M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 12

(2) Date of repair 3 12-66

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	1032	Press / Mis.

Dated 17th August 1874 ~~196~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th August 1874.

No. 143. Read the following petition from LUTCHMANA RAO SAHIB, son of the late VENKATA RAO SAHIB, paternal Uncle of the late Jaghiredar of Arnee, North Arcot District, to L. A. CAMPBELL, Esq., Acting Under-Secretary to Government, Madras, dated Chittoor, 9th July 1874 :—

I AM much obliged to you for the kind reception you were pleased to give me on the occasion of my paying my respects to you. In accordance with your honor's advice, I am prosecuting my studies in the Chittoor Zillah School, and intend appearing for the Matriculation Examination to be held in December 1874. I beg to submit a memorial to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India duly signed, and request that your honor will be pleased to have the same forwarded to its destination, accompanied with the favorable recommendation of Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

To the Most Honorable the MARQUIS OF SALISBURY,
Secretary of State for India, London.

The humble Memorial of LUTCHMANA RAO SAHIB, son of the late VENKATA RAO SAHIB, paternal Uncle of the late Jaghiredar of Arnee, North Arcot District, in the Presidency of Madras, East Indies.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That your humble memorialist begs to appeal against the decision of the Madras Government conveyed in their endorsement Nos. 1,137 and 2,331 of 1873.

2. That Terumala Rao Sahib, late Jaghiredar of Arnee, had three sons, *viz.*, Streenevasa Rao Sahib, Soobbana Rao Sahib, and Venkata Rao Sahib. That Soobbana Rao Sahib died, and the Jaghire was granted to Streenevasa Rao. That the two brothers were living together.

3. That after some time, there arose some misunderstanding between the Jaghiredar Streenevasa Rao Sahib and memorialist's father, Venkata Rao Sahib. That the Government granted a small allowance of Rupees 250 to memorialist's father on the 1st of May 1847, without taking into consideration the rank, dignity, and respectability, of the family. That Venkata Rao Sahib continued to receive the said allowance of Rupees 250 per mensem, as the Home Government confirmed on appeal the decision of the Madras Government in his case.

4. That, on the death of the memorialist's father, the late Jaghiredar Terumala Rao Sahib, refused to pay the allowance to memorialist's elder brother, Ramachendra Rao Sahib. That after some correspondence about his claim in 1860-61, the Government expressed their opinion that the reduction of the allowance is inadvisable. That the memorialist's brother continued to receive Rupees 250 till June 1863.

5. That, without their knowledge, the Jaghiredar requested the Government to reduce the allowance by one-third, on the false ground that there were many khandans, and that, if the reduction is not allowed, the income of the Jaghire would be absorbed. That the Government, without giving due notice to the khandans (relatives), directed that the allowance be reduced accordingly. That in Appeal Case No. 45 of 1811, before the Principal Court, between the Jaghiredar and one of the khandans, the late Jaghiredar, Streenevasa Rao Sahib, deposed that only 15,000 Rupees are annually paid to khandans; that the revenue of the Jaghire was then Rupees 80,000, out of which 4,500 Rupees were paid to khandans, consisting of twenty-four families, and Rs. 11,000 were paid into the treasury. That the income derived from the Jaghire now is 1,20,000 per annum. That besides there is a surplus amount of (20,00,000) twenty lakhs of Rupees in ready money placed to the credit of the minor Jaghiredar in the treasury. That in spite of these circumstances the Government have sanctioned the reduction above alluded to, relying on the false representation of the late Jaghiredar.

6. That the allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem enjoyed by your humble memorialist's father and elder brother being quite insufficient for their maintenance they were suffering a great deal. That the Government have now decided that memorialist should receive Rs. 166 only per mensem. That a suit having been preferred by memorialist in the Civil Court of Chittoor, it was decided that he should receive Rs. 83-5-4 per mensem. That memorialist had to provide for the maintenance of his elder brother's widow. That he has to support all the members of his own and his brother's family, and the numerous dependants of his late father and brother. That your Grace will perceive that the small allowance of Rs. 83-5-4 is hardly sufficient for his maintenance as becoming his rank and station in life. That your memorialist has contracted debts for the performance of his marriage. That the order of Government for the reduction of the allowance should not be applied to his case as no lapse has occurred and as he and his late brother were members of an undivided Hindu family.

7. That a very small peishcush was fixed on the Jaghire for the purpose of liberal allowances being assigned to the khandans (relatives of the Jaghiredar), *vide* paragraph 3 of the Sanad. That the order of Government, dated 20th December 1831, provides that due care should be taken for the proper distribution of the allowances of the khandans. That for the last forty years the Government were upholding the cause of the khandans, and were positively refusing to sanction the reduction now unjustly effected.

8. In conclusion, your humble memorialist prays that, in consideration of his distressed circumstances, the prosperous condition of the Jaghire, the famine prices now obtaining in this part of the world, and the injustice of reducing the allowance, his father's allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem may be sanctioned in his name, and the order of the Madras Government, authorising the reduction of the allowance by one-third may be cancelled.

For which act of kindness, justice, and generosity, your memorialist, as, in duty bound, prays for ever and ever.

CHITTOOR,
9th July 1874.

The Enclosures are as follow :—

1. Endorsement given to Latchmana Rao Sahib from Government, Nos. 1,137 and 2,331 of 1873.
2. Sanad of 1853 from Government.
3. Endorsement given to Ramachendra Rao Sahib, No. 2,361 of 1,863.
4. Copy of the order of Government of 1864.
5. Petition addressed to Government.

No. 144.

ORDER THEREON, 17th August 1874, No. 1,032.

Miscellaneous.

THE petitioner is informed that his Memorial has been received, and will be despatched to the Secretary of State.

(True Extract)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

To:

L. A. Campbell Esquire
Ag. Under Secretary
to Government
Madras

Most respected Sir

I am much obliged to you for the kind reception you were pleased to give me, on the occasion of my paying my respects to you. In accordance with your honor's advice I am prosecuting my studies in the Chittoor Zilla School and intend appearing for the Matriculation Examination to be held in December '74. I beg to submit a memorial to the Right Honorable Secretary of State for India duly signed and request that your honor will be pleased to have the same forwarded to its destination accompanied with the favorable recommendation of Government.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedient & humble servant
(Signed) Latchmana Rau Sahib

Chittoor 3
9th July 1874

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

Dated August 17th.
Recd.
Encl.

G. O., 17th August 1874, No. 1,032.

AUGUST.
Nos. 143-144.

Latchmana Rao Sahib, son of the late Venkata Rao Sahib, Uncle of the late Jaghirdar of Arnee, North Arcot District.—Informing — that his Memorial praying for the grant of his father's entire allowance to him will be despatched to the Secretary of State.

F.P. No 406 Li. St. IX

Endh. on R. 7. 18/10/73 No 11374

Rec. fr O. 7. 6/4/64 No 586. 2336

Endh. 7. 10/6/64 No 2361

Rec fr O. 87. 14 July/65 No 1621

To P. P. 7. 10/2/65 No 6

Rec fr O. 7. 10/2/68 No 277

Rec L. L. L. 20/3/49 No 270

——— 9/5/48 — 28

——— 9/5/48 — 473.

——— 4/5/47 — 541.

duly signed, praying for the grant of his father's entire allowance of Rs 250 to him; and requests that it may be forwarded to that august authority with the favourable recommendation of this Government.

No 2782.

Government of Madras.

1874

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

~~Petition No. 1009~~ of 1874.

From Lutchmana Rao Sahib, son of the late Venkata Rao Sahib, Paternal uncle of the late Jaghirdar of

Occupation. Sahib, Paternal uncle of the late Jaghirdar of

Village.

Talook. Arnee

District. North Arcot & Chittoor

Dated 9th July } 1874.

Received 10th Do }

Disp. 17 Augt. Enclosures. 6

X Sent to England

80 17 August 74 No 1032

ABSTRACT.

Submits a Memorial to the Secretary of State for India duly

143.

C. No 2782 - Revenue dept -

Petition

~~No 1009 of 1874~~ 9th July 1874

Rec'd 10th " " "

From Lutchmana Rao Sahib, Son of the late Venkata Rao Sahib, Paternal uncle of the late Jaghirdar of Arnee North Arcot Dist.

Submits a Memorial to the Secretary of State for India duly Signed, praying for the grant of his fathers entire allowance of Rs. 250 to him; & requests that it may be forwarded to that august authority with the favourable recommendation of this Government -

1009

Order No. 1032

Petr. is informed that his Memorial has been received & will be despatched to the Sec: of State

Sd

17 Aug 74

113-114

90

Memorial of Jabez Hall
Clerk of the Circuit Supreme
Court of Appeals for Roanoke
District praying a State

9/17

R/20/8/74
D/20/8/74