

(1) Number of Pages 10
 (2) Date of repair 18-4-1966
 (3) Initials of the Referencer Rev

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Rev DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	193	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	28 th October 1966	1873

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 22nd October 1873.

Read the following

No. 316.

From W. S. Foster Esquire
Ag. Collector

To H. C. Stokes Esquire
Ag. Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir

The Government have
sanctioned the retention
in service of Hari Dass Paru-
shottam for one year, and
Kannabalan Venkata-
krishna for $4\frac{2}{3}$ years,
Inspectors of Rhenavaram
Taluk, in their Proceedings
of

D/C

of the 1st May 1872 No. 709
and 15th November 1871 No. 1934
respectively. Under the
orders requiring annual
certificates of fitness, the
Deputy Collector on General
duty in whose division
both of the individuals
were employed, certified
their fitness for further
Service in his letter of the
5th February 1873 No. 23, but
in his subsequent letter
he reported after a per-
=sonal inspection that
they were unfit. I commu-
=nicated the same to the
Accountant General on
the 5th May 1873 as required
by Board's Proceedings of
the 6th February 1873 No. 739.
But

* Dated 23rd April
1873 No. 92

* Vide Board's
Proc. 27th May 1873
No. 3214

But as the Government said
in their Proceedings of the ¹⁹³
15th July 1872 No. 551 that
the rule of compelling
old servants to retire
should not be enforced
in cases where the retention
of an individual in the
Government Service for a
brief period will confer
a title to pension in lieu
of a gratuity or an increased
rate of pension, and as it
was uncertain whether
the individuals were
entitled to pension, I
had thought it best to
allow them to remain
in Service till their
Services were properly
verified and applications

for retiring allowances made out which could not have been done in the case of Purushottam before the terms sanctioned by Government as he was then reported fit for further service. They have been recommended for pension on and from the dates noted in the margin. There was actually a case in which a servant had been thrown out as soon as his term expired, and as an examination of his past services disclosed a deficiency of 74 days to entitle him to pension, he had to be restored to office to complete his services, vide Government Order of the 7th January 1873 No. 1 Pension Department.

Another

*
 H. Purushottam — 26 July 1873 — 25 July 1873
 H. Venkatasubrahmanyam — 24 Sept 1873 — 23 Sept 1873
 Application for Pension
 Approved by
 Government
 26 July 1873
 25 July 1873
 24 Sept 1873
 23 Sept 1873

* Gounda
 Venkatasubrahmanyam

Another case had to be referred to the Government of India as there was a deficiency of 43 days service. Vide Government Order dated 28 June 1872 No. 514.

2 I now beg to enclose extract from the objection statement from the Accountant General for June last disallowing the pay of the individuals mentioned and copies of paras 1, 3 and 4 of his letter of the 13th September 1873.

3 Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing paras, I have the honor to request that the necessary authority may be obtained for the Accountant General to

to recognize the officials
in question as in service
up to the dates from which
they have been recommend-
ed for pension, and to
pass their salaries up to the
same dates.

I have re-
Godavery District }
Collector's Office } (Signed) W. S. Foster
Micanado }
24th September 1873 } By Collector

Submitted for the favour-
able consideration of Government
through the Accountant Gene-
ral.

2 Two servants in the
Godavery District who were
retained after 55 years of
age under order of Govern-
ment subject to annual
report were declared unfit
by the Divisional Officer in
May 1873. They were retained
till

7
till September 1873 that the ¹⁹³
length of their service might ⁴
be ascertained and to avoid
the possible necessity of
restoring them for a brief
period under Government
Order 15th July 1872 No. 551.
The Accountant General
objects to pass their pay.
3 They were not responsible for
the delay of four months in
verifying their service, and
should, the Board think,
get the pay. To prevent
such delays in future the
Board will instruct Col-
lectors that applications
for retention of servants
after 55 years of age -
must be accompanied
by their service books -
properly verified and
must

Must be made sufficiently
early to prevent retrench-
-ments of salary.

1 True copy & extract

H. H. Cohen

Asy. Secy. Secretary

To The Asy. Secretary to
Government Revenue
Department
through the Accountant
General

with 2 original Enclosures
to be returned

Accountant General's Office
Recd 25 10-1873
Ans'd

T. H.
Cope

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

Recd 1873 Sept.
103396

Dated 22^d October 1873.
Despatched 22^d Oct 23-4

Returned -
22^d Decr 23-4

SUBJECT.

No 193 - 28^d Oct/73 -

submitting for favour-
able consideration
of Govt's letter from
C^o of Cadbury Dist.
regarding that the
presently may be
instructed to place
the pay of two servants
who were retained
in their appointments
after having been
placed unfit for
further service

W. H. M. B.

Submitted for Order
of the Board
24 October 1873

103396

Approved

W. H. M. B.

To the Secretary
to Government
Revenue Department

Comptroller W. H. M. B.

17 Aug 89 for 2015 Nov 73
10 Dec 89

Thomas M. B.

The Govt. has decided the judgment of their officers
for the board they were returned in Aug 73
the Govt. is given, under the law

No 193

20786493 1128 864

28 Oct 73