M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 10

(2) Date of repair 30.5.66

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Rev. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	118	Press / Mis.
Dated	27 Jan	1874 —196.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 27th January 1874.

Read the following:—

No. 225. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th November 1873, No. 2,361.

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Nannagaram, 18th October 1873, No. 560:—

In reply to the Board's Proceedings No. 1,137, dated 30th June last, I have the honour to inform you that there is no dock at a Ceylon Port where the "Margaret Northcote" could be docked.

2. The District Engineer has furnished the accompanying plan and estimate for a dry dock at Tuticorin, amounting to Rupees 21,000. I am not myself competent to decide as to the relative advantage of the alternative plans of constructing a dock or sending the "Margaret Northcote" to Bombay occasionally, but the Master Attendant at Madras, who knows the port and the probabilities or otherwise of native craft using such a dry dock, will be able to advise on the subject.

Enclosure No. 1.—Plan.

Enclosure No. 2.—Estimate.

The Board request the Master Attendant to favour them with his opinion on the subject.

No. 226. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th January 1874, No. 46.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 19th November 1873, No. 2,361.

Read also the following letter from H. D. E. DALRYMPLE, Esq., Master Attendant, Madras (Administrative Department), to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 5th December 1873, No. 7,035:—

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of copy of letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly* regarding the Pearl Bank Steamer *Margaret Northcote* and extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th November 1873, No. 2,361, requesting my opinion on the subject of a dry dock at Tuticorin.

* Dated 18th October 1873, No. 560.

2. In reply I have to state that I do not think the country craft would make sufficient use of a dry dock to render it a remunerative work.

3. According to custom, when a common country vessel requires repairs or merely to be "breamed," she is, as a rule, taken into a river and run on shore at high water: at low water a mud dock is constructed, and, if necessary, she is "carcened."

4. In regard to the *Margaret Northcote*, when cleansing or "breaming" is only required she can be beached in a favourable locality in a similar manner, and no man could manage this better than Captain Phipps. When any repairs of consequence are required I should advise that she should be sent either to Cochin or Bombay, and Captain Phipps' decision on this question can be thoroughly relied on.

5. As I have, with the kind permission of the Collector of Tinnevelly, made several trips on duty to the out-ports in this little steamer, I can testify to the great care taken of her and the beautiful order she has always been in when I have sailed in her along with Captain Phipps.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G. O., dated 1st August 1873, No. 799, paragraph 4, and communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly.

2. In the circumstances stated by the Master Attendant the Board do not think that the construction of a dock at Tuticorin, at a cost of Rupees 21,000, is at all required.

No. 227. ORDER THEREON, 27th January 1874, No. 118.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government concur with the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Gobt. of Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated January 27th.

Read. Encl.

Ende Lr No 116574. Bd's Pro No 2335 of 21 ans/74

G. O., 27th January 1874, No. 118.

JANUARY.

Nos. 225—227.

* *Dry Dock at Tuticorin.*—Concurring with Revenue Board's opinion that the construction of a — is unnecessary.

No. 2,361.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th November 1873.

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Nannagaram, 18th October 1873, No. 560:—

IN reply to the Board's Proceedings No. 1,137, dated 30th June last, I have the honour to inform you that there is no dock at a Ceylon Port where the "Margaret Northcote" could be docked.

2. The District Engineer has furnished the accompanying plan and estimate for a dry dock at Tuticorin, amounting to Rupees 21,000. I am not myself competent to decide as to the relative advantage of the alternative plans of constructing a dock or sending the "Margaret Northcote" to Bombay occasionally; but the Master Attendant at Madras, who knows the port and the probabilities or otherwise of native craft using such a dry dock, will be able to advise on the subject.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Plan.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Estimate.

THE Board request the Master Attendant to favour them with his opinion on the subject.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Master Attendant, Madras, with plan and estimate, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Tinnevelly.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

1873.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 19TH NOVEMBER 1873, No. 2,361.

Encl.

Rev 80/1874

Received Pby. 27 Jany/74

NOVEMBER.

No. 2,361.

Pearl Fishery Steamer "Margaret Northcote."—Opinion of Master Attendant requested as to whether construction of a dock at Tuticorin in Tinnevelly District would be preferable to sending the Steamer occasionally to Bombay to be docked.

or merely to be "breamed", she is as a rule taken into a river, and run on shore at High water:— at low water a mud dock is constructed, and if necessary she is "careened".

4. In regard to the "Margaret Northcote", when cleaning or "breaming" is only required — she can be beached in a favorable locality in a similar manner, and no man could manage this better than Capt^n Phipps. When any repairs of consequence are required, I should advise that she should be sent either to Cochin or Bombay, and Capt^n Phipps's decision on this question can be thoroughly relied on.

5. As I have, with the kind permission of the Collector of Tinnevelly, made several trips on duty to the out-Ports in this little steamer, I can testify to the great care taken of her, and the beautiful order she has always been in, when I have sailed in her along with Capt^n Phipps. —

I have &c
(sd) W. D. C. Dalrymple
Master Attendant &
Administrative Off^r

Madras
Marine Office
5th December 1873.

Submitted to Government with reference

referred to Government Order 1st August 1873, No. 799 para 4, and communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly.

2. In the circumstances stated by the Master Attendant the Board do not think that the construction of a dock at Tuticorin at a cost of Rupees 21,000 is at all required. —

True Copy & Extract

H Stokes
Offg Asst Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

with Board's Proceedings dated 19th November 1873. No. 2361 & 2 Enclosures. —

Sd H.B.
Sd Finlaysaying

M.R.O. 1—20,002—12–8–65.

(1) Number of Pages 14

(2) Date of repair 2 1 66

(3) Initials of the Referencer MBR

1 August 73 No 1-2

Rev: 1874: Defs
No 80.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 12th January 1874
Recd 12 — " — "
Read 13 — " —
in 3rd
+2 Rot.

Submitting to Lords
opinion of the Master
Attendant against
the construction
of a Dry Dock at
Tuticorin in Tinnevelly
District No 118

We for Lords concur with
the Board Rev. & 14/1/
27 Jan 1874

225-227.

11 Jany 74 to 23

14 Letter from Govt. dt. 28 January 74