

(1) Number of Pages..... 8
 (2) Date of repair..... 29.12.65
 (3) Initials of the Referencer..... *Mo*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

BR DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
 B.P. No.

75

Press
 Mis.

Dated

13. 3

1873
 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 10th March 1873.N^o 8

Read the following

From

The Acting Head Assistant Collector
Trichinopoly

To

The Acting Collector of Trichinopoly

SIR,

Adverting to the petition of Ponnusami Pillai and another late Abkarry Contractors of Musery Taluk forwarded to me for report with your docket n^o 533 of the 6th August 1872, I have the honor to inform you that from the enclosed Arzi of the Tahsildar of Museri it appears that Rupees 112-13-10 were collected from the petitioner in the shape of interest on the several instalments paid by him after the 15th of each month from the 1st January 1871 to February 1872. In accordance to the terms of the Muchilka executed by the Contractors the several instalments were only due on the 31st of the month, the interest that would have been collected under the terms of the contract is only Rupees 64-12-6 and

and the remaining sum Rupees 48-1-4 is interest for the 15 days in each month as calculated and collected by the Tahsildar, who states that the interest was so charged because of the publication of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue altering the date of the Revenue Khists from the 30th to the 15th of the month.

2. I do not think that the Tahsildar was right in charging interest contrary to the terms of the Muchilka and I therefore consider that the sum of Rupees 48-1-4 should be refunded to the petitioners.

3. With reference to the claim by the petitioners for interest on the deposit money I do not think they need be considered, as no special engagement was made to that effect in the Muchilka.

4. I have forwarded herewith the petition from the Akkary Contractors.

Head Office Colliery Office }
Muzeri 15th January 1873 }
No. 32

Submitted for the sanction

of the Board of Revenue
Trichinopoly Colliery Office } Signed J. B. Pennington
21st February 1873 } Acting Collector

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras

Submitted for the sanction
of Government.

(True copies & Extracts)

J. G. Gole
Secretary

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

M. Varasimachari

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No. 1378.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 10th March 1873

Read the following

No. 8.

From the Acting Head Assistant Collector
Trichinopoly.

To. The Acting Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

Adverting to the petition of
Ponnusami Pillai and another, late
Alcherry Contractors of Musery Taluk,
forwarded to me for report with your
docket No. 53 of the 6th August 1872, I
have the honor to inform you that,
from the enclosed Arzi of the Tahsildar
of Musery, it appears that Rupees 112-13-10
were collected from the petitioner in
the shape of interest on the several
instalments paid by him after the 15th
of each month from the 1st January
1871 to February 1872. In accordance
to the terms of the Muckiltha executed
by the Contractors the several instal-
ments were only due on the 30th of the
month, the interest that would have
been collected under the terms of the
contract is only Rupees 64-12-6, and
the remaining sum, Rupees 48-1-4, is
interest for the 15 days in each month.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

77-715

Revenue 1873-1874

Dated 10th March 1873

Despatched

No. —

" —

" —

" —

" —

" —

Received

No. —

" —

" —

" —

" —

" —

Saved

13: March 73

13: March 73

Submittal for same

to the Commisariat

for the refund of

Rs 40-1-4 collected from

Alcherry Contractors by

Musery and Musery

in the month of

as calculated and collected by the
Tahsildar, who states that the interest
was so charged because of the publica-
tion of the Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue altering the date of the
Revenue Whists from the 30th to the
15th of the month.

2. I do not think that the
Tahsildar was right in charging
interest contrary to the terms of the
Muckilka, and I therefore consider
that the sum of Rupees 1-1-4, should
be refunded to the petitioner.

3. With reference to the claim
by the petitioners for interest on the
deposit money, I do not think they
need be considered, as no special
engagement was made to that effect
in the Muckilka.

4. I have forwarded here
with the petition from the A. & W. Barry
Contractors.

I have &c.
H^d Asst^l Coll^r office
Muderi, 15th July 1873. } Signed W. P. Austin.
By H. D. Asst^l Coll^r.

N^o 32.

Submitted for the sanction of
the Board of Revenue.

Trichinopoly Coll^r office, } Signed J. B. Pennington
21st February 1873. } As Collector

To
The Secretary to the Board of
Revenue
Madras.

Submitted

Submitted for the sanction of
Government.

(True copies & Extract)

Signed J. Grose.

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Dept^l.

Order thereon, 13th March 1873 N^o 75.

Sanctioned.

(True Extract)

Signed D. F. Carmichael
Secretary to Govt.

To
The Board of Revenue
-4- Asst General

James D. Hartman
allis
ly O No 75 of 13-3-72