

1295
71

M.R.O. 1-20,002-12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages.....22
(2) Date of repair.....1. 2. 1967
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....V. R.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1295	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	9. 10. 1874	196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1295
7

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th October 1874.*

No. 96. From J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 28th August 1874, No. 2,442.

I AM directed to address Government with reference to the Notification published at page 2,010, of the *Fort St. George Gazette* for the 23rd December 1873, from which it appears that the Government of India have thought it necessary to relieve Accountants-General from the duty of inspecting District Treasuries, and desired Local Governments to arrange for the inspection to be done "by Commissioners of divisions or other Superintending officers," and that this Government has ordered the inspection in the Madras Presidency to be carried out "under instructions to be issued by the Board of Revenue."

2. No instructions have been issued hitherto. The order was not communicated to the Board, and until they received G. O., dated 16th July 1874, No. 132, they were under the impression that Government would give them definite orders as to the officers to be employed on inspection.

3. The order of the Government of India seems to imply that the inspecting officers are to be officers of a superior grade to that of Collectors, but there are no such officers available in the Madras Presidency. It is simply impossible that the Members of the Board of Revenue, burdened as they now are by duties connected with the Uncovenanted Civil Service Examinations, the Committee for the examination of Assistants, the Settlement Department, and the Inam Department should undertake the work even if they could do it with advantage to the Public Service.

4. I am accordingly to suggest that in this Presidency the inspection of District Treasuries should still be left to the Account Department, strengthened if necessary.

5. It would perhaps be sufficient if each Collector were required to inspect his treasury periodically, submitting a special report that he has done so, and answering all or some of the questions printed with G. O., dated 4th May 1874, No. 766.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
9th October 1874.

No. 1,295.

No. 97.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Miscellaneous.

SIR,—I am directed, with reference to the Resolution of the Government of India, in the Financial Department, dated 5th December 1873, and 19th June 1874, Nos. 3,461 and 3,853, and to the accompanying copy of letter from the Board of Revenue, dated 28th August 1874, No. 2,442, to inquire whether the performance of the inspection duty referred to may not in this Presidency be assigned to Collectors who occupy much the same position as Commissioners in other Provinces.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council fully agrees in the opinion expressed by the Board that it is entirely out of the question under existing circumstances for its Members to take regular tours of inspection through the districts.

3. If investigation is required of a nature more minute than that indicated in the second Resolution under reference, it can be better carried out by an officer of the Account Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman

Financial 1874 Department.

From

Government of Fort St. George.

D.

Z.

No. 1,205.
Enclosures.
8 spare copies.

D. to

Progs.

A. to

NA.

INQUIRING whether the inspection of district treasuries may not be assigned to Collectors; concurring with the Board of Revenue in the opinion that it is out of the question for its Members to take regular tours of inspection through the districts; and observing that, if a minute investigation is required, it can be better carried out by an officer of the Account Department.

No. 2,442.

From J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
To C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secy., to Govt., Revenue Department.

Sir,

I AM directed to address Government with reference to the Notification published at page 2,010, of the *Fort St. George Gazette* for the 23rd December 1873, from which it appears that the Government of India have thought it necessary to relieve Accountants-General from the duty of inspecting District Treasuries, and desired Local Governments to arrange for the inspection to be done "by Commissioners of divisions or other Superintending officers," and that this Government has ordered the inspection in the Madras Presidency to be carried out "under instructions to be issued by the Board of Revenue."

2. No instructions have been issued hitherto. The order was not communicated to the Board and until they received G. O., dated 16th July 1874, No. 132, they were under the impression that Government would give them definite orders as to the officers to be employed on inspection.

3. The order of the Government of India seems to imply that the inspecting officers are to be officers of a superior grade to that of Collectors, but there are no such officers available in the Madras Presidency. It is simply impossible that the Members of the Board of Revenue, burdened as they now are by duties connected with the Uncovenanted Civil Service Examinations, the Committee for the examination of Assistants, the Settlement Department, and the Inam Department should undertake the work even if they could do it with advantage to the Public Service.

4. I am accordingly to suggest that in this Presidency the inspection of District Treasuries should still be left to the Account Department, strengthened if necessary.

5. It would perhaps be sufficient if each Collector were required to inspect his treasury periodically, submitting a special report that he has done so, and answering all or some of the questions printed with G. O., dated 4th May 1874, No. 766.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE,
Madras,
28th August 1874.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

Enc. G. A. T.

residency

1295
Revenue Board office
Madras 28th August 1874.

From.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir,

I am directed to address
Government with reference to the Notifi-
cation published at page 2010 of
the Fort St George Gazette for the 23rd
December 1873, from which it appears
that the Government of India have
thought it necessary to relieve Ac-
countants General from the duty of
inspecting district treasuries and
desired Local Governments to
arrange for the inspection to be
done by Commissioners of divisions
or other Superintending Officers, and
that this Government has ordered
the inspection in the Madras
Presidency

1874.

BOARD of REVENUE

MADRAS.

FROM THE SECT. TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE,
TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, R.D.

Dated 28th August 1874.

Received.

Encl:

AUGUST.

No. 2,442.

Inspection of District Treasuries—in
Madras Presidency.—Suggestion of Board that
duty of — should still be left to the Account
Department strengthened if necessary, sub-
mitted to Government.

Presidency to be carried out "under in-
structions to be issued by the Board
of Revenue"

No instructions have been
issued hitherto. The order was not
communicated to the Board and until
they received Government Order 16th
July 1874, No. 132 they were under the
impression that Government would
give them definite orders as to the
Officers to be employed in inspection.

The order of the Government
of India seems to imply that the
inspecting officers are to be Officers of
a Superior grade to that of Collectors,
but there are no such officers avail-
able in the Madras Presidency.
It is simply impossible that the
Members of the Board of Revenue,
burdened as they now are by
duties connected with the Uncon-
nected Civil Service Examinations,
the Committee for the Examination
of

1295
of Assistants the Settlement Department
and the Inam Department should
undertake the work even if they could
do it with advantage to the Public
Service.

I am accordingly to suggest
that in this Presidency the inspection
of district treasuries should
still be left to the Account De-
partment, strengthened if necessary.

It would perhaps be sufficient
if each Collector were required to in-
spect his treasury periodically, sub-
mitting a special report that he has
done so, and answering all or some of
the questions printed with Govern-
ment Order 4th May 1874, No. 766.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant.

J. G. Cole.

Secretary

To the Secretary of Government
Revenue Department.

[Signature]

Copied by H. M. Jackson

From

Dated

The Board cannot fully

As the work - and it

Must have been the Dr. a

before, by the Assoc. Comtee.

Beit. u. be l/t un d'ne

We have to then take the part
of Andria however we are situated,

9/9 Janu m2.

6.5

18 May 1935 - 3682

21.30.20.

Wesley, 1874. 23. ad. m. d.
7
from

The Secretary to the

And of pleasure

No 296-9 October 1894

Mr. George F.

Frederick St. Lawrence

Valued 28 Aug 1774
By J. E. Ashurst

Instructions for a new

Contains two specimens

regarding the presence
- having of the first

Passes by Officers

Other than the Decem.

East Journal

For order

1807. 12. 25. 1807. 12. 25.
 1807. 12. 25. 1807. 12. 25.

FOR ORDERS.

C 5th Sept^r/74 R 14th

Revenue Department.

1295
8.

To The Secretary to the Government of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

I am directed, with
reference to the Resolution of
the Gov^t of India in the
Financial department dated
5th December 1873. N^o 3461, to
request, that so far as the
Madras Presidency is concern-
ed, the instructions therein
contained may be reconsidered.

2. When the Resolution
in question was first received
in Madras, H. E. the Governor
in Council issued a Notifi-
cation (page 2010 Fort St.
George Gazette dated 23rd Dec^r)
directing that the periodicals
inspection

MS.
2419

b. Adverting to the Resolution of the Govt of India dated 19 June 1874 N. 3853 I am to observe that the supervision therein indicated can in this Presidency be most properly entrusted to the Collectors of districts, but if any more detailed investigation is thought necessary it can be better carried out by an officer of the Account Department.

gml

To
Government of India
Inspector of District
Treasuries

Cancelled 8/17/6

9/20/75

To The Secy. to the Govt. of India
Financial Department.

Revenue Department.
No. 1295- 9 Oct. 75. ⁹⁵/₁₀

Sir,

I am directed,
with reference to the
Resolution of the Govern-
ment of India in the
Financial Department
dated 5th December 1873
and 19th June 1874 Nos.
3401 and 3853 and to the
accompanying copy of
letter from the Board of
Revenue dated 28th August
1874 No. 24412 to enquire
whether the performance
of the inspection duty re-
ferred to may not in this
Presidency be assigned
to Collectors who occupy
much the same position
as Commissioners in other
Provinces

J.A.
R
2/0

M
H

L. Sub. Col. must
be included as well as
District Comm. M

Provinces.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council fully agrees in the opinion expressed by the Board that it is entirely out of the question under existing circumstances for its Members to take regular tours of inspection through the Districts.

3. If investigation is required of a nature more minute than that indicated in the second Resolution under reference it can be better carried out by an Officer of the Account Department.

CM

1295
11

Revised Draft
The Govt of India
Inspection of District
Treasures.

Despatches
ordered in Council
9.10.74
Gull

Print soon

96-97

C 28th Sept 74 R 5th/74