M.R.O. 1—77,006—9-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 22.10.45

(3) Initials of the Referencer Ca

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	945	Press / ~~Mis.~~
Dated	3-9-1873.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20.10.45

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 3rd September 1873.

Read the following:—

No. 25. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 14th June 1873, No. 1,010.

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Pariakolam, 27th May 1873, No. 157.

I HAVE the honour to report that an appeal is now pending before the Privy Council in which the Zemindar of Ramnad claims the Trusteeship of the Rameswaram temple.

2. From the enclosed letters (copies), addressed by the Solicitor in London to Parthasarthy Ayengar, a retired Vakil of the High Court, who has been conducting the appeal, it appears that all expenses have been paid and that the appeal must be revived in the name of the guardian of the Minor Zemindar before it can be heard. I therefore request the sanction of the Court of Wards for the revival of the appeal, and probably the simplest course will be to instruct Parthasarthy Ayengar to complete this business, of which he has had charge up to the present moment. The address of the London Solicitor is George Gregory, Esq., 1 Bedford Row, London.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. GREGORY, Esq., London, to PARTHASARTHY AYENGAR, dated Bedford Row, London, 14th March 1873.

I ENCLOSE you our further costs in this appeal, which, together with the costs previously forwarded to you, will put you in possession of the costs up to the 7th instant. I also forward you a cash account showing the sums by me and the amount of the costs from time to time forwarded to you. You will see from this that I have now in hand the sum of £454-8-11 to pay Counsel's fees to argue the case, fees on the hearing, and the further costs, excluding the preparation of the Brief, etc.

2. The fees to Counsel will amount to about £250, and the hearing fees and further costs to about £200 or so. I anticipate the amount in hand will cover everything, and I may have a balance of £10 in hand, but not more.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From G. GREGORY, Esq., London, to PARTHASARTHY AYENGAR, dated Bedford Row, London, 27th March 1873.

WE regret to see by the "Madras Weekly Mail" that the Zemindar of Ramnad died on the 21st February last. The death of the Zemindar has been brought before the Registrar of the Privy Council, and he has directed the appeal, which was in the present list, and would have been heard in the course of another month, to be struck out of their Lordships' paper.

2. It will be necessary, therefore, before the appeal can be heard, that it should be revived by the present Zemindar if of age, but if under-age, by his legally appointed guardian. I presume, in due course, I shall hear from you as to the wishes of the present Zemindar or his guardian with respect to the appeal, which I will lose no time in carrying into effect.

REFERRED to the Government Solicitor, who is requested to communicate with Mr. Gregory and with Parthasarthy Ayengar who will take the necessary steps for reviving the appeal in the name of the Agent to the Court of Wards.

No. 26. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 14th August 1873, No. 1,571.

Read the following letters :—

From E. BARCLAY, Esq., Acting Government Solicitor, Madras, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Madras, 10th July 1873, No. 428 :—

With reference to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 14th June last, No. 1,010, and their enclosures, requesting me to communicate with Mr. Gregory and with Parthasarthy Aiyengar, with a view to the necessary steps being taken for reviving the appeal in the name of the Agent to the Court of Wards, I have now the honour to inform you that I placed myself in communication with Parthasarthy Aiyengar relative to the above appeal, and I herewith forward copy of the correspondence which has taken place between myself and himself for your information.

2. You will observe from Mr. Parthasarthy Aiyengar's letter that steps have apparently already been taken to have the appeal revived, and I, therefore, request you will let me know whether I should write to Mr. Gregory. It seems to me, however, that as Mr. Parthasarthy Aiyengar has declined to act in the matter, and, as I know nothing whatever about it, it would be more to the purpose if Mr. Lee-Warner or the Court of Wards were to communicate direct with Mr. Gregory.

Enclosure No. 1.

From S. PARTHASARTHY AYENGAR, to E. BARCLAY, Esq., Acting Government Solicitor, Madras, dated 30th June 1873.

I have only just seen your letters of the 17th and 26th instant, and, in reply, hasten to inform you that, as I have already communicated all particulars to the Assistant Collector in charge of the Ramnad Estate, and as that officer has, as I believe, placed himself in communication with Mr. George Gregory, the Zemindar's Attorney at the Privy Council (after receiving from me all the requisite papers), you will have to communicate on all matters touching the Rameswaram temple-case with the persons abovementioned. You are, perhaps, aware that I have retired from business.

Enclosure No. 2.

From T. PARTHASARTHY AYENGAR, to Messrs. PRICHARD and BARCLAY, Government Attorneys, Madras, dated 2nd July 1873.

Under instructions from S. Parthasarthy Ayengar, I have the honour to send herewith copy of a letter, dated 27th June last, to him from the Assistant Collector of Ramnad on the subject of Ramnad appeal.

From J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., to M.R.Ry. S. PARTHASARTHY AYENGAR, dated Ramnad, 27th June 1873.

I have the honour to acknowledge the safe receipt of your letter, dated the 21st June and its enclosure. I believe that steps have been already taken in Madras to communicate with Mr. Gregory on the subject of his letter to you.

From W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated 23rd July 1873, No. 231.

I have the honour to inform you that the papers relating to the Ramashwarem case, now before the Privy Council, which were sent to Mr. Lee-Warner by Parthasarthy Aiyengar, are unimportant. Nothing seems necessary, except to revive the appeal, and I enclose a letter (copy) from Mr. Lee-Warner, offering to attend to the matter personally in London if desired to do so.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 3rd September 1873.

Enclosure No. 1.

From J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Special Assistant Collector, Ramnad, to W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector and Agent to the Court of Wards, dated Madura, 21st July 1873, No. 163.

I have the honour to forward a copy of my letter to Mr. Parthasarthy Aiyengar, and his answer, with original enclosures, with reference to my letter, No. 80, dated 14th May of this year, as well as the Proceedings of the Court of Wards thereon. I shall be happy to attend to the matter personally in London if the Court of Wards desire me, while I am away on my short leave.

My address will be—

6, Charles Street,
Grosvenor Square,
London.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. McQUHAE,
Collector.

The Court resolve to submit these papers, together with copies of their Proceedings noted in the margin, to Government, in view to the Secretary of State being asked to cause the necessary steps to be taken to have the appeal revived.

Proceedings, 14th June 1873, No. 1,010.

2. The Court have very scanty information as to the circumstances of the case; and, as to the advisability of taking it out of the hands of Mr. Gregory, who has hitherto had charge of it on behalf of the late Zemindar, they suggest that the Secretary of State be requested to use his discretion on this point. It appears that Mr. Gregory has in his hands funds belonging to the

3. The Court do not deem it necessary to trouble Mr. Lee-Warner in the matter.

No. 27. Order thereon, 3rd September 1873, No. 945.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered accordingly that His Grace the Secretary of State be moved to take steps for the revival of the appeal in the name of B. Srinivasa Murti Ayengar who, it appears from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 8th May 1873, No. 750, has been nominated guardian to the minor Zemindar.

2. As it would seem from Mr. Gregory's letter, dated 14th March 1873, that he has been provided with sufficient funds for the prosecution of the appeal, it will be suggested that the matter be left in his hands.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of [illegible] 1873
REVENUE.
To
THE COURT OF WARDS.
Dated September 3rd.
Recd.
G. O., 3rd September 1873, No. 940.
SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 25—27.
Temple of Rameswaram, in the Madura District.—Resolving to move the Secretary of State to take steps for the revival of the appeal which the late Zemindar of Ramnad claimed the Trusteeship of the — in the name of B. Srinivasa Murti Ayengar, guardian to the minor Zemindar

No. 1,010.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 14th June 1873.

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Pariakolam, 27th May 1873, No. 157 :—

I HAVE the honour to report that an appeal is now pending before the Privy Council in which the Zemindar of Ramnad claims the Trusteeship of the Rameswaram Temple.

2. From the enclosed letters (copies) addressed by the Solicitor in London to Parthasarthy Ayengar, a retired Vakil of the High Court who has been conducting the appeal, it appears that all expenses have been paid, and that the appeal must be revived in the name of the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar before it can be heard. I therefore request the sanction of the Court of Wards for the revival of the appeal, and probably the simplest course will be to instruct Parthasarthy Ayengar to complete this business of which he has had charge up to the present moment. The address of the London Solicitor is George Gregory, Esq., 1 Bedford Row, London.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Copies of letters from Mr. George Gregory, to Parthasarthy Ayengar, dated 14th and 27th March 1873.

REFERRED to the Government Solicitor who is requested to communicate with Mr. Gregory and with Parthasarthy Ayengar who will take the necessary steps for reviving the appeal in the name of the Agent to the Court of Wards.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. Sr. A. GOODRICH,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Government Solicitor, with two enclosures.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

1873.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 14TH JUNE 1873, No. 1,010.

Encl.

Received

JUNE.

No. 1,010.

Appeal—before Privy Council in which Trusteeship of Rameswaram Temple was claimed by deceased Ramnad Zemindar of Madura District.—Government Solicitor requested to take necessary steps for reviving — in name of Agent to Court of Wards.

No. 1,571.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 14th August 1873.

Read the following letters :—

From E. BARCLAY, Esq., Acting Government Solicitor, Madras, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Madras, 10th July 1873, No. 428 :—

WITH reference to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 14th June last, No. 1,010, and their enclosures, requesting me to communicate with Mr. Gregory and with Parthasarthy Aiyengar, with a view to the necessary steps being taken for reviving the appeal in the name of the Agent to the Court of Wards, I have now the honour to inform you that I placed myself in communication with Parthasarthy Aiyengar relative to the above appeal, and I herewith forward copy of the correspondence which has taken place between myself and himself for your information.

2. You will observe from Mr. Parthasarthy Aiyengar's letter that steps have apparently already been taken to have the appeal revived, and I, therefore, request you will let me know whether I should write to Mr. Gregory. It seems to me, however, that as Mr. Parthasarthy Aiyengar has declined to act in the matter, and, as I know nothing whatever about it, it would be more to the purpose if Mr. Lee-Warner or the Court of Wards were to communicate direct with Mr. Gregory.

ENCLOSURES NOS. 1 AND 2.—Copies of Correspondence.

From W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated 23rd July 1873, No. 231.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that the papers relating to the Ramashwarem case, now before the Privy Council, which were sent to Mr. Lee-Warner by Parthasarthy Aiyengar, are unimportant. Nothing seems necessary, except to revive the appeal, and I enclose a letter (copy) from Mr. Lee-Warner, offering to attend to the matter personally in London if desired to do so.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Special Assistant Collector, Ramnad, to W. McQUHAE Esq., Collector and Agent to the Court of Wards, dated Madura, 21st July 1873, No. 163.

I HAVE the honour to forward a copy of my letter to Mr. Parthasarthy Aiyengar, and his answer, with original enclosures, with reference to my letter, No. 80, dated 14th May of this year, as well as the Proceedings of the Court of Wards thereon. I shall be happy to attend to the matter personally in London if the Court of Wards desire me, while I am away on my short leave.

My address will be—

6, Charles Street,
Grosvenor Square,
London.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. McQUHAE,
Collector.

THE Court resolve to submit these papers, together with copies of their Proceedings noted in the margin, to Government, in view to the Secretary of State being asked to cause the necessary steps to be taken to have the appeal revived.

Proceedings, 14th June 1873, No. 1,010.

2. The Court have very scanty information as to the circumstances of the case; and, as to the advisability of taking it out of the hands of Mr. Gregory, who has hitherto had charge of it on behalf of the late Zemindar, they suggest that the Secretary of State be requested to use his discretion on this point. It appears that Mr. Gregory has in his hands funds belonging to the Zemindar sufficient for the prosecution of the appeal.

3. The Court do not deem it necessary to trouble Mr. Lee-Warner in the matter.

(True Copies and Extract.)

H Wilkins
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Board's Proceedings, dated 14th June 1873, No. 1,010,
and four original Enclosures, to be returned.

Copy to the Government Solicitor.

„ to the Collector of Madura.

Exd F. R. Bantleman.

Rec'd 18th Aug 1873
No 2574
1873.
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 14TH AUGUST 1873, No. 1,571.

Dup 21 Aug 73 - 4 Encls.
4 Dec 2867

Received 21st August 1873 Secr

AUGUST.
No. 1,571.

Appeal—before Privy Council in which trusteeship of Ramashwarem temple was claimed by deceased Zemindar of Ramnad in Madura District.—Papers connected with—forwarded to Government, in view to Secretary of State being asked to cause steps to be taken for reviving the appeal.

2.80 Aug 1873 Sastri & Co

3 Septr 73 No 945

Revenue Department
No 945

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Dt 3 Septr 73
Read the following Proceedings
of the Court of Wards.

Submitting papers connected with Appeal before Privy Council in which trusteeship of Ramaswaren temple was claimed by deceased Zemindar of Ramnad in Madura District in view to Secy of State being asked to cause steps to be taken for reviving the appeal.

} A. L. 14 August 73 No 1571.

Ordered accordingly that His Grace the Secretary of State be moved to take steps for the revival of the appeal in the name of B Sriniwasa Murti Ayengar who it appears from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 5th May 1873 No 750 has been nominated guardian to the minor Zemindar.

Ac.

As it ~~appears~~ [owned seem] from Ltr. of Gregory's letter dated 14th March 1873 that he has ~~in hand~~ [been provided with] sufficient funds for the prosecution of the appeal, it will be suggested that the matter be left in his hands.

Despr. in M.S.
The Jc. of Wards
EC sent – 12 Sept/73.
with 4 Encls

L.C.

Regarding appeal before
Privy Council in which Lieutenant
Chief Justice Ramsbotham
was claimed by deceased Commander
Ramuel, in Court Martial

Appeal to
Hon Privy Council

L. Ct. Bd

Court Martial
Board

c. 30 Aug 93 K 3 Septr