

680

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd July 1873.*

No. 18. From R. H. HOLLINGBERY, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Fort William, 30th April 1873, No. 2,517.

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 347, dated 20th March 1873, explaining the reasons for which Mr. Puckle, the Collector of Tinnevely, has been allowed to draw extra tentage continuously, whether he is in camp or not.

2. In reply, I am desired to say that, unless there are stronger reason than any yet reported for deviating from a general rule in favour of Mr. Puckle, the order of the local Government is likely to form an inconvenient precedent for relaxing such general rules, and I am accordingly to suggest a reconsideration of the order.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
2nd July 1873.

No. 680.

No. 19.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Land Revenue.

[SIR,—I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 2,517, dated 30th April 1873, suggesting a

reconsideration of the order of this Government allowing the Collector of Tinnevely to draw his extra tentage continuously, whether he is or is not in camp.

2. In reply, I am to explain that while Mr. Puckle receives no special remuneration for the onerous duties of the settlement undertaken by him, in addition to much of his ordinary work, yet those duties entail his incurring the extra and unusual expense of maintaining a permanent camp. From this, when his presence is required at the head-quarters of his district, he has, from time to time, to absent himself, and, according to the ordinary rule, would, at such periods, cease to draw extra tentage. Were this rule strictly followed in his case under its exceptional circumstances, Mr. Puckle would be liable to a loss from which it is, in the opinion of this Government, only equitable that he should be protected.

3. I am further to point out that were the settlement operations entrusted to a special officer, he would be constantly in camp, and his extra tentage would, therefore, be continuous.

4. In conclusion, I am desired to state that, while this Government for their part see no reason to regard their order as likely to establish an inconvenient precedent, they are willing, should the Government of India prefer it, to grant Mr. Puckle a special allowance of Rs. 210 a-month during the time of his employment on the work of the settlement.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman

Financial Deptt:

Sir,

22

Fort William
The 30th April
1873

Dr

W. W. Munro
Asst Secy to the Govt of India

21/4

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 1297

From the Secy. to Government of India, Civil Dept.
Dated 30 April 1873.

FOR ORDERS.

I wish to inform you
that Mr. Puckle is
bring the double duty
of Settlement Office
& Col. Secy. The
former requires him to
have about 8000 place to

1873-74
1873-74
1873-74

Revenue 1873-74
No. 1297

From
The Secy. to Govt. of India
No. 2577

Patent 30 April
No. 10 May 1873

Regarding a present
derivation of the order, amounting
to Rs. 10000, collected by Mr.
Munell, extra bounty, contained
in the order, whether he is in charge
or not.

S. & No. 680 - 2 July 1873

No. 18-19

1873-74
1873-74
1873-74

Handwritten signature and notes at the bottom of the page.

place in the his Camp -
and at intervals -
while his Camp is
being moved a letter
must with permission
be run in to the
Quarter to be to such
degree & Henry's mother
as need personal super-
vision - Under the ordinary
rules Mrs. Peckle would

During these periods come
to her Lenten, although
in carrying the reports
of Camp life. It is of
great importance that
Mrs. Peckle should
personally visit the
the Settlement - and
as he receives no special
remuneration for these duties
it is only equitable
that he should not be re-

patent to loss.

Now the little
ment questions

in this test to
a special the

he would know
last year all

the year - as he
could be can

stability in
comp - as that

the state does not
lose by the present

arrangement. If the Pub put
the fee it is the only allowance of
30 May R 210 per mile and near the border
R 19 June R 24 July R 2 July equally well. FDB

FOR ORDERS.

1297

Under the
Birmingham

✓

patent to loss.
 Now the settle
 ment questions
 in this test to
 a special order
 he would draw
 out of all
 the year - as he
 could be con
 stantly in
 camp - as that
 the State does not
 lose by the present
 arrangement. If the Pub. Ser.
 be for it - special allowance of
 Rs 210 p m will answer the purpose
 30 May R 19 June R.C. 24 June 73 R 2 July equally well. FOS

FOR ORDERS.

1297

Under Secy
 Disposition

✓ ML

M. S. 10/10/73
 65.C. 10/10/73
 30/3

Revenue Department
 No. 680 2 July 73

To
 The Secretary to the Government of India
 Financial Department.

Sir I am directed to acknowledge
 the receipt of your letter No. 2517
 dated 30 April 1873 suggesting
 a reconsideration of the order of
 this Government allowing the
 collector of Shinnelly to draw
 his extra tentage continuously
 whether he is or is not in camp.

JOS.

ML

In reply, I am to explain
 that while Mr. Puckle receives no
 special remuneration for the onerous
 duties of the Settlement under-
 taken by him in addition to
 much of his ordinary work, yet
 those duties entail his incurring
 the extra and unusual expense
 of maintaining a permanent
 camp. From this, when his
 presence

24th June/73 R.2. July