

- (1) Number of Pages.....4
(2) Date of repair.....26.11.1965
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*Mz*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

18

Press-
Mis.

Dated

6. 1. 1873 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 20 December 1872.

Read the following

No 370

From

W. S. Foster Esquire
Acting Collector

To

J. G. Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report on
Petition No 2405 of 1872 presented by

Venkata Krishnaiah, late Cudnamo
of Sanapallilanka in the Amalapur
Taluk.

2. The Petitioners represented to
Mr. Foster, the Collector, that they were
old and unable to do their duty, and
requested that a Kumbasta might
be appointed on their behalf. A
Kumbasta was accordingly appointed
in February 1868, but the Petitioners
continued to work till March of the
same year. In September 1868 one

Vajjala

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 20 December 1872.

Read the following

No 370

From

W. S. Foster Esquire
Acting Master

To

J. G. Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report on
Petition No. 2405 of 1872 presented byVenkata Krishnaiah, late Custodian
of Sanapallilanka in the Amalapur
Taluk.

2. The Petitioners represented to
Mr. Bruce, the Collector, that they were
old and unable to do their duty, and
requested that a Kumbasta might
be appointed on their behalf. A
Kumbasta was accordingly appointed
in February 1868, but the Petitioners
continued to work till March of the
same year. In September 1868 one
Vajjala

Vayilla Satayen complained to the Mestor that the Village Munsiff over Collected from him Rs. 16-5-3. The Sahildar Enquired into the case. It appeared that, though the Collection was made after the Petitioners discontinued to do their work, the mistake occurred simply because the Carnams (Petitioners) had not kept proper accounts up to the date on which their Gumnasta entered on his duties, and therefore the Gumnasta prepared a necessarily incorrect account on which the Village Munsiff unintentionally collected Rs. 16-1-3 in excess, and credited the same to Government. He was directed to make good the loss to the Complainant and the Petitioners through whose carelessness no proper accounts were available, were dismissed the Service.

3. The Petition under report is

returned

returned with Enclosures.

Godavery District
(Mestor's Office)
Cocanada.
27 November 1872

Shanete
to W. S. Foster
of Mestor

The Mestor has shown clearly that the Munsiff was not to blame, as he in good faith, levied and credited to Government the Rs. 16-1-3 shown to be due in the erroneous account, which the new Gumnasta had prepared. The Gumnasta being misled by the Carnams who were avowedly past work, was not to blame, and as the two old men, who held the office of Carnam, had prayed to be relieved of duties, which had become too much for them, they also do not deserve to have their connection with the Carnamship, and their claim on a portion of its emoluments, broken by a sentence of dismissal. The money which the Munsiff has been made to pay should be refunded to him, as 16 Rs. over and above the Government demand has been carried to credit, and the sanction of Government is requested, as the money was carried to credit in 1860.

The order dismissing the Carnams must be cancelled and they are referred to the Mestor.

(True Copy Extract)

H. S. Foster

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Acting Sub Secretary

Revenue 1892 Sept
No 4064

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

Dated 20 December 1872

Despatched 20 — 1872
Recd 21 — 1872

6th January 1873
SUBJECT.

Regarding, under the
circumstances stated
within, the sanction of Rs
for the refund of Rs 16-10.
Whereof Rs 10 and
Rs 6-10 to Government
in 1868

To the Board of Revenue and
the Accountant General