order, 11th March 1873. Under the opinion expressed by the late Collector, the Board are not prepared to recommend any increase to the distraint fee for the present.

2. The Collector will report at the close of the fasli how the system in force works.

No. 273. ORDER THEREON, 30th January 1874, No. 140.

Land Revenue, No. 2.

RECORDED, pending the further report called for.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. G. A. Turton.

No. 74.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 17th January 1874.
Read the following letter from J. HUNTER-BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Madras,
to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th
December 1873, No. 271:—

With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 1,534 of 15th
March last, I have the honour to state that I do not consider it necessary to raise
the fee on Distraint Warrants, on account of the discontinuance of the collection
of the fee on the first demand. Although the number of demands issued in Fasli
1281, (the year in which the system of presenting bills was dispensed with) was
9,677 in excess of those issued in the previous year, still the fee of 1 Anna was not
collected on every Demand Notice, owing to the defaulters being too poor to pay;
so that the actual yield of the Demand Notices issued in Fasli 1281, was only
Rupees 624-7-6 instead of Rupees 900, as presumed by Mr. Arbuthnott.

2. On the other hand, the number of Distraint Warrants issued during last
fasli increased. It was 4,935, or 3,585 more than in the preceding year, and in
the current fasli, a much larger number will issue, as I have given strict instruc-
tions to the Deputy-Collector that no time, whatever, should be allowed to be lost
in distraining the property of persons neglecting to pay on the service of the
demand.

3. The proceeds of the Distraint Warrants will thus exceed the maximum
revenue* at stake by the non-collection
of any fee on the service of demands, so

* Rupees 1,900.

long as Distraint Warrants are issued at once, and any addition to the present fee,
therefore, seems in my opinion unnecessary.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to Government Order, 11th March
1873. Under the opinion expressed by the late Collector, the Board are not
prepared to recommend any increase to the distraint fee for the present.

2. The Collector will report at the close of the fasli how the system in
force works.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grose
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
.. the Collector of Madras.

Exd G. A. Turton.

Board of 1874
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated January 30th.

G. O. 30th January 1874, No. 140.

JANUARY.
Nos. 272-273.

Distraint Warrants issued in Madras Dis-
trict. Recording Board's Proceedings on the
subject of raising the fee on —, pending further
report from the Collector.

272-273

14 B. & R. do. 2 Feb 74

1874. Sept
 Board of Revenue
 MADRAS.

No 194

PROCEEDINGS, 17TH JANUARY 1874, No. 74.

Supp 21 Jan 74.

Encl.

Received 22

JANUARY.

No. 74.

Dist. Warrants—issued in Madras District.—Letter from Collector stating that it is not necessary to raise fee on—on account of discontinuance of collection of fee on first default, submitted to Government. Collector to report at close of current fiscal how system now in force works. 21/1/74

Re Col. Secy, pending
 the for report & collect for