

470

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th May 1873.*

No. 116. Read the following letter from HENRY WAPSHARE, Esq., Agent for JAMES OUCHTERLONY, Esq., to the Hon. R. A. DALYELL, Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ouchterlony Valley, 12th May 1873 :—

I RESPECTFULLY request that you will lay this letter before His Excellency the Governor in Council.

2. The Proceedings of Government of the 1st May 1873, No. 446, with reference to the dispute between Messrs. Godfrey and Ouchterlony, have come to my knowledge.

3. I beg to say that Captain Godfrey's appeal was dismissed by the Civil Court, Calicut, because *his* plaint or petition showed no grounds of appeal. Surely Mr. Ouchterlony should not suffer for that; for, as a matter of fact, Captain Sargeaunt had full authority to adjudicate, as Mr. Ouchterlony will be prepared to show if and when the matter comes before a proper tribunal.

4. That the demarcation having been made in accordance with the decision of an officer having jurisdiction to decide the question (*vide Fort St. George Gazette*, Tuesday evening, August 23rd, 1870, page 1,056) is as binding upon Government as on any private individual; and that, as I am advised, any attempt to obliterate it will be a trespass, for which the person or persons making the attempt will be civilly, if not criminally, liable.

No. 117.

ORDER THEREON, 17th May 1873, No. 455.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To H. Wapshare, Esq., Attorney for Mr. J. Ouchterlony.

Ouchitlouy Valley.
May 12th 1873.

To

R. B. Dalzell Esq.

Secretary to Government.

Revenue Department

Ootacamund.

Sir.

I respectfully request that you will lay the
letter before His Excellency the Governor in Council.

The proceedings of Government of the 1st May
1873 No 446 with reference to the dispute between Messrs
Godfrey & Ouchitlouy have come to my knowledge.

I beg to say that Captain Godfrey's appeal was dis-
missed by the Civil Court Calicut because his plaint of po-
tition showed no grounds of appeal - Surely Mr Ouchitlouy
should not suffer for that? - for as a matter of fact Captain
Sargeant had full authority to adjudicate as Mr Ouchitlouy
long will be prepared to show, if & when the matter
comes before a proper tribunal.

That the demarcation having been made in
accordance with the decision of an Officer having juris-
diction to decide the question (vide Fort St. George Gazette
Tuesday evening August 23rd 1870 page 1056) as binding
upon Government, as on any private individual, and
that as I am advised any attempt to obliterate it
will be a trespass for which the person or persons making
the attempt will be civilly if not criminally liable.

I have the Honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant
Henry Wapsham Agent for
James Ouchitlouy

