M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 12.

(2) Date of repair 9-12-66.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1052. Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 20-8-1874. 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Y
1052

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th August 1874.

No. 183. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd July 1874, No. 1,669 :—

Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 19th June 1874, No. 2,370 :—

With reference to G. O., No. 715, dated 9th July 1873, Revenue Department, sanctioning the employment of four Head Constables and twenty-six Constables for the Mogultur Salt pans, in the Godavery District, I have now the honor to forward a letter* from the Superintendent of Police, stating that owing to the recent extension of the pans (without any communication with the Police authorities) the following Police guard is now absolutely required in substitution for that previously sanctioned as above :—

Cost Rupees 2,268.

* No. 124, dated 20th April 1874, with four enclosures, (to be returned).

	Head Constables.	Constables.
Permanent	3	18
Temporary	3	24
Total...	6	42

2. The extra cost will be Rupees 1,144.

3. The Collector concurs. I therefore request sanction.

4. I have the honor to submit that the extension of Salt pans and requirements for extra guards should form the subject of previous communication with the Police authorities, and applications should then come through my Office to the Board of Revenue. Although a Revenue charge, the money is practically found out of the annual Police grant, and these incessant calls for additional Salt guards, are likely to lead to financial complication, unless foreseen and provided for.

5. I would beg to draw attention to the Superintendent's statement regarding the failure to provide any accommodation for the Police guards at Mogultur.

Enclosure No. 1.—Letter from Deputy Inspector-General of Police, to Inspector-General of Police, dated 20th April 1874, No. 124.

Enclosure No. 2.—Sketch.

Enclosure No. 3.—Letter from Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to Deputy Inspector-General of Police, dated 22nd May 1874, No. 88.

Enclosure No. 4.—Letter from Collector, to Board of Revenue, dated 22nd May 1874, No. 145.

Enclosure No. 5.—Letter from Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Northern Range, to Inspector-General of Police, dated 25th May 1874, No 285.

An application for the requisite increase to the Salt guard at the Mogultur pans has already been submitted to Government—*Vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 17th June 1874, No. 1,4[illegible].

2. With reference to paragraph 4 of the letter above recorded, Collectors should invariably give early notice to Police officers of any contemplated extension or alteration of Salt pans, and applications for extra guards should be made through the Inspector-General of Police.

3. The attention of the Collector of the Godavery District is called to the last paragraph of the Inspector-General's letter; he should call on his Deputy for early explanation as to his neglect to provide proper accommodation for the Police employed as Salt guards. It is the Salt Deputy's distinct duty to make proper provision for the shelter of the guards, and any neglect in this respect will be viewed with much displeasure.

No. 184. ORDER THEREON, 20th August 1874, No. 1,052.

Separate Revenue, No. 16.
Salt.

THE application adverted to in paragraph 1 of the Board's Proceedings has not been received from the Inspector General of Police who apparently considers his present letter sufficient.

2. Confusion would be avoided if one uniform course of reporting on such matters was adopted. The plan suggested by the Inspector General in paragraph 4 of his letter appears unobjectionable.

3. From a copy of the Board's Proceedings, dated 17th June 1874, No. 1,483, which has been obtained it appears that the Acting Collector has partially misapprehended the actual state of the existing guard and the proposal of the Superintendent. He has also overlooked the instructions regarding correspondence contained in Board's Standing Order No. 320.

4. The additional guard sanctioned in G. O., 9th July 1873, No. 715, viz., four Head Constables and twenty-six Constables was to cost, including clothing and contingencies, Rs. 3,346* per annum, and this apparently was calculated for a full year.

* G. O., 22nd April 1873, No. 418.

It appears now that a guard of three Head Constables and eighteen Constables only is *permanently* required, and that during the manufacturing season a *temporary* establishment of three Head Constables and twenty-four Constables is necessary.

5. The additional cost is calculated by the Inspector General at Rs. 1,144.

6. The Governor in Council accordingly sanctions the arrangement now proposed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.
„ the Judicial Department.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Govt. of 1874 Mad
REVENUE.
THE BOARD OF REVENUE
&c.,
Dated Augt. 30th.
Read
G. O., 20th August 1874, No. 1,05
AUGUST.
Nos. 183-184.
Mogultur Salt pans, Godavery Distri
tioning the employment of three Head C
and eighteen Constables permanently,
Head Constables and twenty-four C
porarily, during the manufacturing seaso
— in substitution of the police establish
tioned in G. O., 9th July 1873, No. 7
remarking upon the mode of correspond
adopted in such matters.

3/10/12.

No. 1,669.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd July 1874.

Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 19th June 1874, No. 2,370 :—

WITH reference to G. O., No. 715, dated 9th July 1873, Revenue Department, sanctioning the employment of four Head Constables and twenty-six Constables for the Mogultur Salt pans, in the Godavery District, I have now the honor to forward a letter* from the Superintendent of Police, stating that owing to the recent extension of the pans (without any communication with the Police authorities) the following Police guard is now absolutely required in substitution for that previously sanctioned as above :—

Cost Rupees 2,268.

* No. 124, dated 20th April 1874, with four enclosures, (to be returned).

	Head Constables.	Constables.
Permanent	3	18
Temporary	3	24
Total...	6	42

2. The extra cost will be Rupees 1,144.

3. The Collector concurs. I therefore request sanction.

4. I have the honor to submit that the extension of Salt pans and requirements for extra guards should form the subject of previous communication with the Police authorities, and applications should then come through my Office to the Board of Revenue. Although a Revenue charge, the money is practically found out of the annual Police grant, and these incessant calls for additional Salt guards, are likely to lead to financial complication, unless foreseen.

5. I would beg to draw attention to the Superintendent's statement regarding the failure to provide any accommodation for the Police guards at Mogultur.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Letter from Deputy Inspector-General of Police, to Inspector-General of Police, dated 20th April 1874, No. 124.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Sketch.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Letter from Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to Deputy Inspector-General of Police, dated 22nd May 1874, No. 88.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Letter from Collector, to Board of Revenue, dated 22nd May 1874, No. 145.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Letter from Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Northern Range, to Inspector-General of Police, dated 25th May 1874, No 285.

AN application for the requisite increase to the Salt guard at the Mogultur pans has already been submitted to Government—*Vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 17th June 1874, No. 1,483.

2. With reference to paragraph 4 of the letter above recorded, Collectors should invariably give early notice to Police officers of any contemplated extension or alteration of Salt pans, and applications for extra guards should be made through the Inspector-General of Police.

3. The attention of the Collector of the Godavery District is called to the last paragraph of the Inspector-General's letter; he should call on his Deputy for early explanation as to his neglect to provide proper accommodation for the Police employed as Salt guards. It is the Salt Deputy's distinct duty to make proper provision for the shelter of the guards, and any neglect in this respect will be viewed with much displeasure.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

C Galton

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police, with five enclosures, returned.
,, the Collector of the Godavery District.
,, all other Collectors.

Copy to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Exd. C. A. Turton.

No 2357.

Received 1874. Godavery

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 2ND JULY 1874, No. 1,669.

Read the letter from the Inspector-General of Police, dated 29th June 1874, No. 2357.

Received 2nd July 1874.

Exd.

JULY.

No. 1,669.

Police guard—at Mogultur Salt pans in Godavery District.—Inspector-General of Police informed that an application for requisite increase in strength of — has already been submitted to Government.—Collector to call on Deputy-Collector, Salt Department, for explanation as to non-provision of proper accommodation for guards at these pans. All Collectors instructed to give early notice to Police officers of any contemplated extension or alteration of Salt pans, and to apply through Inspector-General when extra Police guards may be required.

1053.

S O 20 Aug/74 Re 1052

4/1057

Chief Office of Inspector General of Police,
Madras. 6 Augt 74

Sir

In reply to your letter of 2nd June I have pleasure to inform you that with reference to your letter of 17th June/74 no communication has been sent to Govt that this office on the subject of the Salt Police Guards for the Mogultur Salt Pans

Revenue Depart.

No. 1052

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the 20th August 1874.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue.

Informing Inspector Genl
of Police that an application
for requisite increase in strength
of Police guard at Mogulturu
salt pans, in Godavery Dis-
trict has already been sub-
mitted to Govt.

(Here enter 2nd July 1874 No 609)

The application al-
verted to in para 1 of the
Boards Proceedings has
not been received from the
Inspector General of Police
who apparently considered
his present letter sufficient.
2. Confusion would be
avoided if one uniform
course of reporting on
such matters was adopted.
The plan suggested by
the Inspector General
in paragraph 4 of his
letter

the Inspector of Police
addressed thereon.
Vide their Proceedings
No 1052 2nd July 1874

Mr. J. A. Lumsden

letter appears unobjectionable

3. From a copy of the Boards Pro: dated 17 June 1874 N° 1483 which has been obtained it appears that the Acting Coll^r has partially misapprehended the actual state of the existing guard and the proposal of the Supt. He has also ~~neglected~~ overlooked ~~the circumstances~~ of the instructions regarding correspondence contained in Boards Standing Order N° 320.

4. The additional guard sanctioned in G.O. 9 July 1873 N° 715 Viz: four Head Constables and twenty six Constables was to cost, including clothing and contingencies Rs 3346* per annum and this apparently was calculated for a full year. It appears now that a guard of 3 Head Constables and 18 Constables only is permanently required and that during the manufacturing season a temporary establishment of 3 Head Constables and 24 Constables is necessary.

* G.O. 22^d April 1873 N° 418.

5. The additional cost is calculated by the Inspector General at Rupees 1144.

6. The Government Council accordingly sanctions the arrangement now proposed.

7 Bo^b Secret
Asst Genl
Jud^l Dept } 7/9/74

1873-874

G6

Petit-quevilly et Incquetot
Salt pans near Dieppe

Orfordness

R 22/8/74
D 24/8/74

C 11th Sept 1874 R 20th