No. 28. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd July 1873, No. 1,367 :—

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Courtallam, 24th June 1873, No. 335 :—

In reply to paragraph 8 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 735, dated 7th May last, I have the honour to inform you that the Civil Court found on inquiry that the defendant was not simply a Puttadar for the whole village but an Inamdar; there is, therefore, nothing unusual in the Court's ruling that tenants of long standing were entitled to a renewal of putta.

The Board resolve to submit the letter of the Collector of Tinnevelly to Government with reference to paragraph 2 of G. O., dated 23rd June 1873, No. 645, Revenue Department.

No. 29. Order thereon, 3rd September 1873, No. 946.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue 1873 Sept
No 2294

1873.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 23RD JULY 1873, No. 1,367.

Dup 29 July 73-
Encl:

GO-3 September 1873 946

Received 1st August 73

JULY.

No. 1,367.

Suits under Rent Recovery Act—VIII. of 1865.—Collector's explanation in regard to ruling of Civil Court with reference to certain — that tenants of long standing are entitled to a renewal of putta, submitted to Government.

With Order.

C. 29 Aug 1873 Sept

No 946.
Recorded
3 Septr 73

To Board Rum
Sept 10-73.

35