No. 112. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd January 1873, No. 94:—

Read the following letter from H. E. SULLIVAN, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 15th November 1872, No. 368:—

In consequence of the absence on privilege leave of the Assistant Superintendent of Chunampet, the Salt Superintendent of Killay was appointed to do duty at the former station. The salaries of both these officers being equal, the latter is not entitled to any extra remuneration even in the shape of a deputation allowance. It seems to me therefore that he is entitled to a travelling allowance for having proceeded from Killay to Chunampet, a distance of 68 miles, and the Deputy-Collector in charge of the Department recommends that the allowance should be granted; but as there is no provision in the Rules for paying travelling allowance in such cases, I request that it may be sanctioned specially in this case for the journey both to and from Chunampet. The amount payable would be Rupees 34 at 4 Annas a mile.

2. I request also that sanction may be granted for the payment of travelling allowances in all similar cases which may arise hereafter.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. A Salt Superintendent having obtained privilege leave, another Superintendent on equal salary was appointed to act, and sanction for [illegible] allowance is asked for.

3. Under Standing Order No. 404, paragraph 2, the charge should be borne by the absentee; but as he has been allowed his leave believing that he would draw his full pay without any deduction during his absence, the Board would allow travelling allowance to his substitute at the expense of the State.

4. But the principle that no extra expense is to be caused to the State by the grant of leave to Uncovenanted Servants has been repeatedly affirmed; and the Board propose to instruct all Collectors that charges against Government for travelling allowance consequent on the grant of leave to such servants cannot be admitted without prior sanction obtained. The procedure prescribed in Standing Order No. XXIII. of 1869, for obtaining sanction for extra charges on account of deputation allowance, is applicable to cases like the present.

No. 113. Order thereon, 15th February 1873, No. 189.

Separate Revenue, No. 11.
Salt.

The Standing Order cited at paragraph 3 must be followed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Rev. 1873. Dept.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

W. 298.

PROCEEDINGS, 23RD JANUARY 1873, No. 94.

Dept. 1 Feb. 1873.

Encl.

Received 2nd Feby

JANUARY.

No. 94.

Travelling allowance—to Salt Superintendent of Killay in South Arcot District, who was appointed to act for Assistant Superintendent of Chunampet, during absence of latter on privilege leave.—Letter from Collector requesting sanction for grant of — for his journey to and from Chunampet, submitted for orders of Government with remarks. Board propose to instruct all Collectors that such charges cannot be admitted without prior sanction.

E-180

The Standing order cited as Para 3 may be followed.—

15th July 73.

No. 112-113

14 Feb. Govt. Order No. 17 Feb.

B5

A4