Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 29th May 1873.

No. 219. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd April 1873, No. 622 :—

Read the following letters :—

From C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 12th March 1873, No. 67.

WITH reference to G. O. No. 1,609 of the 22nd November last, I have the honour to enclose the copy of a letter from the Sub-Collector, reporting that he was unable to communicate with the Jaghiredar of the Chinna Kulroyen Jaghire. From inquiries made, from time to time, during the last two years, I am quite sure the Jaghiredar is not willing to rent his estate to Government ; all endeavours made both by myself and my predecessor to communicate with the Jaghiredar having failed.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. F. PRICE, Esq., Sub-Collector, to C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, dated Ahtur, 19th February 1873, No. 104.

I HAVE the honour to return the enclosures which accompanied your letter No. 85, dated 31st ultimo, and to report that I have been unable to deliver your letter to the Puttagar of the Chinna Kulroyen Hills.

2. There are two Civil and two Magisterial Warrants out against him ; and I hear that he is hiding in the hills of the Kullakoorichy Taluq, in the South Arcot District. It is of no use to send any Government servant with the letter, as he has spies everywhere about the hills, and the news of the appearance of a suspicious stranger is the signal for his immediate disappearance.

3. I have sent Malayalle messengers to communicate with him, but they have returned saying that he cannot be found ; and I have attempted to get at him through a Chetty who has rented the Chinna Kulroyens from him for twenty years, and who has asked me Rs. 20,000 for his bargain.

4. I have tried everything, have promised that the Magisterial Warrants, which are for disobedience of Summonses, shall not be executed, and that, if he will meet me, I will go, accompanied by the Tahsildar alone and without any followers, to any place that the Puttagar may appoint ; but it has been all in vain. The people either do not know, or will not tell, where he is, and I have been foiled in every attempt to communicate with him.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. W. JOHNSTON,
Deputy-Collector, for Collector.

From C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th March 1873, No. 79.

IN continuation of my letter of the 12th instant, No. 67, I have the honour to enclose an original letter received from the Puttagar of the Chinna Kulroyen Jaghire, expressing his unwillingness to rent the Jaghire to Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Letter, in Tamil, from Puttagar of Chinna Kulroyen Jaghire.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government, with reference to G. O. dated 22nd November 1872, No. 1,609.

2. The Jaghiredar of the Chinna Kulroyen Jaghire refuses to lease the estate to Government.

3. This refusal probably proceeds from vague apprehensions which may be removed in time, and the Board do not think the project of obtaining a lease of the estate should be abandoned, or the Inam Commissioner instructed to deal with it.

No. 220. ORDER THEREON, 29th May 1873, No. 548.

Forests, No. 9.

THE Government concur with the Board of Revenue. The Chinna Kulroyen property will be continued to the Jaghiredar on its present tenure.

2. Further endeavours should be made to induce him to rent the estate to Government on similar terms to those which were accepted by the Periya Kulroyen Jaghiredar; or, at any rate, an effort should be made to obtain the lease of the forests from the contractor, if he has obtained it on favourable terms, and is willing to transfer it for a moderate sum.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Inam Commissioner.
,, the Inspector of Forests.

The Work can
with the Board of
Revenue. The
Chinna Kulroyen
property will be
continued to the
Sapheir dar on
its present tenure

Further endeavours
should be made

Ir. 219-220

Disputed

The papers and
are scarcely
sufficient to

R. 14 May, R. C. 11: R. 19

M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-37.

M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-37.

Number of pages 34

e of repair 14.6.38

of the Reformers

...ughly handled

It is due him to
rent the estate
to put on similar
terms to those which
were accepted by
the Perpetual Usufruct
Superior, or at
any rate an effort
should be made to
obtain the leave
of the Front from

Explain
this case.
What is the
meaning of the
Perpetual Usufruct
is and of the Rt. of Pleasure
that the Town
Commissioners should be
instructed to deal
with the Perpetuity?

for
Explained

the Contractor if
he has obtained
it
them on favorable
terms, and is willing
to transfer it
for a new document
term

29/6/38.

14 Bd of Rev. 6 June
3 Town Cncl S
3 Insp of Front

~~2942ft~~
10293/A1172

Govt. of {1873} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated May 29th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 29th May 1873, No. 548.

MAY.

Nos. 219-220.

Chinna Kulroyen Jaghire in Salem District.—Proceedings Board of Revenue submitting letter from the Collector reporting on the unwillingness of the Puttagar to lease the estate to Government, with orders thereon.

