

- (1) Number of Pages..... 16.
(2) Date of repair..... 3-2-67
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... *[Signature]*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue..... DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1304

Press
Mis.

Dated

10-10-1874 196..

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1304

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th October 1874.

No. 120. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th September 1874, No. 2,620:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533.

" " " " 26th May " " 1,247.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellore, 30th June 1874, No. 347:—

I HAVE the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 26th ultimo, No. 1,247, to submit herewith a translation of a deposition taken from Mujaoodeen by my Treasury-Deputy as regards the delay in prosecuting his claim to a lapsed Dehat Inam allowance.

2. The claimant states in his deposition that, after preferring his claim in the year 1861, he proceeded to Madras leaving a Vakil to prosecute it; that his Vakil gave him no information, and, on hearing of his death after some time, he addressed a petition in the year 1867 to the then Deputy-Collector; that not obtaining any reply to this communication, he again presented another petition to the present Deputy-Collector Mr. Marjoribanks in the year 1870, upon which the inquiry into his claim took place.

3. There is nothing in the records, the Treasury Deputy-Collector reports, to corroborate petitioner's statements, either that he had employed a Vakil formerly or that he had presented a petition in 1867. It is, however, certain that the endorse-

of my remarks in the statement of lapsed Inam embodied in the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533, never reached the petitioner; for in searching for further information as to petitioner's claim the endorsement in question was found in the records as having been returned from the Post-office owing to petitioner not being found.

4. I may add that petitioner's name is Mujaoodeen and not Mirjaooddin as incorrectly printed in the Board's Proceedings.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Deposition.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. Sharafoon Bibi, who held an hereditary grant (Dehat Inam) of Rupees 26 per annum, died, 29th July 1858, at Madras where she usually resided. For about 5½ years previous to her death, her allowance was not paid, because she had not furnished the requisite life certificate. In these circumstances, the grant lapsed under Standing Circular No. 179.

3. In 1861, three years after Sharafoon Bibi's death, Mujaoodeen claimed the grant, asserting that he was the grandson of the last incumbent and referring to the Collector of Madras in proof of his assertion.

4. The delay of three years in claiming the grant is accounted for by the fact that when his mother died Mujaoodeen was a minor, fourteen years old.

5. On the 19th October 1861, an endorsement was passed by the Collector of North Arcot, declining to make a reference to the Collector of Madras and directing the claimant to produce evidence in support of his claim.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th October 1874.*

6. It does not appear that he moved further in the matter until 1870 when he renewed his claim, which was then referred to the Tahsildar for inquiry. The claimant's explanation in regard to the delay in prosecuting his claim is not satisfactory. It is proved, however, that he is the grandson and legal heir of the last incumbent. The Collector recommends the renewal of the grant in the name of Mujaoodeen and payment of arrears (Rupees 512-11-8) from the date of last payment, 11th January 1854.

7. The Board are of opinion that he is not entitled to the arrears that accrued previous to the date of his grandmother's death, 29th July 1858.

No. 121.

ORDER THEREON, 10th October 1874, No. 1,304.

Miscellaneous.

THE renewal of the grant in the name of Muj-oo-deen and the payment of the arrears that have accrued since he first preferred his claim on the 11th August 1861 are sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman,

2
1304

Debat Inam Allowance enjoyed by deceased Sharafon Bibi of North Arcot.—Sanctioning the renewal of the grant of Rs. 26 per annum, being the — to her grandson, Mirjoodeen, and the payment to him of the arrears that have accrued since 11th August 1861.



OCTOBER.
Nos. 120-121.

G. O., 10th October 1874, No. 1304.

Recd. Dated October 10th.

Encl.

Ca, Co, & Ac

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

To

REVENUE.

Govt. of 1874

10.6.07
15-11-11

No. 533.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th March 1874.

Read the following paper from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 29th November 1873:—

Statement of lapsed Debat Inam recommended for renewal in the District of North Arcot.

Case No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
10	A portion of ready-money allowance granted in lieu of Debat Inam of Punji village, in Wallajah Taluq, at Rupees 25 per annum.	Granted by Nabob Sadaroolah Khan to Shaik Abdal Wahab on the 22nd Shaban, 6th Jeebooss.	For subsistence hereditary.	Sharafon Beebee, died on the 19th July 1858, Great Grand daughter of the original grantee.	Entire allowance of Rupees 26, per annum.	Grandson Mirjoodeen, age thirty years, is in receipt of a monthly pension of Rupees 10.		1. Sharafon Beebee was in receipt of a Punji Debat Inam allowance of Rupees 26, per annum, under the Order of Government, dated 13th June 1851, No. 564, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd idem, and died on the 19th July 1858. 2. Mirjoodeen, the individual mentioned in Column 7 of this Statement, presented on the 11th August 1861, to the Treasury Deputy-Collector Mr. S. R. Lock, a petition claiming the allowance in question on the ground of his relationship as grandson of the deceased, and stating that the fact may be ascertained by a reference to the Collector of Madras. He was informed by an endorsement, dated 19th October 1861, that no reference would be made to Madras, but that if he chose he might himself produce the necessary Potnamnah (Certificate of death) and other documents in support of his claim, which would then be taken into consideration. To this endorsement no reply was received at that time. On the 19th April 1864, Ghoolam Mohadeen, brother of the deceased, presented a petition to the then Treasury Deputy-Collector M. Venkata Rethum Pillai, claiming the registry of the allowance in his name by virtue of a Hibbanamah (Deed of gift) filed with his petition and said to have been executed to him by the deceased, transferring the right of her allowance to him. 3. Ghoolam Mohadeen's claim was rejected by M. Venkata Rethum Pillai, in his endorsement, dated 25th April 1865, No. 35, on the ground that the document had been executed long ago, and that another claimant Mirjoodeen, Grandson of the deceased, had come forward for the allowance in question. After this Ghoolam Mohadeen urged his claim no further, and died on the 4th September 1869. 4. Mirjoodeen again presented a petition on the 25th January 1870, requesting that his claim to the allowance might be ascertained through the Tahsildar of Wallajah Taluq, and the allowance continued in his name.

Statement of lapsed Debat Inam recommended for renewal in the District of North Arcot.-(Continued.)

Case No.	Taluq and Village, extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted, name of the original grantee, and the support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, Charity or Service, if any, and the nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased, set and that held by the other members of the family.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties, their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.
1	3	3	4	5	6	7	8
							5. The matter was accordingly referred to the Tahsildar, and from his report and a perusal of the correspondence in the Collector's Office, the following facts have been elicited. 6. In the list submitted to the Board, with the Collector Mr. Bourdillon's letter, No. 139, dated 14th November 1850, and which received the sanction of Government, in their Order, dated 13th June 1851, No. 564, quoted above, the name of the deceased is entered as sister of Ghoolam Mohideen. The deceased had three heirs, viz., (1) Jeon Mahomed Khader; (2) His wife Kyroombee; and (3) his son Mirjaodeen; the first, Mahomed Khader, died about two years prior to the death of his mother. His wife Kyroombee also died about twelve years ago. Mirjaodeen, the present claimant, is the son of Mahomed Khader and grandson of the deceased Sharafon Beebee, and this fact has been established during the inquiry held before the Tahsildar of Wallejah Taluq. 7. As already stated, the present claimant advanced his claim about three years after the death of his grandmother and his claim was then rejected. He again urged his claim in 1870, after a silence of ten years, but at the time of his grandmother's death, he appears to have been a Minor of fourteen years of age. 8. The hereditary character of Punji Debat Inam was fully recognized by Government as will be seen from the orders quoted in the margin. Under the orders quoted in the margin, dated 19th July 1855, No. 873, communicated with the Board's Proc., dated 28th Idem, No. 1,938. Despatch from the Secretary of State, dated 9th September 1859, No. 10, paragraph 25, communicated in Board's Proc., dated 13th May 1860, No. 2. of the said Mirjaodeen, and payment to him of the arrears amounting to Rs. 512-11-3 due from 13th January 1844 up to 30th September 1873, at 26 Rupees per annum. The deceased resided during her life-time at Madras. Her allowance was not paid to her for a period of five-and-a-half years, owing to her failure to produce her Life Certificate. The last payment made to her was up to 11th January 1854, as per sanction, conveyed in the Order of Government, dated 14th October 1853, No. 1,150, communicated in the Board's Proceedings, dated 27th Idem.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

REFERRED to the Collector of Madras who will require the claimant Mirjaodeen to explain why having preferred his claim in 1861 he failed to prosecute it until 1870.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

H. E. STOKES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Madras.

Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

5
1304

No. 1,247.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th May 1874.

Read the following letter from R. RAGOONATHA ROW, Deputy-Collector, to A. McC. WEBSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, dated 11th April 1874, No. 436:—

IN reply to your docket No. 187 of 20th ultimo, forwarding for report Revenue Board's Proceedings No. 533 of 10th idem, I have the honour to inform you that the claimant Mirjaoodien, for the renewal of a lapsed Dehat Inam in North Arcot District, is reported by the Government Agent, Chepauk, to have obtained leave in July last for no fixed period, in order to proceed to North Arcot, and he has not returned since.

2. I am therefore unable to submit the report called for.

No. 69.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue for information, with reference to their Proceedings quoted by the Deputy-Collector.

MADRAS, (Signed) A. McC. WEBSTER,
23rd April 1874. Acting Collector.

COMMUNICATED to the Collector of North Arcot, who will obtain the required explanation from Mirjaoodien.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of North Arcot.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Madras.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Rev. 3330/74

1874.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 10TH MARCH 1874, No. 533.

Encl.

Received

Rev. 1356/74

MARCH.
No. 533.

Dehat Inam allowance—of Rupees 26, per annum, enjoyed by deceased Sharafon Beebee, of North Arcot, and claimed by her grandson Mirjaoodien of Madras.—Collector of latter district to require claimant to explain why he failed to prosecute his claim till 1870, after having preferred it in 1861.

1874-15 20/1/74
Ln 13. 4/12/74

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 3330

6/1304

From

Pro. B. of Rev.

Dated

15th Sept^r 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

If the parent is living
& the applicant's hair
is in fact not at all
I would continue the
to him, for the reason from
the date on which he

Rev. 3330/74.

1874.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 26TH MAY 1874, No. 1,247.

Encl.

Received.

Rev. 3330/74.

MAY.

No. 1,247.

Debit Item Allowance—of Rupees 26 per annum, enjoyed by deceased Sharafon Beebee of North Arcot, and claimed by her grandson Mirjaoodeen of Madras.—Report from Collector of Madras that claimant is now in North Arcot, and that explanation called for as to why he failed to prosecute his claim in 1870 after having preferred it in 1861 cannot therefore be submitted, communicated to Collector of North Arcot, with request that required explanation may be obtained from Mirjaoodeen.

Rev. 3330/74.

7
1804

preferred his claim - 13

10th The person who was
for the first time in the Rules

which must be kept hold.

L. O. L.

17 10

P. 9/10

M
87 10

FOR ORDERS.

may be obtained from Mirjaodeen.

12 9/10/74
C. 3/10/74

W. Campbell

No. 2,620.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th September 1874.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533.

Read also the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellore, 26th May " " 1,247.
30th June 1874, No. 347:—

I HAVE the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 26th ultimo, No. 1,247, to submit herewith a translation of a deposition taken from Mujaoodeen by my Treasury-Deputy as regards the delay in prosecuting his claim to a lapsed Dehat Inam allowance.

2. The claimant states in his deposition that, after preferring his claim in the year 1861, he proceeded to Madras leaving a Vakil to prosecute it; that his Vakil gave him no information, and, on hearing of his death after some time, he addressed a petition in the year 1867 to the then Deputy-Collector; that not obtaining any reply to this communication, he again presented another petition to the present Deputy-Collector Mr. Marjoribanks in the year 1870, upon which the inquiry into his claim took place.

3. There is nothing in the records, the Treasury Deputy-Collector reports, to corroborate petitioner's statements, either that he had employed a Vakil formerly or that he had presented a petition in 1867. It is, however, certain that the endorsement granted by Mr. Locke in the year 1861, and alluded to in the 2nd paragraph of my remarks in the statement of lapsed Inam embodied in the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March 1874, No. 533, never reached the petitioner; for in searching for further information as to petitioner's claim the endorsement in question was found in the records as having been returned from the Post-office owing to petitioner not being found.

4. I may add that petitioner's name is Mujaoodeen and not Mirjaooddin as incorrectly printed in the Board's Proceedings.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Deposition.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. Sharafoon Bibi, who held an hereditary grant (Dehat Inam) of Rupees 26 per annum, died, 29th July 1858, at Madras where she usually resided. For about 5½ years previous to her death, her allowance was not paid, because she had not furnished the requisite life certificate. In these circumstances, the grant lapsed under Standing Circular No. 179.

3. In 1861, three years after Sharafoon Bibi's death, Mujaoodeen claimed the grant, asserting that he was the grandson of the last incumbent and referring to the Collector of Madras in proof of his assertion.

4. The delay of three years in claiming the grant is accounted for by the fact that when his mother died Mujaoodeen was a minor, fourteen years old.

5. On the 19th October 1861, an endorsement was passed by the Collector of North Arcot, declining to make a reference to the Collector of Madras and directing the claimant to produce evidence in support of his claim.

6. It does not appear that he moved further in the matter until 1870 when he renewed his claim, which was then referred to the Tahsildar for inquiry. The claimant's explanation in regard to the delay in prosecuting his claim is not satisfactory. It is proved, however, that he is the grandson and legal heir of the last incumbent. The Collector recommends the renewal of the grant in the name of Mujaoodeen and payment of arrears (Rupees 512-11-8) from the date of last payment, 11th January 1854.

for order as to the date

7. The Board are of opinion that he is not entitled to the arrears that accrued previous to the date of his grandmother's death, 29th July 1858.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

C. C. C. C.
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,

with an original Enclosure, and Board's Proceedings, Nos. 533 and 1,247 of 1874.

„ the Collector of North Arcot.

Copy to the Collector of Madras.

11th Sept. 1874. The Secy. to Govt. of Madras.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

3/10/74. The Secy. to Govt. of Madras.
10 Oct 74. The Secy. to Govt. of Madras.
The Secy. to Govt. of Madras.
his claim on the 11th August 1874
that have accrued since he first presented
the demand of the grant in the name
of Mujaoodeen & the payment of the arrears
of 512-11-8 from the date of last payment
of 11th Jan 1854.

BOARD OF REVENUE
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 15th SEPT. 1874. No. 2,620.

Do 15th Sept 74

Do 15th Oct 1874

Received

SEPTEMBER.

No. 2,620.

Debit Item Allowance — of Rupees 26 per annum enjoyed by deceased Shariatun Bibi of North Arcot. — Letter from Collector for forwarding explanation of Mujaoodeen, grandson of deceased, regarding delay in prosecuting his claim to —, submitted for orders of Government.

— Opinion expressed that claimant is not entitled to the arrears that accrued previous to the date of his grandmother's death, 29th July 1858.

W. H. Miller