

(9)

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd April 1873.

No. 14. Read the following letter from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Fort St. George, 20th March 1873, No. 736:—

I HAVE the honour to inquire whether Government consider that charge for extra baggage is included in the grant of a free passage as specified in paragraph 2 of the Agreement between the Secretary of State and Mr. G. J. S. Ross, Deputy-Conservator of Forests.

2. I make this inquiry because that gentleman objects to the retrenchment of Rs. 7 made by this Office, and quotes the Agreement in support of his claim to exemption.

3. In my letter, recorded in Proceedings of Government, 8th February 1873 No. 50, Marine Department, I informed Government that I had ordered recovery of the charge in question.

No. 15. ORDER THEREON, 3rd April 1873, No. 384.

Forests, No. 2.

THE Governor in Council is clearly of opinion that Mr. Ross is not entitled to charge Government with the cost of carriage of *extra baggage* under the Covenant Agreement to provide him with "a first-class passage to Madras," "and all necessaries for his accommodation at sea, including expenses of transit, through Egypt, of himself and his personal baggage." The P. and O. Company's allowance of 3 cwt. is sufficiently liberal.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General,
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

8744/173. 14-15
21 Aug 25-29 M.
1900-1922-23

No 14-15

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a list or index.]

Revenue.

Revenue and DEPARTMENT.

FROM THE ACCT. GENERAL

TO THE GOVT. OF MADRAS.

No. 877.

To

The Chief Secy
to Govt
Madras

Dated 20th March 1873
Received

No. 736
ENCLOSURES. *Noted.*

Enclosure with the Govt
consider that charge for extra
baggage is included in the
grant of a free baggage, as
specified in para 2 of the Agree-
ment between the Govt of
Madr and the Rys.

With order
No 384-3-3-173

7384.

Refund is clearly

indicated that Mr. Cross

is not entitled to charge

for the baggage and charges

of the baggage with the

Government and the Rys

himself a first class passage

"I had no "No necessary

"for his second class

"including expenses of transit

"the Rys of himself this first

baggage."

[Signature]

The Rys for second class &
3rd class is not evenly divided

3-3-173.