

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th January 1873.*

No. 136. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th December 1872, Miscellaneous No. 8,244:—

Read the following papers:—

From the Officiating Collector of Madras, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 22nd November 1872, No. 208.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings No. 149 of 23rd July, and Miscellaneous No. 5,699 of 19th August last, I have the honour to forward statements received from the Deputy-Collector showing the present distribution of work in the various departments of his office.

2. It seems very necessary to put some person in responsible charge of the voluminous records at the Deputy-Collector's Office of nearly one century, which it has taken nearly two years to bring to order. Any person entrusted with it will not only have to search, issue, and receive back the papers required for the several departments in the office, but will also have to find out and produce papers called for by the High Court, Small Cause Court, and the two Police Courts; and this work entails no small labour on the present establishment. Not less than 100 Summonses are received every year from the above Courts, and papers of older date than 1800 are called for. As a rule, the Clerk producing them is kept away more than one day. The Deputy-Collector states that the present establishment is heavily worked, and that the charge of the records cannot be conveniently entrusted to anybody in it. The work of the whole establishment appears to have

been reviewed by the Board only recently, that is, when the question of

G. O., of 31st May 1870, No. 788.

constituting the Town as a separate Collectorate was under consideration. With regard to the quit-rent establishment I beg to observe that the conservancy of the tanks, which it is proposed to vest in the Deputy-Collector of Madras, will create additional work to the present establishment. The Advocate-General has lately given it as his opinion that no batta can be charged on demands issued, under Section 4 of the Madras Act, for the recovery of the arrears of revenue. There being no provision in the law making quit-rent not paid within the fasli an arrear, no body will, as a rule, pay until a demand has been served, and demands will have to be prepared and served in almost every case. Under such circumstances, the charge of the records should not, in my opinion, be entrusted to any one in that establishment. The Abkari Department is one in which some unforeseen contingency frequently arises, and creates new work for the establishment, as already stated in my letter No. 32 of 1st March 1872.

3. Under these circumstances, I would repeat the recommendation made in paragraph 2 of my letter No. 149 of

1 Record-keeper	...	...	...	...	...	rs.
1 Attender	...	...	...	...	...	25
						10

23rd July 1872, namely, that a special establishment, as per margin, be organized for the charge of the records.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th January 1873.*

Endorsement of the Officiating Collector of Madras, dated 9th December 1872, No. 216.

Read the following letter from the Deputy-Collector of Madras, to the Acting Collector of Madras, dated 4th December 1872, No. 997.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that the four months' extension time sanctioned by Government in their Proceedings No. 1,181, dated 9th August last, for the continuation of the temporary Record Establishment having expired on the 30th ultimo, their services were dispensed with from that date.

2. The records of the various departments are now classified, and are well arranged; and to leave them without some particular person to look after them would plunge them again into that confusion in which they were found two years ago, and the sum of Rs. 2,550 already spent on their arrangement would be a waste.

3. Until the receipt of the sanction for a small permanent establishment recommended in my letter No. 608, dated 19th July last, I beg to propose that the services of a Record-keeper and an Attender, at a monthly cost of Rs. 35, may be retained as a temporary establishment. Early orders are requested.

Record-keeper	Rs. 25
Attender	10
Total	35

4. I have requested two of the temporary establishment (ceased to be from the 1st instant) to take care of the records as hitherto, on condition that they would be paid salary *only* if it is allowed by Government.

SUBMITTED for the favourable consideration of the Board, in continuation of this office letter No. 208 of 22nd November 1872, wherein sanction for a permanent establishment, at a cost of Rs. 35, for the charge of the records was solicited.

(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOTT,
Officiating Collector.

THE Board resolve to submit this application for the favourable consideration of Government.

2. The statements submitted show that the present permanent establishment is fully occupied; and unless it be supplemented, as proposed, much of the benefit expected to accrue from the expenditure incurred on the arrangement of the very valuable records of the office will be lost.

No. 137. ORDER THEREON, 18th January 1873, No. 67.

Miscellaneous.

THE Deputy-Collector's Office is already very expensive, costing Rs. 3,327 per mensem, and under a proper re-distribution of the work and revised classification of the establishment, provision can, the Government believe, be made for the custody and arrangement of the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th January 1873.*

records without additional cost to the State. The Tahsildar of a limited taluq like that of Madras is allowed nine Goomastahs (the number sanctioned for the large taluqs in the districts), and the number of Accountants and Clerks in the Abkari Department appears very high.

2. The Board will be good enough to re-consider the matter. They are at liberty, if they deem fit, to depute one of their Secretaries to look into the working of the office, and, in consultation with the Collector, to submit a revised scale of the establishment.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

out and produce papers called for by the High Court, ^{Small Cases Court} and the two Police Courts and this work entails no small labour on the present establishment. Not less than 100 summonses are received every year from the above courts and papers of older date than 1800 are called for. As a rule the clerk producing them is kept away more than one day. The Deputy Collector states that the present establishment is heavily worked and that the charge of the Records cannot be conveniently entrusted to any body in it. The work of the whole establishment appears to have been reviewed by the Board only recently that is, when the question of constituting the Town as a separate collectorate was under consideration. With regard to the quit rent establishment, I beg to observe that the Conservancy of the tanks which it is proposed to vest in the Deputy Collector of Madras will create additional work to the present establishment. The Advocate General has lately given it as his opinion that no better can be charged on demands issued under section 4 of the Madras Act for the recovery of the arrears of Revenue. There being

no

G.O. of 31st May 1870, No 738.

N

no provision in the law making quit rent, not paid within the fixed time, an arrear, no body will as a rule pay until a demand has been served, and demands will have to be prepared and served in almost every case. Under such circumstances the charge of the records should not in my opinion be entrusted to any one in that establishment. The Akbari Department is one in which some unforeseen contingency frequently arises and creates new work for the establishment as already stated in my letter No. 32 of 1st March 1872.

3. Under these circumstances I would repeat the recommendation made in para 2 of my letter No. 149 of 23rd July 1872, viz: that a special establishment as per margin be organized for the charge of the records.

Madras Collector's Office. I have +
22nd November 1872 } of D. Abubhatt
Off. Secy of Madras

No 997

From, The Deputy Collector of Madras.
To, The Acting Collector of Madras.
Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the four months extension time sanctioned

* Record Keeper Rs 25.
1 Attender Rs 10.

sanctioned by Government in their Proceedings No. 1187 dated 9th August last for the continuation of the temporary Record establishment having expired on the 30th ultimo, their services were dispensed with from that date.

2. The records of the various Departments are now classified and are well arranged, and to leave them without some particular person to look after them would plunge them again into that confusion in which they were found two years ago, and the sum of Rupees 2,550 already spent on their arrangement would be a waste.

3. Until the receipt of the sanction for a small permanent establishment recommended in my letter No. 608 dated 19th July last I beg to propose that the services of a Record Keeper and Record Keeper an attender at a monthly cost of 35 Rs. ^{Rs 25} Attender Rs 10 may be retained as a temporary establishment. Early orders are requested. Total 35

4. I have requested two of the Temporary establishment (ceased to be from the 1st instant) to take care of the Records as hitherto, on condition that they would be paid salary only if it is allowed by Government.

Madras Deputy Collectors Office } I have &c
Madras } of R. Ragoanatha Row
4th December 1872 } Deputy Collector
(True copy) Submitted

No. 216.

Submitted for the favorable consideration of the Board, in continuation of this Office letter No. 208 of 22nd November 1872, wherein sanction for a permanent establishment at a cost of Rs 35 for the charge of the Records, was solicited. -

Madras Collector's Office of D. Abuthuthu
9th December 1872 } Offg Collector

The Board resolve to submit this application for the favorable consideration of Government.

2. The statements submitted show that the present permanent establishment is fully occupied; and unless it be supplemented as proposed much of the benefit expected to accrue from the expenditure incurred on the arrangement of the very valuable records of the Office will be lost. -

True Copies & Extract

H. H. H. H.

Asst. Sub Secretary

For the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with 2 enclosures

Submitted
D. R. Ragoanatha Row

Revenue Department

N^o 67.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
18th Aug 1873

Read the following Pro-
ceedings of the Board of Rev.

Submitting for favorable
consideration of Govt. letter
from Collector of Madras
requesting sanction for
permanent establishment
of a Record Keeper on R^o.
25 and an attendant on R^o 10.
to have charge of the records
in Deputy Collector's office -

H.E. 12th December 1872

Dis: No 8244

The Deputy Collector's
Office is already very
expensive costing R^o 3327
per annum and under
a proper redistribution
of the work and revised
classification of the
establishment, provision
can, the Govt. believe,
be made for the
custody

[Signature]

4th Aug 1872 No 2-73
27 Nov 1872 No 131
23 Jan 1873 No 307-308
31 July 1873 No 338-339

Revenue 1872 Deptt.

No 3961

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

SP No 67 - 18th January 1873

Dated 12th December 1872.
Despatched 12th — — —
Received 14th — — —
on 2nd Dec. No 8244.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for favorable
consideration of Govt. letter
from Collector of Madras, re-
questing sanction for perma-
nent establishment of an at-
tendant on R^o 25 & an attendant
on R^o 10 to have charge of
records in Deputy Collector's Office.

210: Aug 13 R 13: Aug

No 136-137

custody and arrange-
ment of the records.
without additional cost
to the State. The
Talukdar of a limited
Taluk like that of
Madras is allowed
9 ghumastahs, (the
number sanctioned for
the large Taluks in
the District) and the number of
~~assistants & clerks~~
accountants and clerks
in the Alkary Department appears very high.

2. The Board will be good enough to recommend
(depute their Acting Secy depute one of their
Secretary to look into
the working of the Office,
and in consultation
with the Collector to
submit a revised scale
of the establishment.

1871. 8/1-73

14 Board of Rev. Secy 20 Jan'y

18
They are at liberty. if they deem fit
the matter - ~~It might be well for them to~~
Secretaries to do