

892

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
22nd August 1873.*

No. 183. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st July 1873.

Read again Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 16th January 1873, No. 54, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 1st February 1873, Miscellaneous No. 600.

Read again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th June 1873, No. 981.

Read also the following letters:—

From W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 28th May 1873, No. 161.

REFERRING to my letter of the 18th ultimo, No. 97, I have the honour to forward, herewith, a petition presented to me by Mir Mujafir Hussein, son of Jeyana Begam, who is the daughter of Kajaw Hussani Ally, a brother of Mahammud Sahib.

From the same Officer, dated 5th July 1873, No. 222.

IN reply to Board's Proceedings of the 11th ultimo, No. 981, I have the honour to inform you that Kajaw Ally Sahib, produced a genealogical tree to the late Tahsildar of Ramachendrapur Taluq on 5th May 1866, in which he stated that he was the sister's son of Mahammud Sahib. This information appears to have been adopted by the Collector in his letter to Board of the 30th May 1866, No. 109.

2. The dispute as to the heirship of the man having arisen, it is not to be expected that truth can be ascertained from any evidence of people connected with the family. But the Tahsildar of Ramachendrapur has already taken the evidence of four old respectable inhabitants of the man's village, and has reported that their evidence which supports Kajaw Ally's assertion that he is the nephew of Daval Beebee's husband. Mahammud Sahib is, in his opinion trustworthy. Under the circumstances of the case, I was not inclined to attach any weight to the evidence, and therefore did not send it on to the Board. As, however, there is no other way of settling the question, it may be accepted as proving, so far as we are concerned, Kajaw Ally's relationship to Mahammud Sahib as nephew.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

THE evidence procurable is not altogether convincing, as the Collector says, but it seems to be the best available.

2. In accordance with G. O., No. 54, 16th January 1873, the allowance enjoyed by Daval Beebee will be continued in equal shares to her husband's nephews and nieces of whom it appears Kajaw Ally Sahib is one.

3. The petition of Mir Mujafir Hussein forwarded by the Collector in his letter of 28th May, No. 161, is returned. Under the orders of Government his mother will, it is observed, be a sharer in the divided allowance.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
22nd August 1873.

4. The Collector will give the orders of Government to whom  
a copy of these orders is sent.

No. 184.

ORDER THEREON

August 1873, No. 892.

Miscellaneous.

THE Board's Proceedings are approved.

True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. LYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.  
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Subl. of 1873 Madras.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

&c. &c. &c.

Dated August 22nd.

Resd. \_\_\_\_\_

G. O., 22nd August 1873, No. 892.

AUGUST.

Nos. 183-184.

*Late David Beebe's Yeomiah Allowance in  
the Godavery District.—Approving the Proceed-  
ings of the Board of Revenue ordering the contin-  
uance of the — in equal shares to her husband's  
nephews and nieces.*

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th June 1873.

Read the following letters :--

From W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 18th April 1873, No. 97 :--

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 1st February last, M. "neous No. 600, (enclosures returned) I have the honour to state that Kaja Ally appeared before me; he told me at first that his mother Fatma Begam was the daughter of Abbas Bhanu, and that the latter's sister Rabia Begam was the mother of Kaja Mahomed Sahib. After some more questions, he told me that Abbas Bhanu was the mother both of Kaja Mahomed and Fatma Begam.

2. He did not remember the name of Rabia Begam until I mentioned it.

3. In the course of enquiry, I had occasion to ask him the name of his first wife, and though he took some time, he could not remember it, until I gave him the name.

4. He does not seem stupid, he was not flurried, and he had had only two wives, so I am astonished at his not remembering their names.

5. He could give me no information about the genealogy of the family. He says the Kaji of Draksharama is at enmity with him, and gave a wrong statement.

6. I certainly cannot believe the man: his wife has already made an untrue statement, and I would suggest that Government should still reject his claim.

P. S.—Since writing the above, Kaja Ally has come to me and given me a Persian document, (to be returned) which I send in original. It seems to me that this document makes out quite a different story. I believe it says Kaja Mahomed and Fatma Begam were children of Amir Ullah, brother of Johurulla Khan.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 4.—Petitions.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Genealogical table.

ENCLOSURE No. 6.—Persian document.

From the same officer, dated Cocanada, 22nd April 1873, No. 109.

With reference to my letter of the 18th instant, No. 97, I have the honour to forward a petition received yesterday from Kaja Nissar Hussain and two others, opposing the claim of Kaja Ally Sahib and others.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

THE discrepancy noticed by Government and regarding which explanation is required is that in the Collector's letter, dated 30th May 1866, No. 109, Kaja Ally Sahib is described as the son of Fatma Begam, sister of Mahomed Sahib, but in the letter, dated 25th June 1868, No. 159 and its enclosure (genealogical table) no allusion is made to that fact.

2. The Collector is requested to state the evidence on which the statement contained in the letter of the 30th May 1866 is founded. He should also ascertain from persons acquainted with the family whether Kaja Ally Sahib is or is not a nephew of Mahomed Sahib, husband of Daval Beebee.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. St. A. GOODRICH,  
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of the Godavery District,  
with seven enclosures.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Kaja Ally Sahib—of Godavery District,—  
to whom a share of Yeomiah allowances enjoyed  
by deceased Daval Beebee is proposed to be  
granted.—Further report requested from Col-  
lecty regarding relationship of — to husband  
of deceased.

JUNE  
No. 981.

Received  
20 22 August 1873 No. 981

Encl.

PROCEEDINGS, 11th JUNE 1873, No. 981.

BOARD of REVENUE,  
MADRAS.

1873.

Revenue Dept.

No. 892

Pro. of the Madras Govt.  
Under date the 22<sup>nd</sup> Augt 1873.

Read the following  
Pro. of the Board of Revenue

Requesting Coll. of Godavery  
to give effect to orders of Govt  
directing continuance of Yeomiah  
enjoyed by deceased Daval  
Beebee in equal shares to her  
nephews and nieces.

/A.L. 31 July 1873. N. 1454

The Board's Proceedings  
are approved.

Note.

In deciding /G. O. No. 54  
dated 16 January 1873 / that  
a certain money allowance  
in the Godavery District  
should be continued in  
equal shares to the children

L. B. of Revenue  
Acct. Genl. 29/8.

of the brothers and sisters  
of one Mahomed Sahib  
the Gov<sup>t</sup> noticed that a  
man called Raja Alli  
Sahib had in 1866 by  
the Collector, and again  
in 1868 by the Board,  
been called the son of  
Fatima Begum a sister  
of Mahomed Sahib; where-  
as in a letter written in  
1867 the Collector made  
"no allusion to this fact."  
They directed this being  
made clear before the  
shares were apportioned.

2. The present Jott.  
now reports that the state-  
ment in his predecessor's  
letter of 1866 was founded  
on

141  
on a genealogical tree  
then produced before a  
Jahisildar, and that  
"four old respectable  
inhabitants of the man's  
village support Raja Alli's  
assertion." He does not  
place much reliance on the  
evidence, but thinks it may  
be accepted "so far as we  
are concerned."

3. The Board agree,  
and Raja ally Sahib will  
be one of the sharers.

Sd/-

20

Ms. 183-184

Continuation of account  
in Godfrey's to the  
success of the deceased  
holder.

Nov. 1834  
Dec.

Aug 23 A 22 Aug