

(1) Number of Pages 6
 (2) Date of repair 15/12/65
 (3) Initials of the Referencer My

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

BEV DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

73

Press
Mis.

Dated

1st 2 - 1873
196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Miscellaneous No 762.

Revenue Department



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
dated 7th February 1873.

Read the following:-
No 32.

From

The Collector of Salem

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

With reference to my letter
No 185, of the 22nd July 1872, I have the
honor to forward for the Seal of
Government being affixed, thereto,
three Little Deeds in duplicate for
lands Nos 30, 31 and 266, the two former
being those purchased by Mr D. Monte
and the latter by Mr. Quarrie.

Salem
Collector's Office }
30th January 1873

I have seen,
(Sd) C. J. Longley.
Member

Ordered that the Little Deeds
and plans, in duplicate, received
with the foregoing letter be submitted
for

for the seal of Jones monument.

2. The imperfections in the documents are noticed below, and the Collector will be requested to see that they are amended before delivering the deeds to the parties concerned.

3. Deed No. 3— The substitution of "Seventy two" for "Sixty" in both Copies of the deed in line 8, the interlineation of the words "agreed to Mrs. Daly" in the original and the words "to Mrs. Daly" in the duplicate in the clause commencing with "Signed-Sealed" near the space allotted for the signature of the party, the substitution, in the duplicate copy, of the word "Seven" for "Something Erased" in line 16 counted from the bottom, require the attestation of the Collector.

4. Deed No. 4— The Erasure and interlineation in line 2 and the interlineation of the word "five" in the original in line 10, the interlineation of the expression "agreed to Mrs. Daly" in the clause beginning with the words "Signed-Sealed" near the space intended for the signature of

of the purchaser in both Copies of the deed; the interlineation of the words "and five seven" and "five seven" in the original and duplicate respectively, should have been initialed by the Collector in token of his attestation.

5. Deed No. 5— The substitution of the word "ninth" for "sixth" erased out in line 6 in both Copies, the interlineation of the words "agreed to Mr. O. B. Preault" in the original, and "to Mr. O. B. Preault" in the duplicate in the clause commencing with "Signed-Sealed", and the interlineation of the word "one" in line 2 of the receipt granted by the Collector in the original should have been initialed by the Collector.

6. The Collector states in the Specification that the property has no boundary on the South, but according to the plan submitted the property is bounded on the South in part by Joulke's Estate, and in part by the Jones monument reserve. The Collector will be good enough to supply the omission both in the Specification and plan, the former

former under his initials.

7. The plan which appears to have been approved by the Collector after the deed was drawn out makes it appear that the land was applied for by Messrs Quarman and McMahon though it appears from the deed that the land was exclusively purchased by the former. Mr McMahon's name should be omitted from the plan unless the land was applied for by him jointly with Mr. Quarman in the first instance and afterwards purchased by the latter alone.

The tape by which the plan was fastened to the deed has come off on one side probably while in transit. A thread as enjoined in Board's Standing Order No 153 would have answered the purpose better.

9. The Collector is requested to avoid scraping out words when mistakes occur. The proper course in these cases is to scrape out the errors and substitute the correct words above them. Not only in the
dockets

dockets of all the deeds but also in the body of one of them as already observed have words been entirely removed and others substituted in their stead.

(True copy & Original)

J. G. M.
Secretary

The Secretary General
Revenue Department
No 3 de d. 11

Perman 1873 Dist

H-377

Proceedings of the
Board of Agriculture
dated 7th February 1873.
Resolved: That
the

encl. be

Submitting for seal
cont. title. seeds for
certain lands in Jorku
E.

No 100-114 Feb 1873

W. M. Carter

H-73

Resolved that the seeds
be sealed and returned.
The evidence in these seeds
should all be with the
Collector.

14 Feb. 1873

lost

in the Board of Agric. with the seeds and duplicate
encl. be

10 Feb 1873